# Secure DHCPv6 Using CGAs

#### draft-jiang-dhc-secure-dhcpv6-02.txt DHC Working Group IETF 76, Hiroshima

Sheng JIANG & Sean SHEN

## **DHCPv6 Security Issues**

- Current DHCPv6 uses regular IPv6 addresses
  - a malicious attacker can use a fake address to spoof or launch an attack
- A malicious server can provide incorrect configuration information to the client in order to
  - cause the client to communicate with a malicious server, like DNS
  - cause all network communication from the client to fail
  - collect critical information through the interaction with clients

#### A malicious client can

- spoof DHCP servers to register incorrect information in services, like DNS
- be able to gain unauthorized access to some resources

Note: we do not analyze all DHCPv6 security issues here, the above are only what we can improve

# **DHCPv6 Security Issues (2)**

- Current DHCPv6 has defined an authentication option with a symmetric key
  - its key management using either manual configuration or transmitting key in plaintext
  - either way, the security of key itself is in question mark
- Communication between a server and a relay agent, and communication between relay agents can be secured through the use of IPSec
  - IPSec is quite complicated and barely used
  - Communication between a relay agent and a client

#### **Secure DHCPv6 Overview**

- Introduce a CGA option with an address ownership proof mechanism
  - This CGA address must be used in IP transmission
- Introduce a signature option with a verification mechanism
  - The pub/priv key pair with CGA is used for verification/ signature
- The above two option must be used together

# **New DHCPv6 Options**

#### CGA Option

- containing the CGA Parameters data structure [RFC3972]

#### Signature Option

- **HA-id** the hash algorithm is used for computing the signature result
- SA-id the signature algorithm is used for computing the signature result
- **HA-id-KH** the hash algorithm used for producing the Key Hash field
- Timestamp the current time of day (NTP-format timestamp [RFC1305]), reduce the danger of replay attacks
- Key Hash a 128-bit hash result of the public key used for constructing the signature. To associate the signature to a particular key known by the receiver
- Signature a digital signature constructed by using the sender's private key over CGA Message Type tag, src/des IP addr, DHCPv6 message head and all DHCPv6 options

#### **Processing Rules and Behaviors**

#### • At the sender side:

- send secure DHCPv6 messages using the CGA address
- both the CGA option and the Signature option MUST be present in all secure DHCPv6 messages

#### • At the receiver side:

- DHCPv6 messages without either the CGA option or the Signature option MUST be treated as unsecured
- verify the source address, as used in IP header, with the CGA option
- verify the Signature option
- Only the messages that succeed both CGA and signature verifications are accepted as secured DHCPv6 messages

### **Security Considerations**

- DHCPv6 nodes without CGAs or the DHCPv6 messages that use unspecific addresses as source address cannot be protected
- Downgrade attacks cannot be avoided if nodes are configured to accept both secured and unsecured messages
  - A simple solution is that Secure DHCPv6 is mandated on all servers, reply agents and clients if a certain link has been deployed Secure DHCPv6

### **Support for Relay Scenarios**

- Relay agent restructures the DHCPv6 messages, new message header does not contain the original sender's source CGA
  - Client  $\rightarrow$  Relay $\rightarrow$  Server

The relay agent copies the client's source address to the peer-address field according to [RFC3315] The receiver, a DHCPv6 server, can find the sender's source CGA address in

the peer-address field for CGA verification.

• Server  $\rightarrow$  Relay  $\rightarrow$  Client

The DHCPv6 server will know a client is behind relay(s) by receiving a Relayforward DHCPv6 message. Then it will reply a Relay-reply message with the server's source CGA being carried in the server DUID

- The receiver, a DHCPv6 client can get the server's source CGA address for CGA verification. The server DUID is also protected by CGA.
- The Server Address Type DUID (DUID-SA) is newly defined in this draft. It allows IP address of DHCPv6 servers be carried in DHCPv6 message payload

### **Discussion on mail list**

- Different from current Auth option?
- Can use DHCP Auth framework (use CGA as subprotocol of current Auth option) ?
- Should the Signature option be last or not?
  - Current draft adopts non-last model
  - Signing all DHCPv6 options except for the Signature option itself and the Authentication Option

#### Adopt as WG document?

# **Thank You!**

Sheng JIANG (shengjiang@huawei.com) Sean SHEN (shenshuo@cnnic.com)

### **Brief Introduce of CGA**

- CGAs [RFC3972] is IPv6 address, which is bound with the public key of the host
- The binding between the public key and the address can be verified at the receiver side
  - Address ownership can be verified
- Messages sent using CGAs can be protected by attaching the CGA parameters and by signing the message with the corresponding private key of the host
- The protection can work via either certificate or local configuration

# **Discussion on mail list (1)**

#### Different from current Auth option

- Source IP address verification
- Based on simpler but more reliable key management
- CGA can protects communication between servers and relay agents
- CGA can be used not particularly for DHCPv6, but also used for other scenarios
- Why not use DHCP Auth framework (use CGA as sub-protocol of current Auth option)
  - DHCPv6 AUTH allow only ONE auth option, only client and server can authenticate each other, relay agents have to be authenticated via IPSEC
  - Our proposal tries to avoid this IPSEC requirement and makes sure that all the relay agents in the middle can be authenticated and be trusted by the receiver

# **Discussion on mail list (2)**

#### Should the Signature option be last or not

- Support to be last (initial design)
  - Simpler for generator and verifier
  - Last generated in the time order
  - Last in SEND and Enhanced Route Optimization MIPV6

#### Against to be last

- None of DHCPv6 option requires specific place
- Problems if another option also requires to be last in the future
- It is a design choice, both technically doable