The Benefits and Pitfalls of using ECN

draft-ietf-aqm-ecn-benefits-01

Gorry Fairhurst Michael Welzl

Purpose

- Goal of the draft is to present a vision for why people should be using ECN
- Identify key benefits, but also identify pitfalls
- General recommendation is to enable ECN

Changes in -01

- This is mostly a rewrite to ete all the sections and a rewrite to improve readability
- We're presenting today because we think this is now ready for wider review.

Document Overview

- I. Introduction (what is ECN)
- 2. ECN deployment (new section)

Public Internet v. Private (e.g. DCTP)

- 3. Benefits to avoid congestion
 - 3.1 Improved Throughput
 - 3.2 Reduced Head-of-Line Blocking
 - 3.3 Reduced Probability of RTO Expiry
 - 3.4 Applications that do not retransmit
- 4.Benefit from Early Congestion Detection
 - 4.1 Avoiding Capacity Overshoot
 - 4.2 Making Congestion Visible

Document Overview 2

- 5. Other forms of ECN-Marking/Reactions
- 6. Pitfalls when using ECN
 - 6.1 Bleaching and middlebox requirements
 - 6.2 Verifying whether a path really supports ECN
 - 6.3 Receiver cheating

Document Overview 3

7. Conclusion

Prerequisites for network devices

```
should not reset the ECN codepoint to zero by default should correctly update the ECN codepoint in the presence of congestion
```

should correctly support alternate ECN semantics

Prerequisites for network endpoints

should use transports that receive ECN marks

should correctly return congestion feedback

should use transports that can detect misuse and paths that do not support ECN, providing fallback

Known Issues

- Table in section 5 should include section 4 topics.
- We were late uploading the new rev (sorry)

Next steps

- Please read & comment, or offer to review
- We think this can soon go to WGLC