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1 Scope
This Recommendation describes both the functional components and the methodology that should be used in order to describe multi-protocol label switching – transport profile (MPLS-TP) layer network functionality of network elements; it does not describe individual MPLS-TP network equipment as such.

This Recommendation provides protocol-specific extensions of the protocol-neutral constructs defined in [ITU-T G.8121] to support the operation, administration and maintenance (OAM) tools defined in [ITU-T G.8113.2].

This Recommendation provides a description of the MPLS-TP functional technology using the same methodologies that have been used for other transport technologies (e.g., synchronous digital hierarchy (SDH), optical transport network (OTN) and Ethernet)\(^1\).

This Recommendation, along with [ITU-T G.8121], specifies a library of basic building blocks and a set of rules by which they may be combined in order to describe digital transmission equipment. The library comprises the functional building blocks needed to specify completely the generic functional structure of the MPLS-TP layer network. In order to be compliant with this Recommendation, equipment needs to be describable as an interconnection of a subset of these functional blocks contained within this Recommendation. The interconnections of these blocks should obey the combination rules given.

Not every atomic function defined in this Recommendation is required for every application. Different subsets of atomic functions may be assembled in different ways according to the combination rules given in this Recommendation to provide a variety of different capabilities. Network operators and equipment suppliers may choose which functions must be implemented for each application.

2 References
The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.


\(^1\) This ITU-T Recommendation is intended to be aligned with the IETF MPLS RFCs normatively referenced by this Recommendation.
3 Definitions

3.1 Terms defined elsewhere

This Recommendation uses the following terms defined elsewhere:

3.1.1 access point: [ITU-T G.805]

3.1.2 adapted information: [ITU-T G.805]

3.1.3 associated channel header: [ITU-T G.8101]

3.1.4 bottom of stack: [ITU-T G.8101]

3.1.5 characteristic information: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.6 client/server relationship: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.7 connection: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.8 connection point: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.9 explicitly TC-encoded-PSC LSP: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.10 generic associated channel: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.11 G-ACh label: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.12 label: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.13 label inferred PHB scheduling class LSP: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.14 label stack: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.15 label switched path: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.16 label value: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.17 layer network: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.18 matrix: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.19 MPLS label stack: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.20 network: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.21 network connection: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.22 per-hop behaviour: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.23 reference point: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.24 subnetwork: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.25 subnetwork connection: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.26 termination connection point: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.27 time to live: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.28 traffic class: [ITU-T G.8101]
3.1.29 trail: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.30 trail termination: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.31 transport: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.32 transport entity: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.33 transport processing function: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.34 unidirectional connection: [ITU-T G.805]
3.1.35 unidirectional trail: [ITU-T G.805]
3.2 Terms defined in this Recommendation
None.

4 Abbreviations and acronyms
This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AIS</td>
<td>Alarm Indication Signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Automatic Protection Switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BFD</td>
<td>Bidirectional Forwarding Detection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCCV</td>
<td>Continuity Check and Connectivity Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC/CV</td>
<td>Continuity Check or Connectivity Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CoS</td>
<td>Class of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Client Signal Fail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV</td>
<td>Connectivity Verification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>Control Word</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLM</td>
<td>Direct Loss Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM</td>
<td>Delay Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSMap</td>
<td>Downstream Mapping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMF</td>
<td>Equipment Management Function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEC</td>
<td>Forwarding Equivalence Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-ACh</td>
<td>Generic Associated Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAL</td>
<td>G-ACh Label</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Generic Framing Procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILM</td>
<td>Inferred Loss Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCK</td>
<td>Locked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI</td>
<td>Lock Instruct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LKR</td>
<td>Lock Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LM</td>
<td>Loss Measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LStack</td>
<td>Label Stack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC</td>
<td>Maintenance Communication Channel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEG</td>
<td>Maintenance Entity Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>Maintenance entity group End Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>Maintenance entity group Intermediate Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS</td>
<td>Multi-Protocol Label Switching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS-TP</td>
<td>Multi-Protocol Label Switching – Transport Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Multi-Protocol Label Switching – Transport Profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDc</td>
<td>MPLS-TP MEP Diagnostic function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTU</td>
<td>Maximum Transmit Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAM</td>
<td>Operation, Administration and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OOO</td>
<td>Out Of Order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDU</td>
<td>Protocol Data Unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PHB  Per Hop Behaviour
PM   Performance Monitoring
PW   PseudoWire
QTF  Querier's Timestamp Format
RDI  Remote Defect Indication
Req  Request
Resp Response
RPTF Responder's Preferred Timestamp Format
RTF  Responder's Timestamp Format
SCC  Signalling Communication Channel
SQI  Session Query Interval
SSF  Server Signal Fail
TC   Traffic Class
TS   Timestamp
TSFmt Timestamp Format
TLV  Type Length Value
TTL  Time-To-Live

5  Conventions
The diagrammatic convention for connection-oriented layer networks described in this Recommendation is that of [ITU-T G.805].

