

CDN Interconnection Problem Statement

draft-ietf-cdni-problem-statement-01

Ben Niven-Jenkins

Francois Le Faucheur

Nabil Bitar

Changes since last IETF

- draft-ietf-cdni-problem-statement-00
 - Replaces draft-jenkins-cdni-problem-statement-02
 - Moved “non Problem Statement” text to an Appendix
 - Non-Goals
 - Prioritizing CDNI work
 - Background info on other SDOs & R&D projects
 - Added definition for “Authoritative CDN”
 - Included option for Request between dCDN Surrogate & uCDN Request Router explicitly in reference model.
- draft-ietf-cdni-problem-statement-01
 - Various text/editorial clean ups
 - Placed all referenced documents under “Informative References”

Last Open Question?

- Question raised on grouping of functionalities per CDNI interface
 - Problem Statement is not meant to be prescriptive/restrictive
 - Includes statement (in section 3):
 - Note that the actual grouping of functionalities under these four interfaces is considered tentative at this stage and may be changed after further study (e.g. some subset of functionality be moved from one interface into another).
 - Proposal:
 - Leave problem statement as-is.
 - Future discussions/consensus could change functionality under an interface.
- **Problem Statement now ready for WG Last Call?**