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History of Data Formats

- Ad Hoc
- Database Model
- Document Model
- Programming Language Model
**Box notation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conn</th>
<th>MatrixID</th>
<th>Reserved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Link Set A #1**

**Impairment Vector sub-TLV(s)**

**Additional Link Set pairs and Impairment Vector(s)**

**TLV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conn</th>
<th>MatrixID</th>
<th>Reserved</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impairment Vector sub-TLV(s)**
<xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8" standalone="no">
  <response>
    <result code="1000">
      <msg>Command completed successfully</msg>
    </result>
    <resData>
      <infData xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:domain-1.0" xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:domain-1.0 domain-1.0.xsd">
        <domain name="3.8.0.6.9.2.3.6.1.4.4.e164.arpa"/>
        <domain name="EXAMPLE1-REP"/>
        <domain name="ok"/>
        <domain name="jd1234"/>
        <domain name="tech"/>
        <domain name="sh0013"/>
        <domain name="hostObj"/>
        <domain name="ns2"/>
        <domain name="example"/>
        <domain name="ClientX"/>
        <domain name="ClientY"/>
        <domain name="ClientZ"/>
        <domain name="arpa"/>
        <domain name="e164"/>
        <domain name="e164"/>
        <domain name="c-major-device"/>
        <domain name="c-minor-device"/>
        <domain name="name"/>
        <domain name="path"/>
        <domain name="File"/>
        <domain name="category"/>
        <domain name="category"/>
        <domain name="category"/>
        <domain name="category"/>
      </infData>
    </resData>
    <extension>
      <e164 infData xmlns:e164="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:e164epp-1.0" xsi:schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:e164epp-1.0 e164epp-1.0.xsd">
        <e164 naptr">
          <e164 order="10"/>
          <e164 order="100"/>
          <e164 flags="u"/>
        </e164 naptr>
      </e164 infData>
    </extension>
  </response>
</epp>
JSON

• **JavaScript Object Notation**

• **Minimal**

• **Textual**

• **Subset of JavaScript**
Values

• Strings
• Numbers
• Booleans
• Objects
• Arrays
• null
Array

["Sunday", "Monday", "Tuesday", "Wednesday", "Thursday", "Friday", "Saturday"]

[
  [0, -1, 0],
  [1, 0, 0],
  [0, 0, 1]
]
Object

{
  "name": "Jack B. Nimble",
  "at large": true,
  "grade": "A",
  "format": {
    "type": "rect",
    "width": 1920,
    "height": 1080,
    "interlace": false,
    "framerate": 24
  }
}
{ "name": "Jack B. Nimble",
  "at large": true,
  "grade": "A",
  "format": {
    "type": "rect",
    "width": 1920,
    "height": 1080,
    "interlace": false,
    "framerate": 24
  }
}
JSON limitations

- No **binary** data (byte strings)
- Numbers are in **decimal**, some parsing required
- Format requires copying:
  - **Escaping** for strings
  - Base64 for binary
- **No extensibility** (e.g., date format?)
- Interoperability **issues**
  - I-JSON further reduces functionality (RFC 7493)
BSON and friends

- Lots of “binary JSON” proposals
- Often optimized for data at rest, not protocol use (BSON ➔ MongoDB)
- Most are more complex than JSON
Why a new binary object format?

• Different design goals from current formats
  – stated up front in the document
• Extremely small code size
  – for work on constrained node networks
• Reasonably compact data size
  – but no compression or even bit-fiddling
• Useful to any protocol or application that likes the design goals
Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)
“Sea Boar”
Design goals (1 of 2)

1. unambiguously encode most common data formats (such as JSON-like data) used in Internet standards

2. compact implementation possible for encoder and decoder

3. able to parse without a schema description.
Design goals (2 of 2)

4. Serialization reasonably **compact**, but data compactness **secondary to** implementation compactness

5. applicable to both **constrained nodes** and **high-volume applications**

6. support all **JSON** data types, conversion to and from JSON

7. **extensible**, with the extended data being able to be parsed by earlier parsers
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CBOR vs. “binary JSONs”

- Encoding $[1, [2, 3]]$: compact | stream

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>ASN.1 BER*</th>
<th>30 0b 02 01 01 30 06 02</th>
<th>30 80 02 01 01 30 06 02</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01 02 02 01 03</td>
<td>01 02 02 01 03 00 00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MessagePack</td>
<td>92 01</td>
<td>92 02 03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSON</td>
<td>22 00</td>
<td>00 00 00 00 10 30 00 01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 00</td>
<td>00 00 00 04 31 00 13 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 00</td>
<td>00 00 10 30 00 02 00 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 10</td>
<td>31 00 03 00 00 00 00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>00 00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBJSON</td>
<td>61 02</td>
<td>42 01 61 02 42 02</td>
<td>61 ff 42 01 61 02 42 02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>42 03</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 03 45*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBOR</td>
<td>82 01</td>
<td>82 02 03</td>
<td>9f 01 82 02 03 ff</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Very quick overview of the format

• Initial byte: **major type** (3 bits) and **additional information** (5 bits: immediate value or length information)

• Eight major types:
  – unsigned (0) and negative (1) **integers**
  – **byte** strings (2), **UTF-8** strings (3)
  – **arrays** (4), **maps** (5)
  – optional **tagging** (6) and **simple types** (7) (floating point, Booleans, etc.)
Additional information