6  Supervision

6.1  Defects

6.1.1  Summary of entry/exit conditions for defects
The defect entry and exit conditions are based on events. Occurrence or absence of specific events may raise or reset specific defects.

The events used by this Recommendation are defined in Table 6-1 of [ITU-T G.8121]. The event unexpPeriod that is described in Table 6-1 of [ITU-T G.8121] is out of scope of this Recommendation.

7  Information flow across reference points
Information flow for MPLS-TP functions is defined in clause 9. A generic description of information flow is defined in clause 7 of [ITU-T G.806].

8  MPLS-TP processes

8.1  G-ACh process
In the case where operation, administration and maintenance (OAM) packets are encapsulated using a generic associated channel (G-ACh), the G-ACh process is described in clause 8.1 of
Encapsulation of OAM packets using IP/UDP or other mechanisms is for further study.

**8.2 TC/Label processes**
For traffic class (TC)/Label processes, see clause 8.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**8.3 Queuing process**
See clause 8.3 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**8.4 MPLS-TP-specific GFP-F processes**
See clause 8.4 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**8.5 CW processes**
For control word (CW) processes, see clause 8.5 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**8.6 OAM related processes used by server adaptation functions**

**8.6.1 Selector process**
See clause 8.6.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**8.6.2 AIS Insert process**
The alarm indication signal (AIS) Insert process generates MT_CI traffic units containing the AIS signal. MI_AIS_Period specifies the period between successive AIS messages, in seconds between 1 and 20. MI_AIS_CoS specifies the priority for AIS messages. MI_Local_Defect specifies whether an alternative path is available – that is, it is set to true when either the server layer does not provide any protection, or when both the working and protect paths have faults. The AIS Insert process behaviour depends on the aAIS consequent action.

**NOTE** – It is expected that MI_Local_Defect can be set correctly by the equipment management function (EMF) without explicit interaction by the end user. The value can be pre-computed as described in [IETF RFC 6427].

The AIS Insert process is described in clause 8.6.2 in [ITU-T G.8121], and is shown in Figure 8-1.

![Figure 8-1 – AIS Insert process](image)

Figure 8-2 defines the behaviour of the AIS Insert process:
The AIS function creates an AIS frame, by first creating an AIS protocol data unit (PDU), and then encapsulating it in a G-ACh and, depending on MI_GAL_Enable, a G-ACh label (GAL), as described in clause 8.1 of [ITU-T G.8121]. It then inserts it into the data traffic stream. The per hop behaviour (PHB)(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service (CoS) defined by the CoS input parameter.

The AIS PDU is created according to the format described in [IETF RFC 6427]. The fields are filled in as follows:
- Vers: set to 1;
- Reserved: set to 0;
- Message type: set to AIS;
- Flags: the L flag is set to 1 if MI_Local_Defect is true, and is otherwise set to 0. The remaining flags are set to 0;
- Refresh timer: set to MI_AIS_Period;
- Total type length value (TLV) length: set to 0.

Inclusion of the IF_ID and Global_ID TLVs is for further study.

The PHB(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.
8.6.3 LCK Generation process

The locked (LCK) Generation process generates MT_CI traffic units containing the Lock signal, i.e., containing lock report (LKR) messages. MI_LCK_Period specifies the period between successive LKR messages, in seconds between 1 and 20. MI_LCK_CoS specifies the priority for LKR messages. NOTE – IETF uses "LKR" (lock report) equivalently to the ITU-T use of "LCK".

The LCK Generation process is described in clause 8.6.3 of [ITU-T G.8121] and its behaviour is shown in Figure 8-3.

![Figure 8-3 – LCK Generation behaviour](G.8121.2-Y.1381.2(18)_F8-3)

The LKR function creates an LKR frame, by first creating an LKR PDU, and then encapsulating it in a G-ACh and, depending on MI_GAL_Enable, a GAL, as described in clause 8.1 of [ITU-T G.8121].

The LKR PDU is created according to the format described in [IETF RFC 6427]. The fields are filled in as follows:

- Vers: set to 1;
- Reserved: set to 0;
- Message type: set to LKR;
- Flags: set to 0;
- Refresh timer: set to MI_LCK_Period;
- Total TLV length: set to 0.

Inclusion of the IF_ID and Global_ID TLVs is for further study.

