ITU-T IP Performance Work Status

Question 17 in Study Group 12

Al Morton March 7, 2005 IETF-62

Status/Comparison

- Will Leland's comparison (at IETF-44): "Don't Panic" http://www.ietf.cnri.reston.va.us/proceedings/99mar/slides/ippm-itu-99mar.pdf
- At IETF-55, Al said "We're moving closer" (terms, IPDV)
- One reason ITU-T defines parameters (in Y.1540) is to enable writing performance objectives (now in Y.1541). Numerical objectives are the next step. Adding new loss performance objective at 10^-5
- Completed MPLS Perf. Parameters in 2004 Rec. Y.1561
- Concatenation Rules for Loss, Delay, and Jitter (last one is the hardest, in Y.1541)
- Parameter Rec. scope may expand to cover IPv6 (Y.1540)
- Availability Service Function may get a facelift.
- Anything we can do about Loss == Infinite Delay here?