

draft-irtf-cfrg-pake-reqs-00

Jörn-Marc Schmidt

Dan Harkins

# What? Why? When?

- Draft to ultimately express consensus of RG on requirements for PAKEs submitted to CFRG
- To add some structure to an anticipated discussion when we get around to discussing PAKEs— oh, and the chairmen asked too
- To be submitted *Real Soon Now*— hopefully within a month

# What's it Look Like?

- A discussion of different types of PAKEs
  - password storage and augmented versus balanced
  - transmitting encrypted public keys versus unencrypted public keys
- A brief note about password authenticated key *distribution* being separate from key *establishment*
- Mention of multiparty PAKEs
- A discussion of the threat environment and what it means for a PAKE to be secure
- A list of (hopefully) non-controversial requirements

# Requirements?

- A PAKE scheme **MUST** clearly state its features— e.g regarding balanced versus augmented
- A PAKE scheme **SHOULD** come with a security proof and clearly state its assumptions and models
- It **SHOULD** be possible to implement the PAKE scheme in constant time
- For a PAKE using ECC, the scheme **SHOULD** address mapping of bitstrings to field elements and back, where appropriate
- Optimizations to improve performance **MAY** be mentioned