The PHB(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.
8.7 OAM related processes used by adaptation functions

8.7.1 MCC/SCC mapping insert and de-mapping process
For maintenance communication channel (MCC)/signalling communication channel (SCC) information, see clause 8.7.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

8.7.2 APS insert and extract process
For automatic protection switching (APS) information, see clause 8.7.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

8.7.3 CSF insert and extract process
To support CSF, PW OAM Status Messages as described in clause 7.2.1.1.5 and 8.9 of [ITU-T G.8113.2] are used. The CSF procedures that are executed in CSF insert and extract process use the static PW status signalling mechanism as specified in [IETF RFC 6478].

Clause 8.7.3 of [ITU-T G.8121] provides an overview of the generic processes that support the CSF OAM function.

8.7.3.1 CSF insert process
The CSF Insert process is located and symbolized as specified in 8.7.3.1 of [ITU-T G.8121].

If any of the aCSF-RDI, aCSF-FDI or aCSF-LOS signals are set true or reset to false, the CSF insert process generates MT_CI traffic units (with PHB per MI_CSF_OAM_CoS) where the MT_CI_D signal contains the CSF signal. The generated CSF traffic units are PW OAM Status Messages transmitted as specified in the part of section 5.3 in [IETF RFC 6478] that describes the immediate transmission of a PW OAM message containing a PW status TLV (per 5.2 of [IETF RFC 6478]), the Status Code in this TLV corresponding to the triggering CSF signal per Table 8-1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CSF signal</th>
<th>Status Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>aCSF-RDI</td>
<td>Local Attachment Circuit Transmit Fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aCSF-FDI</td>
<td>Local Attachment Circuit Receive Fault</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aCSF-LOS</td>
<td>Local Attachment Circuit Receive Fault +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Local Attachment Circuit Transmit Fault</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8-1/G.8121.2/Y.1381.2 – Status Code per triggering CSF signal

A generated CSF traffic unit is inserted in the incoming stream, i.e., the output stream contains the (transparently forwarded) incoming traffic units and the generated CSF traffic unit encapsulated in a G-ACh traffic unit as described in clause 8.1 of [ITU-T G.8121]. A non-default refresh timer value (see [IETF RFC 6478]) can be set by the MI_CSF_OAM_Period parameter. MI_GAL_Enable is set false (i.e. not used) as default.

8.7.3.2 CSF extract process
The CSF Extract process is located, extracts MT_AI_CSF and is symbolized as specified in 8.7.3.2 of [ITU-T G.8121].

The MT_AI_CSF is the CSF specific information corresponding to the processing by the function CSF(D) of received CSF traffic units. All other traffic units are transparently forwarded.

The criterion for filtering is based on the value of the following field within the MT_CI_D signal:
OAM type that is defined in the channel type of G-ACh indicates PW OAM Message (per 5.1 of [ITU-T RFC 6478]).

This behaviour is illustrated in Figure 8-4. The function CSF(D) processes the received CSF traffic unit (a PW OAM Status Message) as specified in the part of section 5.3 in [IETF RFC 6478] that describes the reception of a PW OAM message containing a PW status TLV. The result of this processing is a Status Code or a null value from which the CSF specific information is derived per Table 8-1. The result of this processing may be subsequently updated independently of the reception of another CSF traffic unit and through the use of the refresh timer as described in section 5.3 of [ITU-T RFC 6478]: this may result in updated CSF specific information.

NOTE – The G-ACh process is carried out as defined in clause 8.1. The CSF traffic unit in MT_CI_D is forwarded to the CSF Extract process.

Figure 8-4/G.8121.2/Y.1381.2 – CSF Extract behaviour

8.8 Proactive and on-demand OAM related processes

As described in clause 8.8 of [ITU-T G.8121], there are 6 processes for proactive and on-demand OAM:

– Proactive OAM Source Control;
– Proactive OAM Sink Control;
– On-demand OAM Source Control;
– On-demand OAM Sink Control;
– OAM PDU Generation;
– OAM PDU Reception.

Each of these consists of a number of protocol-specific sub-processes, as described in [ITU-T G.8121]. Appendix I provides the table that indicates the relationship between processes and
sub-processes and indicates where these (sub-)processes are implemented in the termination functions (MT_TT, MTDe_TT, and MTDi_TT).

The OAM Mux sub-process is responsible for multiplexing together (PDU, time-to-live (TTL), PHB) signals from other sub-processes, and passing them to the G-ACh Insertion process along with the appropriate channel type. Similarly, the OAM Demux sub-process receives (PDU, PHB, label stack (LStack), channel type) signals from the G-ACh Extraction process, and passes on the (PDU, PHB, LStack) signals to the other sub-processes as appropriate depending on the channel type.

