



draft-volz-dhc-dhcpv6-vendor-message
draft-volz-dhc-dhcpv4-vendor-message

DHCP Vendor-Specific Message

Bernie Volz
IETF-73 DHC WG
Minneapolis, November 2008

Purpose

- Allows vendor-specific message exchanges between clients, relay agents, and/or servers
- Allows multiple vendors to make use of a single message number for *completely different and independent* purposes without conflict
 - Allows experimentation without collisions or need to hijack messages and avoids backwards compatibility issues when standardized
 - Allows DHCP vendor-specific functionality that might never be standardized or has little or no value being standardized
 - Reduces need for unique port assignments to use alternative vendor-designed protocols
- Possible applications include
 - Server-to-server protocol (aka failover or configuration related)
 - Relay-to-server/server-to-relay protocol
 - Experimental functions

History

- DHCPv6 version originally presented at Prague IETF
 - Contained reserved options range
 - Met with concern vendors may implement features that should be standardized
- Resubmitted DHCPv6 draft and wrote-up DHCPv4 version in July 2008 because of interest from several in the community
 - Reserved options range removed

Proposed DHCPv4 Message

- DHCP Message Type option (53) has code 254
- New DHCP Vendor Message option required:

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
| option-code | option-len | Option-code TBD
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|           enterprise-number           |
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
/           vendor-data           / The vendor's data
~           ...           ~ (format up to vendor)
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
```

- **Main purpose of this option is to communicate enterprise-number of vendor**
- **Other data may appear in message**

Possible Clarifications

- Kim Kinnear suggested that the vendor-data be encoded as vendor-specific options so format to decode is known (the meaning of data may not be)
 - I agree it is a good idea

Reasons For The Work

- Allows multiple vendors to make use of a single message number for *completely different and independent* purposes without conflict
 - Allows experimentation without collisions or need to hijack messages and avoids backwards compatibility issues when standardized
 - Allows DHCP vendor-specific functionality that might never be standardized or has little or no value being standardized
 - Reduces need for unique port assignments to use alternative vendor-designed protocols

What Next?

- Comments / Discussion
- Adopt as DHC WG work item