# PD route state maintenance approaches draft-stenberg-pd-route-maintenance-00.txt

Markus Stenberg <a href="mailto:stenber@cisco.com">mstenber@cisco.com</a>>

#### **Problem statement**

The PD protocol solves to delegation of the prefix but not how to get and maintain routing state for it.

Who does maintenance (options):

- Backend system
- First-hop router (DR)

### Different approaches (1/2)

- Centralized solution
  - No way for the backend to know DR state
  - No way to push the routes to DR
- DR-based solutions
  - Lease query (on-demand)
    - Requires triggering based on data-traffic / another protocol to maintain state
  - Lease query (anticipatory)
    - Complex to implement, transport debate (TCP)
  - Persistent storage
    - Typically not available

## Different approaches (2/2)

- RR-based solutions
  - Routing protocol to the RR
    - Applicable only really in multihoming cases, and even then questions remain
  - Short lifetimes (DHCPv6 T1/T2 values)
    - Current state of the art
  - Keepalive (f.ex. BFD)
    - Considerably less overhead than short lifetimes
  - Layer-2 detection of the link state
    - The cleanest solution, if available

#### Summary

- There are 8 different ways for maintaining the routing state of the delegated prefixes
  - 4 doable without protocol changes
  - RR-based solutions seem cleanest if available
  - centralized solution not realistic, nor interesting
  - lease queries seem worth pursuing
    - useful in where RR change not possible
  - routing protocol selection options for DHCPv6
    may be needed for multihoming cases
    (otherwise, the routing protocol would need to be
    statically stored somewhere)