The following clauses describe the other sub-processes listed in Appendix I. They are organised by function (e.g., continuity check and connectivity verification (CCCV), on-demand connectivity verification (CV)), with all of the sub-processes relevant to a particular function described together.

8.8.1 CC/CV processes

An overview of the continuity check or connectivity verification (CC/CV) processes is shown in Figure 8-4 below:
The CCCV Reception process controls the operation of the CCCV protocol. It operates when MI_CC_Enable and MI_CVp_Enable are TRUE, according to the value of MI_CCCV_Mode. MI_CCCV_Mode takes one of the following values:

- **COORD** – Coordinated mode; operate a single co-ordinated bidirectional forwarding detection (BFD) session.
- **SRC** – Independent source; operate as the source maintenance entity group (MEG) end point (MEP) in an independent BFD session.
- **SINK** – Independent sink; operate as the sink MEP in an independent BFD session.

NOTE – [IETF RFC 6428] defines two modes for bidirectional LSPs operation, i.e., Coordinated mode and Independent mode. In independent mode, separate sessions are used for each direction and a given MEP operates as the source for one session and the sink for the other session. Thus, there are three possible values for MI_CCCV_Mode as shown above.
Multiple instances of the CCCV Reception process may be created for multiple BFD sessions; when operating in independent mode, it is expected that a pair of instances are created, one acting as the source and one as the sink.

MI_CC_Period specifies the desired period between successive BFD-CC messages, and MI_PeerMEP_ID specifies the MEP ID value to expect in received messages, in one of the formats described in [IETF RFC 6428].

The CCCV Generation process sends periodic BFD-CC and BFD-CV messages, when MI_CC_Enable is TRUE when MI_CC_Enable and MI_CVp_Enable are TRUE. There is a separate instance of the process for each corresponding instance of the CCCV Reception process. MI_MEP_ID and MI_Local_Discr specify the local MEP ID (in one of the formats described in [IETF RFC 6428]) and session discriminator values to send in the packets.

The Session Demux process demultiplexes received BFD-CC and BFD-CV messages to the correct instance of the CCCV reception process, based on the "Your Discriminator" field in the received BFD-CC or BFD-CV packet. Demultiplexing of received packets where the "Your Discriminator" field is 0 is for further study.

8.8.1.1 CCCV Reception process

The CCCV Reception process controls the operation of the BFD protocol, according to MI_CC_Enable, MI_CVp_Enable and MI_CCCV_Mode. Multiple instances of the CCCV Reception process can be instantiated. Each one has a corresponding instance of the CCCV Generation process; the contents and period for sending CCCV packets are controlled via the RI_CCCV_Params(state, diag, TX-interval, your-discriminator) signal.

The CCCV Reception process is described in Figure 8-5a, Figure 8-5b, and Figure 8-5c. In Disabled state, all received BFD-CC and BFD-CV packets are discarded and no packets are sent. In Enabled state, received BFD-CC packets are processed, and received BFD-CV packets are processed when the BFD state machine is UP. BFD-CC and BFD-CV packets are sent, except if the process is operating in SINK mode. When MI_CC_Enable and MI_CVp_Enable are set to FALSE, the process moves to Disabling state so that the ADMIN_DOWN diagnostic code can be signalled to the peer MEP. The process stays in Disabling state for three times the transmit interval, before moving to Disabled state. In Disabling state, BFD-CC packets are sent, but received BFD-CC and BFD-CV packets are used only for updating the timer.
Figure 8-5a – CCCV Reception process
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The values of State and Diag correspond with those in [IETF RFC 5880] and [IETF RFC 6428].

The functions 'SetDown', 'UpdateState' and 'UpdateTimes' are described by the following pseudocode:

```plaintext
SetDown(new_diag) {
    if (Local_State != DOWN) {
        Local_State = DOWN
        if (Local_Diag != PATH_DOWN || new_diag != TIMEOUT) {
            Local_Diag = new_diag
        }
    }
    if (MI_CCCV_Mode = SINK) {
        TxIntl = 1s
    }
}

UpdateState(OAM) {
    if (State(OAM) = ADMINDOWN) {
        SetDown(NBR_DOWN)
    }
}
```
} else {
    if (Local_State = DOWN) {
        if (State(OAM) = DOWN) {
            Local_State = INIT
            Local_Diag = NOERROR
        } else if (State(OAM) = INIT ||
                   (MI_CCCV_Mode = SINK && State(OAM) = UP)) {
            Local_State = UP
            Local_Diag = NOERROR
        }
    } else if (Local_State = INIT) {
        if (State(OAM) = INIT || State(OAM) = UP) {
            Local_State = UP
            Local_Diag = NOERROR
        }
    } else {
        // Local_State must be UP
        if (state(OAM) = DOWN && MI_CCCV_Mode != SRC) {
            SetDown(NBR_DOWN)
        }
    }
}

