## ALTO Discovery Discussion

draft-wang-alto-discovery-00 draft-song-alto-server-discovery-00

G. Garcia, Telefonica I+D
M. Tomsu, Alcatel-Lucent Bell
Labs
Y. Wang, Microsoft

H. Song, Huawei V. Pascual, Tekelec R. Even, Gesher Erove Y. Zhang, China Mobile

## Agenda

- Goals/Takeaways
- Metrics & Issues
- Mechanisms
  - DHCP, DNS, Multicast, ...
- Strawman Frameworks
  - By Peers
  - By Trackers

## **ALTO Discovery**

#### Goals

- Not to (re-)invent another discovery framework
- Survey of most obvious existing mechanisms
- List the evaluation criteria
- The final discovery framework(s) will likely be combinations of mechanisms presented here
- Takeaway (at this stage) ...
  - Pros & cons for each individual type of mechanism
  - Classifications based on specific scenarios

## Discovery Metrics

- Discovery "Clients"
  - Application Trackers vs. Peers
  - Cross-domain vs. Localized discovery
  - Hybrid approaches: Peers convey discovery information to trackers
- Service Locations
  - Distributed vs. Centralized
  - Distributed approach still requires finding a bootstrap ALTO server, may require a level of indirection
- Service Deployments
  - ISP-centric
  - Application level or trusted third parties
- Layering perspective
  - Network (DHCP, DNS, ...) vs. Application (WSD, XRDS, ...)

#### **DHCP**

- Provisioning ALTO server locations in DHCP
- [+] Advantages
  - Matches administrative domains
  - Minimum configuration on the clients
  - Happens during each network connect
- [-] Disadvantages
  - Only peer-based discovery
  - Limited to ISP-centric ALTO server discovery
  - Requires support in end-user equipment (home routers)
  - Need to create load balance scheme among local ALTO servers if more than one exists

#### DNS

- Provision ALTO server information in DNS
- [+] Advantages
  - Can support cross-domain service discovery (if well-known names)
  - Does not impose any requirement in the end-user network
  - Applications already make use of DNS queries
- [-] Disadvantages
  - Requires to pre-discover the corresponding ALTO server domain names (or well-known names)

### Multicast

- Multicast discovery requests and/or multicast service announcements
- [+] Advantages
  - (Almost) zero configuration
- [-] Disadvantages
  - Scope constraint LAN only
  - NAT traversal (clients behind NAT)
  - (Potential) Multicast flooding & latency concerns

# Discovery by Peer (DHCP & DNS)

- A client Uses DHCP to retrieve the service name of local ALTO service
  - E.g. \_ALTO.\_TCP.MyISP.com
  - Service name will not change, unlike address
  - Consideration of RGs
- Then it uses DNS SRV query for the address information
  - Load balance mechanism of SRV can be used

## Discovery by Tracker

- First step: Application tracker must get the ISP/AS info of its client first
  - E.g. Using IANA database
  - Client may also send its ISP/AS info to the tracker (e.g. retrieve its ISP/AS info with DHCP)
- Second step: Tracker sends the DNS SRV query to retrieve the address information of ALTO server for its client
  - ALTO requests for different clients lead to different ALTO servers

## Manual configuration

- ALTO server information could also be configured on the ALTO client by a user or service provider manually.
- It has the limit when used in mobility scenarios, because ALTO server changes.

#### Concerns

- Load balance among ALTO servers
  - Via server redirection vs. via discovery
- Well known port or not
- IP address change of ALTO servers
- Mobile scenarios of ALTO clients
  - Once a client has located an ALTO server for the first time, it can cache it for future use.
  - It also has the limit for mobility scenarios, because the ALTO server changes.

## **Next Steps**

- Two teams working on the merged ID
  - Submit after this IETF
- Ask for WG feedbacks ...
  - Other discovery mechanisms/frameworks?
  - Map architectural decisions to the discovery discussion