

Performance from Experience

IP QoS classes: Layered Approach

Telcordia Contact:

Lubomir Citkusev 732-758-5316 Icitkuse@telcordia.com

August 1, 2000

ITU - FR and IP QoS classes: Layered Approach

- ITU FR and IP recommended QoS classes metrics related to layer performance
- FR Performance Objectives and QoS classes (Draft ITU-T Recommendation X.146R)
- IP Performance and Availability Objectives and Allocations (Draft ITU-T Recommendations Y.1541)





Performance from Experience

X.146 – Frame relay service classes

Class	Network support	FLR _c	FTD (ms)	FDJ (ms)
0	Mandatory, default class	No upper bound specified on FI _e RBut FLR _e will have a practical upper bound and will not be arbitrarily bad.	No upper bound specified on FTD. But delay will have a practical upper bound and will not be arbitrarily large.	NOT APPLICABLE
1	Mandatory	Value $< 1 \times 10^{-3}$, and 95th percentileof weighted 15-minute values 3×10^{-3} .	95th percentile< 400 ms.	95th percentile < 52 ms (see Note10 and Notel2)
2	Optional	Value $< 3 \times 10^{-5}$, and 95th percentileof weighted 15-minute values 1×10^{-4} .	95th percentile≤ 400 ms.	95th percentile < 17 ms (see Notel 1 and Notel 2)
3	Optional	Value< 3 × 10 ⁻⁵ , and 95th percentileof weighted 15-minute values for monthl × 10 ⁻⁴ .	95th percentile< 150 ms (see Note 6).	95th percentile < 17 ms (see Note11 and Note12)

Y.1541

Provisional IP QoS class definitions and network performance objectives

			QoS Classes		
	Nature of the network performance objective	Default objectives	Class 1 (Interactive)	Class 2 (Non- Interactive)	Class 3 (U class)
IPTD	Upper bound on the mean IPTD	No default	400 ms	1 sec (Note 3)	U
IPDV	Upper bound on the 1-10 ⁻⁴ quantile of IPTD minus the minimum IPTD (Note 1)	No default	50 ms	1 sec (Note 3)	U
IPLR	Upper bound on the packet loss probability	No default	1*10 ⁻³ (Note 2)	1*10 ⁻³	U
IPER	Upper bound	default TBD	default	default	U
SPR	Upper bound	default TBD	default	default	U

All values are provisional and they need not be met by networks until they are revised (up or down) based on real operational experience



IP QoS classes: Layered Approach

- IP QoS classes should be defined without regard to application they support (e.g. voice) or without relation to higher layer performance (e.g. "High", "Best Effort" etc.)
- QoS classes support broad categories of services
- IP QoS classes metrics should be intrinsic to IP layer performance (e.g. Class 0, 1, 2, 3)