UpdateTimes(OAM) {
    if (MI_CCCV_Mode = SRC) {
        DetectTime = 0
    } else {
        DetectTime = 3 x max(MI_CC_Period, DesiredMinTxInterval(OAM))
    }
    if (MI_CCCV_Mode = SINK) {
        if (State(OAM) != LocalState) {
            TxIntl = 1s
        } else {
            TxIntl = 0
        }
    } else {
        TxIntl = max(MI_CC_Period, RequiredMinRxInterval(OAM))
    }
}
Use of authentication for CC/CV is for further study.

Use of the BFD Poll/Final mechanism for changing the value of TxIntl is for further study.

### 8.8.1.2 CCCV Generation process

The CCCV Generation process is responsible for generating BFD-CC and BFD-CV packets, according to the parameters set by the corresponding CCCV Reception process in the RI_CCCV_Params(state, diag, TX-interval, your-discriminator) signal. When the TX-interval (TxIntl) is set to 0, no BFD-CC or BFD-CV packets are generated. Otherwise, BFD-CC packets are generated at the specified interval, and BFD-CV packets are generated if the state is up, at an interval of 1s.

The CCCV Generation process is described in Figure 8-6.

![Figure 8-6 – CCCV Generation process](image)

The BFD_CC function creates a BFD control packet according to the format described in [IETF RFC 5880]. The fields are filled in as follows:

- **Vers**: set to 1;
– Diag: set to the value of Diag;
– Sta: set to the value of State;
– P, F, A, D, M flags: set to 0;
– C flag: set appropriately dependent on the implementation;
– Detect Mult: set to 3;
– Length: set to 24;
– My Discriminator: set to MI_Local_Discr;
– Your Discriminator: set to YourDiscr;
– Desired Min Tx Interval: set to 0 if MI_CCCV_Mode is SINK, otherwise set to MI_CC_Period;
– Required Min Rx Interval: set to 0 if MI_CCCV_Mode is SRC, otherwise set to MI_CC_Period;
– Required Min Echo Rx Interval: set to 0.

No Authentication Section is added. Use of Authentication is for further study.

The BFD_CV function creates a BFD control packet in the same way as the BFD_CC function, and then appends a MEP Source ID TLV as described in [IETF RFC 6428], containing the value of MI_MEP_ID.

The PHB (CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.

8.8.1.3 Session Demux process

The Session Demux process receives BFD-CC and BFD-CV packets from the OAM Demux process. It performs the following checks on the packet:
– If the version number is not 1, the packet is discarded;
– If the length is less than 24, the packet is discarded;
– If the Detect Mult field is 0, the packet is discarded;
– If any of the P, F, A, D, or M flags are set, the packet is discarded;
– If the My Discriminator field is 0, the packet is discarded;
– If the Required Min Echo Rx Interval is not 0, the packet is discarded;
– If the Your Discriminator field is 0 and the State is not DOWN or ADMINDOWN, the packet is discarded;
– If the Your Discriminator field is not 0 and no corresponding session can be found based on MI_Local_Discr[], the packet is discarded.

If the checks pass, the packet is passed to the instance of the CCCV Reception process whose MI_Local_Discr is equal to the Your Discriminator field. Packets received on the BFD-CC port from the OAM Demux process are passed on to the BFD-CC port in the CCCV Reception process, and packets received on the BFD-CV port from the OAM Demux process are passed on to the BFD-CV port in the CCCV Reception process.

Selection of the correct CCCV Reception process when the Your Discriminator field is 0 is for further study.
8.8.2 Remote Defect Indication (RDI)
As described in [IETF RFC 6428], RDI is communicated by the BFD diagnostic field in CC messages, See clause 8.8.1.

8.8.3 On-demand CV processes
An overview of the On-demand CV processes is shown on Figure 8-7.

Figure 8-7 – Overview of the On-demand CV processes

The On-demand CV protocol is controlled by the On-demand CV Control process. An on-demand session starts when the MI_CV_Series (Session_ID, count, period, CoS, size, ValidateFEC,
ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack) or MI_CV_Trace (Session_ID, CoS, ValidateFEC, ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack) signal is called. Multiple instances of the On-demand CV Control process can be used to run multiple On-demand CV sessions concurrently, provided each instance has a different session ID.

