72nd IETF
Kerberos Working Group

draft-ietf-krb-wg-anon-05.txt
Last Call Issues

A018 - Anonymous AS Realm
Sam Hartman <hartmans-ietf@mit.edu>

☐ With anonymous PKINIT, what should the client realm be?

PROPOSAL
☐ When anonymous PKINIT is used, the returned realm name MUST be the anonymous realm

Last Call - draft-ietf-krb-wg-anon-05.txt

A028 - AD-INITIAL-VERIFIED-CAS
Jeffrey Hutzelman <jhutz@cmu.edu>

- ☐ Draft -05 said the KDC MAY remove AD-INITIAL-VERIFIED-CAS subject to policy.

- ☐ Draft -07 says is SHOULD do so.

- ☐ Is this change OK?

Last Call - draft-ietf-krb-wg-anon-05.txt

A019 - Anonymous vs cross-realm policy
Sam Hartman <hartmans-ietf@mit.edu>

- ☐ How should anonymous realms interact with cross-realm policy?

Last Call - draft-ietf-krb-wg-anon-05.txt

A020 - Which KDC?
Sam Hartman <hartmans-ietf@mit.edu>

- ☐ In the anonymous AS request, which KDC do you contact for the anonymous ticket?

Last Call - draft-ietf-krb-wg-anon-05.txt

A022 - Is anonymous@REALM anonymous?
Ken Raeburn <raeburn@mit.edu>:

☐ WELLKNOWN/ANONYMOUS@REALM
   gives the server some information
   about the identity of the client.

☐ Should this be treated as anonymous
   at the GSS-API layer?

A023 - GSS Import anonymous
Ken Raeburn <raeburn@mit.edu>:

☐ Should importing an anonymous Kerberos principal name and calling display_name get NT-ANONYMOUS back as the type?

or

☐ Should NT-ANONYMOUS names only be generated by accept_sec_context?

A016 - display_name
Sam Hartman <hartmans-ietf@mit.edu>

- ☐ If I call gss_display_name on an anonymous principal in an acceptor, what do I expect to get back?