- 5 bits
  - 0..23: immediate value
  - 24..27: 1, 2, 4, 8 bytes value follow
  - 28..30: reserved
  - 31: indefinite length
    - terminated only by 0xFF in place of data item

- Generates unsigned integer:
  - **Value** for mt 0, 1 (unsigned/neg integers), 7 ("simple")
  - **Length** (in bytes) for mt 2, 3 (byte/text strings)
  - **Count** (in items) for mt 4, 5 (array, map)
  - **Tag** value for mt 6
Major types 6 and 7

- mt 7:
  - **special** values for ai = 0..24
    - false, true, null, undef
    - IANA registry for more
  - ai = 25, 26, 27: IEEE **floats**
    - in 16 ("half"), 32 ("single"), and 64 ("double") bits
- mt 6: semantic **tagging** for things like dates, arbitrary-length bignums, and decimal fractions
Tags

• A Tag contains **one** data item
• 0: RFC 3339 (~ ISO 8601) **text string** date/time
• 1: UNIX time (**number** relative to 1970-01-01)
• 2/3: bignum (**byte string** encodes unsigned)
• 4: [**exp, mant**] (decimal fraction)
• 5: [**exp, mant**] (binary fraction, “bigfloat”)
• 21..23: expected conversion of **byte string**
• 24: nested CBOR data item in **byte string**
• 32…: URI, base64[**url**], regexp, mime (**text strings**)
New Tags

- Anyone can register a tag (IANA)
  - 0..23: Standards action
  - 24..255: Specification required
  - 256..18446744073709551615: FCFS
  - 25/256: stringref for simple compression
  - 28/29: value sharing (beyond trees)
  - 26/27: constructed object (Perl/generic)
  - 22098: Perl reference (“indirection”)
Examples

- Lots of examples in RFC (making use of JSON–like “diagnostic notation”)
- 0 ➔ 0x00, 1 ➔ 0x01, 23 ➔ 0x17, 24 ➔ 0x1818
- 100 ➔ 0x1864, 1000 ➔ 0x1903e8, 1000000 ➔ 0x1a000f4240
- 18446744073709551615 ➔ 0x1bffffffffffffffff, 18446744073709551616 ➔ 0xc2490100000000000000000000000000
- –1 ➔ 0x20, –10 ➔ 0x29, –100 ➔ 0x3863, –1000 ➔ 0x3903e7
- 1.0 ➔ 0xf93c00, 1.1 ➔ 0xfb3ff199999999999a, 1.5 ➔ 0xf93e00
- Infinity ➔ 0xf97c00, NaN ➔ 0xf97e00, –Infinity ➔ 0xf9fc00
- false ➔ 0xf4, true ➔ 0xf5, null ➔ 0xf6
- h'' ➔ 0x40, h'01020304' ➔ 0x4401020304
- "" ➔ 0x60, "a" ➔ 0x6161, "IETF" ➔ 0x6449455446
- [] ➔ 0x80, [1, 2, 3] ➔ 0x8301020302, [1, [2, 3], [4, 5]] ➔ 0x8301820203820405
- {} ➔ 0xa0, {1: 2, 3: 4} ➔ 0xa201020304, {"a": 1, "b": [2, 3]} ➔ 0xa26161016162820203
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http://cbor.me: CBOR playground

- Convert back and forth between diagnostic notation (~JSON) and binary encoding.
Implementations

- Parsing/generating CBOR easier than interfacing with application
- Minimal implementation: 822 bytes of ARM code
- Different integration models, different languages
- > 25 implementations (after first two years)

http://cbor.io
CBOR and CDDL

• CBOR takeup within IETF is increasing. How to write specs?

• CDDL: CBOR **Data Definition** Language
  • The best of ABNF, Relax-NG, JSON Content Rules
  • Rough tool available: `gem install cddl`
    • **Generate** example instances (CBOR or JSON)
    • **Check** instances against the definition
How RFC 7071 would have looked like in CDDL

reputation-object = {
  application: text
  reputons: [* reputon]
}

reputon = {
  rater: text
  assertion: text
  rated: text
  rating: float16
  ? confidence: float16
  ? normal-rating: float16
  ? sample-size: uint
  ? generated: uint
  ? expires: uint
  * text => any
}

; This is a map (JSON object)
; text string (vs. binary)
; Array of 0-∞ reputons
; Another map (JSON object)
; OK, float16 is a CBORism
; optional…
; unsigned integer
; 0-∞, express extensibility
GRASP

• Generic Autonomic Signaling Protocol (GRASP)

• For once, try not to invent another TLV format: just use CBOR

• Messages are arrays, with type, id, option:
  
  message /= [MESSAGE_TYPE, session-id, *option]

  MESSAGE_TYPE = 123 ; a defined constant

  session-id = 0..16777215

  ; option is one of the options defined below

• Options are arrays, again:

  option /= waiting-time-option

  waiting-time-option = [O_WAITING, waiting-time]

  O_WAITING = 456 ; a defined constant

  waiting-time = 0..4294967295 ; in milliseconds
Where from here?

- RFC 7049
- http://cbor.io
- cbor@ietf.org