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## Status Update

- Since Philadelphia (from -00 to -03):
- 6 issues have been opened
- 11 issues have been closed
- Currently:
- 10 issues are being considered for closure
- 4 issues are being discussed
- Issues are tracked at
http://www.vcarddav.org/issues.xhtml


## Major Changes

- Made value of TEL a URI. (e.g. "tel" scheme)
- Created PID parameter and section on synchronization.
- Created the IANA process for registering new vCard elements, with vendor namespace.
- Removed inline vCard property value.
- Added the MEMBER and RELATED properties.


## Issues Being Considered for Closure (1/2)

- Some last-minute discussion on two issues.
- \#152 TEL Type Definition
- We drop the PREF parameter for all properties.
- Is it still needed for e.g. EMAIL?
- Do we want to specify that ordering matters?
- We reuse group syntax instead of work/home.
- Need to assign "meaning" to special group names.
- Restrict TYPE parameter value to this set:
- "VOICE" / "VIDEO" / "TEXT" / "FAX" / "CELL" / "PAGER" / iana-token / x-token


## Issues Being Considered for Closure (2/2)

- \#236 Suggestion for allowance of truncated date format in BDAY
- There appears to be consensus that we want to allow dates to be truncated and have reduced precision.
- Need to decide on which properties it makes sense.
- Need to decide whether to specify ISO 8601:2000 or ISO 8601:2004 with truncation exception in special cases.


## Issues Being Discussed (1/2)

- \#171 Per-property UID
- Will be discussed after this presentation.
- \#225 Mandatory UID property
- At first it seemed like UID would be mandatory.
- What about devices that cannot preserve it?
- Do they just create a new dummy one?
- Are they simply deemed non-compliant?
- SHOULD or MUST?


## Issues Being Discussed (2/2)

- \#306 Define the cardinality of each property
- PIDs need not be applied to properties that may appear only once.
- We need to define which are these. Some text will be written to that effect.
- \#307 Replace AGENT with a type of RELATED
- Instead of a separate property, we could have:

RELATED; type=agent:http://bottin.example.com/...

