

SPRING (Source Packet Routing in Networking) WG

IETF-92, Dallas

March 26, 2015

Chairs: Bruno Decraene, John Scudder

Note Well

•Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
 - The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
 - Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
 - Any IETF working group or portion thereof
 - Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
 - The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
 - The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

•Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult [RFC 5378](#) and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

•A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

•A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Charter Progress

“The SPRING working group is chartered for the following list of items:

- Identification and evaluation of use cases for SPRING. These use cases must include a definition of the data plane for the environment in which they are to be deployed.
- Definition of requirements for any new data plane encodings and procedures, required to implement the use cases. Such procedures must include the necessary security considerations.
- Definition of requirements and if necessary any new control plane mechanism needed to enable the use cases.
- Definition of requirements and if necessary management plane mechanisms needed to manage and operate a SPRING enabled network.”

Milestones, Drafts

- Attempted to categorize drafts that are candidates to complete a milestone
- Best-effort, drafts may be missing or mis-categorized!
- No judgment implied about WG acceptance!

Use Cases

“Identification and evaluation of use cases for SPRING. These use cases must include a definition of the data plane for the environment in which they are to be deployed.”

- “Jul 2014 - One or more documents describing SPRING use cases.”
 - draft-ietf-spring-ipv6-use-cases, draft-ietf-spring-problem-statement, draft-ietf-spring-resiliency-use-cases, draft-filsfils-spring-segment-routing-msdc, draft-geib-spring-oam-usecase, draft-filsfils-spring-segment-routing-central-epe

draft-ietf-spring-problem-statement

- WGLC completed some time ago
- Awaiting document update for shepherd comments

Data Plane Encodings [1]

“Definition of requirements for any new data plane encodings and procedures, required to implement the use cases. Such procedures must include the necessary security considerations.”

- Nov 2014 - Specification of a high-level abstract architecture for SPRING.
 - draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing
- Dec 2014 - Requirements for modifications if any to MPLS architecture to support SPRING use cases.
 - N/A
- Jan 2015 - Requirements for modifications if any to IPv6 architecture to support SPRING use cases.
 - N/A

Data Plane Encodings [2]

- Mar 2015 - Specification of any required new procedures to support SPRING use cases.
- Jul 2015 - One or more data plane extension requirements documents, including documenting the impact on existing deployments of the existing data planes.
 - Requirements – implicit in architecture + data plane extension documents?
 - draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls, draft-ietf-mpls-spring-entropy-label
 - draft-previdi-6man-segment-routing-header

Control Plane

“Definition of requirements and if necessary any new control plane mechanism needed to enable the use cases.”

- Jul 2015 - One or more control protocol extensions requirements documents.
- Requirements – implicit in architecture + control plane extensions?
 - draft-ietf-isis-segment-routing-extensions, draft-ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions, draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions, draft-keyupate-idr-bgp-prefix-sid, draft-previdi-idr-bgpls-segment-routing-epe, draft-ietf-pce-segment-routing, draft-sivabalan-pce-lsp-setup-type, draft-francois-spring-segment-routing-ti-lfa

Management Plane

“Definition of requirements and if necessary management plane mechanisms needed to manage and operate a SPRING enabled network.”

- Jul 2015 - Management requirements document.
 - draft-litkowski-spring-sr-yang, draft-hu-spring-yang
- Nov 2015 - Specify the OAM mechanisms needed to support SPRING.
 - draft-kumar-spring-sr-oam-requirement, draft-kumarkini-mpls-spring-lsp-ping, draft-mirsky-mpls-bfd-directed, draft-akiya-bfd-seamless-sr
 - IPv6 ?

Interworking

- Nov 2015 - Document inter-working and co-existence between the new procedures and the existing signalling and routing protocols.
 - draft-filsfils-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop
 - draft-bowers-spring-advertising-lsps-with-sr ?
 - draft-gredler-idr-bgp-ls-segment-routing-extension

Coordination with 6man and MPLS

“The initial data planes that will be considered are MPLS and IPv6.”

- draft-previdi-6man-segment-routing-header and draft-vyncke-6man-segment-routing-security presented at 6man on Monday
 - 6man WG adoption requested
 - Please consider contributing on 6man mailing list

WG Plan

- Update milestones (dates, draft names, etc)
- Work to move use cases documents forward
- ... then architecture documents
- Coordinate with other WGs as needed (notably MPLS, 6man)
- Not seeking additional use cases unless they impact requirements/architecture

Agenda

- Update on WG drafts 10 minutes
Stefano Previdi
- Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) Directed Return Path
draft-mirsky-mpls-bfd-directed-03
Greg Mirsky 5 minutes
- YANG Data Model for Segment Routing (I) 10 minutes
draft-litkowski-spring-sr-yang-00
Stéphane Litkowski
- YANG Data model for Segment Routing (II) 10 minutes
draft-hu-spring-yang-00
Fangwei Hu
- Entropy Label for SR-MPLS 5 minutes
draft-ietf-mpls-spring-entropy-label-00
Sriganesh Kini
- Multi-Topology (MT) Segment in Segment Routing 5 minutes
draft-li-spring-multi-topology-segment-00
Eric Wu