1- Simple Reed-Solomon 2- Simple LDPC-Staircase 3- Reed-Solomon with RTP framing

IETF 80 – Prague, March 2011

<u>V. Roca</u> (INRIA)



# Simple Reed-Solomon FEC Scheme for FECFRAME

draft-ietf-fecframe-simple-rs-00





# **Goals (reminder)**

 specifies how to use Reed-Solomon codes in FECFRAME

KISS (keep it simple and stupid) approach
RS codes over GF(2m), m in {2.. 16},
Oin practice, focus on m = 4, 8 (default) and 16
Complements our RFC 5510 (RMT WG)

 DOES NOT consider RTP framing of FEC repair packets

osee next presentation and draft-galanos-fecframe-rtpreedsolomon-03.txt

### Status

now WG Item document
See Greg's email, sent January 5<sup>th</sup>

changes WRT previous I-D

**O**"security considerations" section completely rewritten

O...since I'm now happy with the new framework's security section ☺

Ocomplements the framework's section with Reed-Solomon specific considerations instead of repeating things

Oadded an "operations and management considerations" section

**Ofocuses on Finite Field Size (m) Recommendations** 

• as promised, document is ready for WGLC

# Simple LDPC-Staircase FEC Scheme for FECFRAME

draft-ietf-fecframe-ldpc-00



# **Goals (reminder)**

 specifies how to use LDPC-staircase codes in FECFRAME

○KISS (keep it simple and stupid) approach

- Ocomplements our RFC 5170 (RMT WG)
- Oprovides a single scheme: LDPC-Staircase for arbitrary packet flows
- DOES NOT consider RTP framing of FEC repair packets

Oleft to future works if the need arises...

### Status

### still needs formal validation as WG Item document

Oseveral motivated supports as requested

**OPr. Jerôme Lacan (co-author)** 

**OValentin Savin, PhD** 

Oaccepted as WG Item (see Greg's email, sent Jan. 5<sup>th</sup>)

## changes WRT previous I-D

**O**"security considerations" section completely rewritten

Ocomplements the framework's section with Reed-Solomon specific considerations instead of repeating things

Oadded an "operations and management considerations" section

Ogives insights on erasure recovery and speed performances Ogives insights on when and how to use this FEC scheme



• as promised, document is ready for WGLC

# **RTP Payload Format for Reed Solomon FEC**

draft-galanos-fecframe-rtp-reedsolomon-03

- S. Galanos (Radvision)
- O. Peck (Kaminario)

V. Roca (INRIA) *I'm the new editor* 

# **Goals (reminder)**

omplements draft-ietf-fecframe-simple-rs-00

Oshares with the simple-rs I-D:

ORS codes over GF(2m), m in  $\{2..., 16\}$ ,

Oin practice, focus on m = 4, 8 (default) and 16

**ORFC 5510 (RMT WG) for code specifications** 

#### • differences:

Olimited to a single, RTP-based, source flow

Odefines an RTP framing of FEC repair packets within one or several FEC repair flows

### Status

submitted as individual I-D

Obut accepted as WG Item document Osee Greg's email, sent January 5<sup>th</sup>

### changes WRT previous I-D

Oa lot has been done...

Ore-design of the repair FEC Payload ID encoding

#### Omotivated by the FEC Framework discussion on

*"managing losses between the sending application and the FECFRAME instance"* 

http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/fecframe/current/msg00841.html

- previous solution based on an RTP SN table
- new solution only requires all RTP source packets of a block to be available at the FECFRAME instance

Otext aligned with other Reed-Solomon document

# Status... (cont')

### what's next?

# some work still remains to be done *Oapprox. 2 full days of work Onext time will be submitted as WG Item*