The On-demand CV Control process sends LSPPing request packets via the On-demand CV Request Generation process, and receives LSPPing responses via the On-demand CV Reception process. Received responses may be checked for errors, if requested in the MI_CV_Series () or MI_CV_Trace () signal.

The On-demand CV Control process reports errors in the forward direction via the MI_CV_FWErr(Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV) signal, and in the backward direction via the MI_CV_BWErr (Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV) signal. Results are reported via the MI_CV_Series_Result (Session_ID, Rcv, out of order (OOO), FWErr, BWErr) and MI_CV_Trace_Result (Session_ID, Result) signals.

The MEP On-demand CV Responder and MEG intermediate point (MIP) On-demand CV Responder processes are responsible for checking received LSPPing requests for errors, and sending responses via the On-demand CV Response Generation process.

The On-demand CV Request Generation and On-demand CV Response Generation processes generate LSPPing request and response packets in conformance with [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426].

The MEP On-demand CV Responder, MIP On-demand CV Responder, and On-demand CV Control processes all perform similar steps to check received packets for errors. This checking uses the copy of the original label stack that is carried as part of the MT_CI. This common validation is described further below, followed by descriptions of each of the On-demand CV processes.

8.8.3.1 Common validation

In the description below, label stacks and forwarding equivalence class (FEC) stacks are denoted as arrays (Stack[]), where:
- Stack[1] is the bottom (innermost) label/FEC;
- Stack[Count(Stack)] is the top (outermost) label/FEC;
- Stack[0] is invalid.

Count(Stack) returns the number of labels or FECs in the stack.

The validation is described by the following pseudocode. The values assigned to 'rc' are as described in [IETF RFC 4379].

```
CV_Validate (OAM, 3[], FECStack[], MP_Type) {
    rc = 0
    sub_rc = 0
    err_TLV = NULL
    done = FALSE
    include_ifstack = FALSE
    include_dsmap = FALSE
    ldepth = 0
    LStack = LStack_in
    if (malformed(OAM)) {
        rc = 1
    }
```
done = TRUE
} else if (OAM contains TLVs with types 4, 6, 8 or 10-32767) {
    rc = 2
    err_TLV = make_err_TLV(bad TLVs)
    done = TRUE
} else {
    if (LStack[1] = GAL) {
        remove_GAL_from_LStack()
    }
    ldepth = count(LStack)
    while (!done && ldepth> 0) {
        if (!label_known(LStack[ldepth])) {
            rc = 11
            sub_rc = ldepth
            done = TRUE
        }
        ldepth--
    }
}

if (MP_Type = MEP) {
    if (!done) {
        FECdepth = 1
        L = IMPLICIT_NULL
        rc = 3
        sub_rc = 1
        if (DSMAP(OAM) != NULL && Ingress_Ifnum(DSMAP(OAM)) != 0) {
            if (DownstreamLabels(DSMAP(OAM)) != LStack) {
                rc = 5
                include_ifstack = TRUE
                done = TRUE
            }
        }
    }
}

while (!done) {
    (FECstatus, FECrc) = checkFEC(FECStack[FECdepth], L)
    rc = FECrc
    sub_rc = FECdepth
    if (FECstatus = 1) {
        done = TRUE
    } else {
FECdepth++
if (FECdepth > count(FECStack)) {
    done = TRUE
}
}
if (!done) {
if (FECstatus = 0) {
    ldepth++
    if (ldepth > count(LStack)) {
        done = TRUE
    } else {
        L = LStack[ldepth]
    }
}
}
} else {
    // MP_Type = MIP
if (!done) {
    rc = 8
sub_rc = 1
if (DSMAP(OAM) != NULL) {
    if (Ingress_Ifnum(DSMAP(OAM)) = 0) {
        rc = 6
        include_ifstack = TRUE
    } else {
        if (DownstreamLabels(DSMAP(OAM)) != LStack) {
            rc = 5
            include_ifstack = TRUE
            done = TRUE
        }
    }
} else {
}
}
}
if (!done) {
Egress_Ifnum = get_egress_interface()
if (Egress_Ifnum = 0) {
    rc = 9
    done = TRUE
}
if (!done) {
    if (DSMAP(OAM) != NULL) {
        include_dmap = TRUE
    } else {
        done = TRUE
    }
}
if (!done) {
    if (V(OAM) == 0 && MI_FEC_Cheking = 0) {
        done = TRUE
    }
}
if (!done) {
    FECdepth = 0
    i = 1
    while (i > 0) {
        FECdepth++
        if (DownstreamLabels(DSMAP(OAM))[FECdepth] != IMPLICIT_NULL) {
            i--
        }
    }
    if (count(FECStack) >= FECdepth) {
        (FECstatus, FECrc) = checkFEC(FECStack[FECdepth], LStack[1])
        if (FECstatus = 2) {
            rc = 10
        } else if (FECstatus = 1) {
            rc = FECrc
            sub_rc = FECdepth
        }
    }
}
return(rc, sub_rc, err_TLV, include_ifstack, include_dmap)

