

EAP-Only Authentication in IKEv2 draft-ietf-ipsecme-eap-mutual-00

Pasi Eronen, Yaron Sheffer, Hannes Tschofenig

IETF-77, Anaheim, CA



Motivation

- Client auth using certificates is hard to do
- Even server auth requires some provisioning
- IKE shared secret often abused for password authentication
- EAP-only auth is often more practical
 - E.g. EAP-AKA (long shared secret)
 - EAP-EKE, EAP-PWD (password)
- Current IKEv2 requires authentication of the server cert, even when you're doing EAP
 - Regardless of the assurances of the EAP method
 - AUTH payload in message 4



Proposed Solution

- Prerequisite: a mutual authenticating, key generating EAP method
- Add an EAP_ONLY_AUTHENTICATION notification to message 3
- Responder cert is not required, AUTH payloads computed using the EAP MSK
- If the responder does not understand, initiator validates cert-derived AUTH payload as usual (new in -00)



Message Sequence

Initiator

Responder

HDR, SAi1, KEi, Ni, [N(NAT_DETECTION_SOURCE_IP), N(NAT_DETECTION_DESTINATION_IP)] → ← HDR, SAr1, KEr, Nr, [CERTREQ], [N(NAT_DETECTION_SOURCE_IP), N(NAT_DETECTION_DESTINATION_IP)]

HDR, SK { IDi, [IDr], SAi2, TSi, TSr, N(EAP_ONLY_AUTHENTICATION), [CP(CFG_REQUEST)] } → ← HDR, SK {IDr, EAP(Request) }

HDR, SK {EAP(Response) } \rightarrow

← HDR, SK {EAP(Request) }

HDR, SK {EAP(Response) } →

← HDR, SK {EAP(Success) }

HDR, SK **{AUTH}** →

<-- HDR, SK {AUTH, SAr2, TSi, TSr, [CP(CFG_REPLY]}



Channel Binding

- EAP should authenticate the identity of the IKE Responder
 - Otherwise a rogue Access Point can masquerade as a VPN gateway
- This means:
 - The EAP method should be mutually authenticating and key generating (MSK)
 - The EAP exchange should include both parties' IKE identities
 - These identities should be crypto-bound into MSK
 - We trust the AAA server to include the correct gateway identity in EAP
- Not (yet) discussed in -00



New in -00

- An explicit list (and IANA registry) of allowed EAP methods
 - Non-expert implementers do not have to sift through available methods
 - The list does *not* include any tunneled (X-in-TLS) methods
- Both peers MUST ensure use of legal methods



Thank You!