# RELOAD Status/Open Issues

draft-ietf-p2psip-base-11
IETF 79

Eric Rescorla ekr@rtfm.com

#### **Overall Status**

- draft -10 (Aug 3), draft-11 (Oct 12)
  - Resolved most known open issues
  - Thanks to Eric Burger for a detailed review
- Second WGLC ended November 4
  - Some minor new issues raised
- General plan
  - Resolve remaining issues here
  - Confirm on the list
  - Generate a finished draft by December 10

# Variable-length node-ids

- Enacts WG consensus
- Fixed per overlay
- Range of 16-20 bytes
- Set in configuration document

### Non-TLS security modes

- Enacts WG consensus: (D)TLS for now with room for other prototocols in future
- Requirements for future link protocols in §5.6.1:
  - Endpoint authentication
  - Traffic origin authentication and integrity
  - Traffic confidentiality
- Set in configuration document

# **Direct Response Routing**

- Permitted on a single overlay basis
- Set in configuration document

### **Minor Changes**

- Provided a definition of AppAttachReq and AppAttachAns in §5.5.2.1 and 5.5.2.2.
- ullet no ICE o NoICE
- Added a send\_update flag to AttachReqAns to facilitate requests for immediate updates

### Minor Changes: RFC 2119 issues

- Removed MUST-level requirement for generation counter on opaque Destination values as unenforceable [Eric Burger]
- Made setting FORWARD\_CRITICAL and DESTINATION\_CRITICAL MUST-level with DirectResponseForwardingOption. (interoprequirement)
- Recipients now MAY process messages with unknown non-critical extensions (was SHOULD) [Eric Burger]
- Clarified what the MUST requirement is for processing Attach (you can refuse and throw an error) [Eric Burger]
- Strengthened requirements on which STUN servers to use (MUST use one from the same group) in §5.5.1.4.

#### **Known Uncontroversial TODOs**

- Add padding to PING to facilitate MTU discovery
- Rewrite/clarify leap-second text in §5.5.3.2

#### **ICE: Nomination Level**

- §5.5.1.10.2 formerly required regular nomination
  - Regular nomination is quite a bit slower than aggressive
  - There are already a lot of round-trips
- Original rationale was to ensure consistent state
  - Don't believe this is needed: ICE naturally converges

Proposed Resolution: Leave as-is in the draft

# Mandatory to Implement Signature/Hash Algorithms

- None specified
- Need some for interop

**Proposed Resolution:** RSA with SHA-256

### **Direct Response Routing and ICE**

• Specified in §5.3.2.4

This option can only be used if the direct-return-response-permitted flag in the configuration for the overlay is set to TRUE. The RESPONSE\_COPY flag SHOULD be set to false while the FORWARD\_CRITICAL and DESTINATION\_CRITICAL MUST be set to true. When a node that supports this forwarding options receives a request with it, it acts as if it had send an Attach request to the the requesting\_node and it had received the connection\_information in the answer. This causes it to form a new connection directly to that node.

• This doesn't work with ICE because the sender of the request doesn't have your information

Proposed Resolution: DRR can only be used with No-ICE

#### Node-Ids in JOIN/LEAVE

• Currently JoinReq and LeaveReq have the joining Node-Id

- This is unnecessary because the Node-Id is provided by the security block.
- Just one more thing to check

**Proposed Resolution:** It's annoying but harmless, so in the interest of compatibility leave it in but clarify that a check is required.

### **Specifying Counter Values for NODE-MULTIPLE**

#### §**6.3.4**:

In the NODE-MULTIPLE policy, a given value MUST be written (or overwritten) if and only if the request is signed with a key associated with a certificate containing a Node-ID such that H(Node-ID || i) is equal to the Resource-ID for some small integer value of i. When this policy is in use, the maximum value of i MUST be specified in the kind definition.

- i is not carried on the wire anywhere
- Maximum value is specified in the configuration document
- Possible approaches
  - Verifier iterates through i values (not that slow but annoying)
  - Add syntax to carry i (kind of a gross special case)

**Proposed Resolution:** Verifier iterates (with regrets)

# Pings while Joining ( $\S 9.4$ )

- Current procedure requires sending Pings to populate the table (step 2)
- These are unnecessary since Attach automatically discovers the right node

**Proposed Resolution:** Remove Pings as proposed on-list by BBL (Nov 1)

# Join race condition I (Michael Chen)

- §9.4:
  - Step 7: routing table from  $AP \rightarrow JP$
  - Step 8: routing table from  $AP \rightarrow NP$
- In some cases (e.g., Chord predecessors) this may cause simultaneous connects between JP and it's new neighbors

**Proposed Resolution:** Tiebreaker when multiple connections are established between a pair of nodes. Terminate the connection *originating* from the smaller Node-Id seems like a natural choice.

# Join Attach timing (Michael Chen)

- Proposal is to skip step 3 in which JP sends Attaches to its expected nodes.
- Argument for this is that the logic is simpler since no need to do incremental probing.
- Argument against is that it then takes longer to get fully established. Client has multiple ways to get AP's routing table which would allow unified logic for the neighbor set.

**Proposed Resolution:** Leave as-is but add discussion of the option to get AP's routing table rather than probe.