The utility functions used in the pseudocode above are described below:

- malformed(OAM) checks that the packet is in accordance with the format described in [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426]. It also checks that:
  - If the packet is a request, it contains a Target FEC Stack TLV;
  - If the packet is a reply and the R flag is set, it contains a Reverse Target FEC Stack TLV;
The Target FEC Stack or Reverse Target FEC Stack TLVs contain only sub-types 'Static LSP', 'Static Pseudowire' and 'Nil FEC'. Use of other subtypes are for further study;

If the packet contains a downstream mapping (DSMap) TLV, the address type is 'Non-IP'. Use of other address types is for further study.

- make_err_TLV(TLVs) creates an 'Errored TLVs' TLV according to [IETF RFC 4379] and copies the bad TLVs into it;
- remove_GAL_from_LStack removes the GAL from the bottom of the label stack, so that LStack[1] now refers to the label that immediately preceded the GAL;
- label_known(label) checks whether the label value is known and can be processed;
- checkFEC(FEC, label) implements the FEC checking procedure described in [IETF RFC 4379] section 4.4.1;
- get_egress_interface() returns the IF_NUM (as defined in [IETF RFC 6370]) of the interface over which the packet would be forwarded, if the TTL was high enough, or 0 if no such interface was found or it is not MPLS-enabled.

8.8.3.2 On-demand CV Control process

The On-demand CV Control process operates the LSPPing On-demand CV protocol. An LSPPing session is started by the MI_CV_Series(Session_ID, count, period, CoS, size, ValidateFEC, ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack) or MI_CV_Trace(Session_ID, CoS, ValidateFEC, ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack) signals. In either case, a session ID is supplied; multiple instances of the On-demand CV Control process can be created, provided each has a unique session ID. The Target FEC Stack to be checked by the peer device is specified in the MI_CV_Series() or MI_CV_Trace() signal. Other mechanisms for deriving the Target FEC Stack, for example if dynamic signalling protocols are in use, are for further study. The Target FEC Stack passed in the MI_CV_Series() or MI_CV_Trace() signals must only contain FECs with subtypes 'Static LSP', 'Static Pseudowire' or 'Nil FEC'.

Results are reported by the On-demand CV Control process using the MI_CV_Series_Result(Session_ID, Rcv, OOO, FWErr, BWErr) or MI_ODCV_Trace_Result(Session_ID, Result) signals when the session ends.

The parameters are as follows:

- Session_ID: the session ID passed to MI_CV_Series() or MI_CV_Trace();
- Rcv: number of responses received;
- OOO: number of responses that were out of order;
- FWErr: number of responses in which forward errors (i.e., from control to responder) were indicated;
- BWErr: number of responses in which backward errors (i.e., from responder to control) were indicated;
- Result: array of results corresponding to received responses; each element contains the sequence number, return code, return subcode, downstream mapping TLV (if any) and interface and label stack TLV (if any) extracted from the corresponding response.

In addition, any errors detected while the session is running are reported by using the MI_CV_FWErr(Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV) signal (for errors in the control-to-responder direction) or MI_CV_BWErr(Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV) signal (for errors on the responder-to-control direction). These signals include the session ID passed to MI_CV_Series() or MI_ODCV_Trace(), and the sequence number, return code, return subcode, and Errored TLVs.
extracted from the response that contained the error. Note that errors in the responder-to-control direction are only detected if ValidateReverse is set to TRUE in the MI.CV.Series() or MI.CV.Trace() signal.

The behaviour of the On-demand CV Control source and sink processes is shown in the figures below. Figure 8-8a is for source process, and Figures 8b, 8c and 8d are for sink process. In PingRunning state, the process sends LSPPing requests periodically, and handles any received replies by counting them and checking for any errors. In TraceRunning state, an initial LSPPing request is sent with TTL 1, so that it is intercepted by the first MIP (or MEP) reached. When a response is received, it is first checked for any errors. Then, if the response was from a MIP (i.e., it contains a DSMap TLV), the TTL is incremented and a new LSPPing request is sent. Incrementing the TTL ensures the request is intercepted by the next MIP (or MEP). If no response is received, three attempts are made to resend the request, before giving up and reporting any results collected so far.

