

# **PWE3 Congestion Considerations**

draft-ietf-pwe3-congcons-01.pdf

Yaakov (J) Stein

David Black

Bob Briscoe

# Recap

PWE3, as a WG, has a long-standing commitment to deal with the congestion problem

At IETF-84 the authors presented *draft-stein-pwe3-congcons-01* at both PWE3 and TSVAREA

Note: the pdf version contains essential graphs that can not be depicted in the txt version

PWE3 decided to accept it as a WG document

*draft-ietf-pwe3-congcons-00* was identical to the individual draft

*draft-ietf-pwe3-congcons-01* has some changes

# Reminder: What this draft says ...

We present two distinct cases:

- 1) *elastic* PWs carrying congestion responsive traffic  
e.g., Ethernet PWs carrying mostly TCP traffic
- 2) *inelastic* PWs that can not respond to congestion  
e.g., TDM PWs (structure-agnostic or structure-aware)

Analysis shows that:

- 1) elastic PWs are automatically TCP-friendly  
and do not require any additional mechanisms
- 2) inelastic PWs are *often* TCP-friendly  
and frequently do not require any additional mechanisms

A TDM PW that contributes unfairly to congestion  
needs to be shut down

# Changes in version 01

- Graphs for T1, E1, E3, T3 cases
- Conformant area for TDM PWs is shaded in
- New appendix on voice quality for voice channels inside TDM PWs with packet loss  
(taken from draft-stein-pwe3-tdm-packetloss)

# Open issues

What happens when inelastic PWs compete  
with short-lived TCP flows ?  
(present argument treats long lived TCP flows)

How much time to wait until shutting down a misbehaving PW ?  
(need a smoothing criterion)