AUTOCONF Charter

62th IETF, Minneapolis

- Charter proposal presented
 - broad ideas, few firm decisions
 - no milestones, deliverables, specifics
 - purposely open-ended solicit/provoke thoughts
- Goal between 62th and 63th
 - trim off the excess
 - reach consensus on
 - firm & narrow charter
 - fix milestones

From 62th IETF in Minneapolis Proposed charter

- To develop an address autoconfiguration solution, supporting
 - stand-alone, hybrid and/or intermittently connected mobile ad-hoc networks
 - IPv6 and/or IPv4
 - unique address assignment in face of
 - network partition
 - network merger
 - random node mobility within network
 - random node joining/leaving network

63th IETF in Paris

- Charter approved by the IESG for ext. review
 - context, deliverables, milestones
 - resulting from iterations with IESG/IAB & on Autoconf mailing-list

Autoconf Context

- MANETs assume
 - no a priori infrastructure
 - multi-hop environment
- Existing mechanisms for autoconf (IPv6 stateless, DHCP)
 - assume multicast link among all nodes, and/or
 - assume a central entity, reachable from all nodes.

Deliverables

- terminology and problem statement
- stateless mechanism for IPv6/MANETs
 - unique local, global (where applicable) addresses
- statefull mechanism for IPv6/MANETs
 - recognition that dhcp-hacks are used on MANETs already
- continued address-uniqueness promotion

Milestones

- Oct 05: Submit "terminology and problem statement" document for WG review
- Oct 05: Submit initial I-D(s) of candidate proposed AUTOCONF mechanisms and design frameworks
- Feb 06: Submit "terminology and problem statement" document to IESG for publication as an informational RFC
- Apr 06: Submit initial I-D of "stateless autoconfiguration mechanism" for WG review
- Apr 06: Submit initial I-D of "statefull autoconfiguration mechanism" for WG review
- Apr 06: Submit initial -ID of "configured address uniqueness maintenance" for WG review

Milestones

- Aug 06: Revise WG documents and review
- Dec 06 Revise documents based upon implementation experience
- Apr 07: Submit "stateless autoconfiguration mechanism" specification and supporting documentation to IESG for publications as Proposed Standard
- Apr 07: Submit "statefull autoconfiguration mechanism" specification and supporting documentation to IESG for publications as Proposed Standard
- Apr 07: Submit "configured address uniqueness maintenance" specification and supporting documentation to IESG for publications as Proposed Standard
- Oct 07: Close or recharter the WG

A Couple of Comments

- Reuse existing specifications where appropriate
 - e.g. we should answer "how to make IPv6 stateless autoconf or DHCPv6 work in a MANET environment"
 - we should not e.g. "invent a new version of DHCPv6"
- Develop specifications
 - "configured address uniqueness maintenance"