ALTO Protocol

draft-ietf-alto-protocol-07

Richard Alimi (Ed.), Reinaldo Penno (Ed.), Stefano Previdi, Stanislav Shalunov, Richard Woundy, Y. Richard Yang (Ed.)

Grateful to contributions from large number of collaborators; see draft for complete list.

Outline

- Summary of Changes
- Impending revisions
- Remaining Issues

Change Summary

- Additions
 - Address types
- Minor updates / fixes
 - Protocol version number format (must be integer)
 - Fix status codes in examples (they are strings, not integers)
 - Clarification to text on redistribution and when ALTO Servers should have the same Service ID
 - Signature in redistribution

Address Types

- Motivation
 - □ Finally ALTO knows the difference between IPv4 and IPv6
- Two ways in which types are used:
 - Describe type of a single endpoint
 - Used in endpoint cost/properties
 - Describe types of multiple endpoints
 - More compact; used in map services

Excerpt from Endpoint Cost Response:

```
"map" : {
   "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : 1,
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34" : 2,
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45" : 3
   }
}
```

Excerpt from Network Map Response:

```
"map" : {
    "PID1" : {
        "ipv4" : [
            "192.0.2.0/24",
            "198.51.100.0/25"
     ]
    },
    "PID2" : {
        "ipv4" : [
            "198.51.100.128/25"
     ]
    },
    "PID3" : {
        "ipv4" : [
            "0.0.0.0/0"
     ],
        "ipv6" : [
            "::/0"
     ]
    ]
}
```

Impending Revisions

- Discussion on mailing list resulted in some additional suggestions
 - Fix places where certain requirements are overspecified, e.g.,
 - Clarify that implementations MUST NOT make use of cookies (they should only be silently ignored)
 - Which caching headers should be specified
 - Make the character set for PID names have at least 64 characters
 - To allow them to be Base64-encoded hashes

Remaining Issues

- REST-ful or REST-like
- Keep the feedback from implementations coming