

LIAISON STATEMENT

Title: OMA MWG-MEM SWG Liaison on Mobile e-mail to the IETF LEMONADE WG Public Confidential LS¹

Date: 06 February 2006

To: IETF LEMONADE WG

Response to: OMA-MEM-2006-0023-ILS-fromIETF-on-LEMONADE-Profile.

Source: OMA MWG-MEM SWG

Send Replies to: OMA MWG-MEM SWG, via
OMA-LIAISON@mail.openmobilealliance.org

Contact(s): Jerry Weingarten
jerry.weingarten@comverse.com

Attachments: N/A

1 Overview

This liaison is in response to specific questions raised in a liaison from IETF LEMONADE in OMA-MEM-2006-0023-ILS-fromIETF-on-LEMONADE-Profile.

2 Proposal

OMA MWG-MEM thanks IETF LEMONADE for their consideration of our previous liaison. We understand, and will take into consideration, your comments.

However, we have the following additional comments to your questions:

- (on your question 2) MWG-MEM has not yet considered this and thanks IETF LEMONADE for bringing this to our attention. As a result, we will study the possibility of a shorter list that is mandatory for the MEM enabler (and likely solicit the advice of the OMA STI group). We will provide IETF LEMONADE an update on this after our next meeting.
- (on your question 4) According to the MWG-MEM RD, only notification and send/receive roundtrip delay is of concern at this point. We will inform IETF LEMONADE if these requirements change.
- (on your question 6) According to the MWG-MEM RD, message recall is still a requirement. Specifically, that the client be able to request a recall and that success/failure be indicated back. MWG-MEM will consider IETF LEMONADE's input in its realization of this requirement and will let IETF know if any further work is requested.

Note that work continues on the MEM architecture document (AD). OMA MWG-MEM intends to continue to provide detailed updates of the Mobile e-mail enabler related activities as our work progresses.

OMA MWG-MEM will hold its next meeting on April 3-7 in Vancouver, Canada.

¹ If the "Confidential LS" box is selected, this liaison statement is intended to be Confidential per agreement by OMA and the addressed organization. Neither side should make this communication available to non-members.

NO REPRESENTATIONS OR WARRANTIES (WHETHER EXPRESS OR IMPLIED) ARE MADE BY THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE OR ANY OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE MEMBER OR ITS AFFILIATES REGARDING ANY OF THE IPR'S REPRESENTED ON THE "OMA IPR DECLARATIONS" LIST, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE ACCURACY, COMPLETENESS, VALIDITY OR RELEVANCE OF THE INFORMATION OR WHETHER OR NOT SUCH RIGHTS ARE ESSENTIAL OR NON-ESSENTIAL.

THE OPEN MOBILE ALLIANCE IS NOT LIABLE FOR AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF DOCUMENTS AND THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE DOCUMENTS.

THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" "AS AVAILABLE" AND "WITH ALL FAULTS" BASIS.

3 Requested Action(s)

We request the IETF LEMONADE Working Group to consider and accept these comments.

4 Conclusion

OMA MWG-MEM SWG wishes to thank the IETF LEMONADE WG for its response to OMA MWG-MEM's previous liaison and for the analysis and feedback they provided regarding the draft OMA Mobile Email RD and AD.

OMA MWG-MEM looks forward to continued collaboration on these matters with the IETF LEMONADE WG.

Finally, OMA MWG-MEM wishes to thank the IETF LEMONADE WG for its kind consideration of this liaison.