

TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR

STUDY PERIOD 2009-2012

English only

Original: English

Question(s): 9, 10, 12, 14/15

LIAISON STATEMENT

Source: ITU-T Study Group 15

Title: Comments on draft-farrel-mpls-tp-recommendation-review [Ref #18.02]

LIAISON STATEMENT

To: IETF MPLS WG

Approval: Agreed to by Questions 9, 10, 12, 14/15 (by correspondence)

For: Action

Deadline: 12 April 2010

Contact: Malcolm Betts Tel: +1 678 534-2542

ZTE Email: malcolm.betts@zte.com.cn

P. R. China

Thank you for your liaison statement (Ref # 18.01) requesting an early review by the ITU-T of "IETF Expectations of Participation in Development and Review of ITU-T Recommendations on MPLS-TP".

The experts of Q.9, Q.10, Q.12 and Q.14 have reviewed draft-farrel-mpls-tp-recommendation-review-00.txt by correspondence and request that the following changes be incorporated in the next revision of this draft:

Abstract and Introduction:

Current text:

... As part of this development process, the International Telecommunications Union - Telecommunications Standardisation Sector (ITU-T) will develop a number of Recommendations that document the integration of MPLS-TP into the transport network. Those Recomendations will not define any aspects of MPLS-TP protocols or procedure because that work is reserved for the IETF as the design authority for MPLS-TP...

However RFC5317 states

"Develop ITU-T Recommendations to allow MPLS-TP to be integrated with current transport equipment and networks, including in agreement with the IETF, the definition of any ITU-T-specific functionality within the MPLS-TP architecture via the MPLS change process [RFC4929]

Attention: Some or all of the material attached to this liaison statement may be subject to ITU copyright. In such a case this will be indicated in the individual document.

Such a copyright does not prevent the use of the material for its intended purpose, but it prevents the reproduction of all or part of it in a publication without the authorization of ITU.

- 2 -COM 15 – LS 151 – E

Revised text:

... As part of this development process, the
International Telecommunications Union - Telecommunications
Standardisation Sector (ITU-T) will develop a number of
Recommendations that document the integration of MPLS-TP into the
transport network. Those Recomendations will not define any aspects
of MPLS-TP protocols or procedure because that work is reserved for
the IETF as the design authority for MPLS-TP.

these Recommendations will allow MPLS-TP to be integrated with current transport equipment and networks, including in agreement with the IETF, the definition of any ITU-T-specific functionality within the MPLS-TP architecture via the MPLS change process [RFC4929]

1.2. Purpose and Intent of Cooperation on MPLS-TP

• • •

The objective of cooperation between the IETF and ITU-T is to ensure full participation of interested parties in order to make sure that all opinions are heard with the intention of producing sound and stable MPLS-TP documentation. It is understood that the neither the IETF nor the ITU-T can be are in a position to block the work of the other body within its areas of authority...

4. IETF Input to the ITU-T Final Modification and Consent Process

. . . .

If the judgment call is that further IETF review and agreement is required, a choice must be made:

- If the changes are small, the Recommendation may continue to be consented with the understanding that any changes necessary afterchanges identified during the

IETF review will be $\frac{1}{1}$ incorporated during the submitted to the ITU-T as last call $\frac{1}{1}$

and

approval phases (see Section 5).

Reason for the change: After consent the text of a Recommendation can only be modified based on comments received during the last call period. Last call comments must be resolved otherwise the Recommendation will not pass the additional review step.

- If the change is more significant, the designated IETF representative will advise the Study Group through the Study Group chair.
- instead, In this case the IETF expects that the text will be sent back
 to the IETF for further review
- and agreement $\underline{\text{prior to consent}}.$ Depending on the result of the IETF review, the

ITU-T Question responsible for the Recommendation will undertake further work to revise the text and initiate additional IETF review before proposing the revised text for consent.