

L2VPN WG “NVO3” Meeting

IETF 82

Taipei, Taiwan

Agenda

- Administrivia
- Framing Today's Discussions (5 minutes)
- Cloud Networking: Framework and VPN Applicability (30 minutes)
- Problem Statement: Overlays for Network Virtualization (45 minutes)
- Open Mic (70 minutes)

Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
 - The IETF plenary session
 - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
 - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
 - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
 - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
 - The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.
- Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

NVO3

- Network Virtualisation Over L3 (IP)
 - L2 Service over IP tunnels
 - Various encap proposals already in draft
 - Various MAC learning options (data plane as per bridges/VPLS, control plane as per TRILL/E-VPN, something else?)
- Original plan was to set up a BoF
- ADs decided this was within L2VPN scope
- We are discussing this now...

Framing the Discussion

1) What is the problem?

- Is the problem statement a good starting point?

2) What new work has to be done?

- By “work” we don’t just mean create protocols

3) Do we agree IETF needs to work on this?

- Not discussing which WG here and now!