

Rogue IPv6 Router Advertisement Problem Statement

Tim Chown


tjc@ecs.soton.ac.uk

Stig Venaas

stig.venaas@uninett.no




IETF 73, Minneapolis, 16-21 Nov 2008



Changes since -01 version

- Based on Dublin, v6ops list and other feedback
- Mostly minor editorial changes
- Added text on potential unicast RAs
- Added text on Layer 2 partitioning
- Added text about ACLs
- Clarified applicability of SeND
- Added a 'mitigation comparison' table
- Streamlined the 'other considerations' section
- Included note on potential multihoming aspects



Moving forward

- WG agreed to adopt the draft in Dublin
 - Authors submitted personal -02 rather than new WG -00 draft by mistake
 - Hopefully can still assume it is a WG item 😊
- The -01 version was generally well received
 - We believe all previous comments have been resolved
 - Has also been discussed on a few operationally oriented lists
 - Rogue RA problem is still an ongoing issue – publishing an Informational RFC would help focus attention on it
- Ready for WGLC?