# iTIP 2446bis

# Changes

- New draft: draft-ietf-calsify-2446bis-02

- Major changes were fixes to the various component/property restriction tables to bring them into line with 2445bis requirements.

# Issue #1

- In CANCEL method descriptions for VEVENT/VTODO/VJOURNAL: option (b) says multiple Recurrence-Ids can be sent but 2445 and the table only allow one.

  - Proposal: remove the (b) description text.

# Issue #2

- COMMENT property can be added to REPLY but there is no obvious way to tell that apart from COMMENTs sent in the request.

# Issue #3

- Most implementations discard VALARMs sent via iTIP so why should we even allow that?

# Issue #4

- No version number for iTIP? Do we need one now?

# Future

- Will have a bunch of detailed recurrence issues for the next round...

# Calendar User Address

- Calconnect has discussed this and came to the conclusion that a new URI scheme is needed (proposed 'scheduleto:').

- This indicates an address to which scheduling requests for a person (or resource) can be sent. It is protocol independent.

- A lookup process (SRV records) would map this address to an actual server/service.