![Diagram](G.8121.2-Y.1381.2(16)_F8-8a)

**Figure 8-8a – On-demand CV Control Source process**
Figure 8-8b – On-demand CV Control Sink process
Figure 8-8c – On-demand CV Control Sink process
The make_DSMap(ingress_ifnum, egress_ifnum, ds_lstack) function creates a downstream mapping TLV according to [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426]. The fields are filled in as follows:

- Maximum transmit unit (MTU): set to the size of the largest MPLS frame (including label stack) that fits on the egress interface;
- Address type: set to 5 (Non IP). Use of other address types is for further study;
- DS flags: the I flag is set to 1, all other flags are set to 0;
- Ingress Ifnum: set to ingress_ifnum;
- Egress Ifnum: set to egress_ifnum;
- Multipath type: set to 0 (no multipath);
- Depth limit: set to 0;
- Multipath length: set to 0;
- Downstream labels: derived from ds_lstack as described in [IETF RFC 4379]. The protocol is set to 1 (Static). Use of other values is for further study.

**8.8.3.3 On-demand CV Request Generation process (Source control)**

The On-demand CV Request Generation process is shown in Figure 8-9:
Figure 8-9 – On-demand CV Request Generation process

The make Pad TLV function creates a Pad TLV in accordance with [IETF RFC 4379]. The Length field is set to size. The first octet of the value field is set to 2 (Copy Pad TLV) if ValidateReverse is FALSE, and 1 (Drop Pad TLV) if ValidateReverse is TRUE.

The PHB(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.

NOTE – Size is only non-zero in Ping mode, when no DSMap TLV is included. In this case, the responder will not add any additional TLVs (e.g., an interface and label stack TLV) to the reply unless the ‘R’ (ValidateReverse) flag is set, and so the Pad TLV can be safely copied into the reply.

The mkLSPPing_Rq function creates an LSPPing Echo Request packet in accordance with [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426]. The fields are filled in as follows:
- Version number: set to 1;
- Global flags: if ValidateFEC is TRUE, the V flag is set to 1; if ValidateReverse is TRUE, the R flag is set to 1; all other flags are set to 0;
- Message type: set to MPLS Echo Request;
- Reply mode: set to 4 (reply via application control channel);
- Return code: set to 0;
- Return subcode: set to 0;
- Sender’s handle: set to the value of Session_ID;
- Sequence number: set to the value of Seq;
- Timestamp (TS) sent: set to LocalTime;
- Timestamp received: set to 0.

The following TLVs are added:
- A Target FEC Stack TLV is added containing the contents of TargetFECStack;
- If Pad_TLV is not NULL, a Pad TLV is added containing the contents of Pad_TLV;
- If DSMap is not NULL, a downstream mapping TLV is added containing the contents of DSMap.

8.8.3.4 On-demand CV Reception process

The On-demand CV Reception process demultiplexes received LSPPing packets (formed of OAM, PHB, LStack signals) as follows:
- If the message type is MPLS Echo Request, the received OAM, PHB, and LStack signals are passed to the MIP On-demand CV Responder or MEP On-demand CV Responder process as appropriate;
- Otherwise, if this is a MIP the packet is discarded;
- If this is a MEP and the message type is MPLS Echo Reply, the On-demand CV Reception process passes the received OAM, PHB, and LStack signals to the instance of the On-demand CV Control process whose session ID is equal to the "Sender's handle" in the received packet, via R_lLSPPing_Rsp(OAM, PHB, LStack). If there is no such instance of the On-demand CV Control process, the packet is discarded.

8.8.3.5 MIP On-demand CV Responder process

The MIP On-demand CV Responder process is described in Figure 8-10.
Figure 8-10 – MIP On-demand CV Responder process
The `get_egress_interface()` function is described in clause 8.8.3.1 above.

The `get_ingress_interface()` function returns the IF_NUM (as defined in [IETF RFC 6370]) of the interface where the packet was received, or 0 if it was generated locally.

The `get_downstream_lstack()` returns the label stack that would be attached to the packet if it were to be forwarded out of the egress interface, derived as described in [IETF RFC 4379].

### 8.8.3.6 MEP On-demand CV Responder process

The MEP On-demand CV Responder process is described in Figure 8-11.

![Figure 8-11 – MEP On-demand CV Responder process](image)

**Figure 8-11 – MEP On-demand CV Responder process**

### 8.8.3.7 On-demand CV Response Generation process

The On-demand CV Response Generation process is shown in Figure 8-12:
The make_Iifstack_TLV(LStack) function creates an interface and label stack TLV according to [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426]. The fields are filled in as follows:

- Address type: set to IPv4 unnumbered;
- IP address: set to 0;

Figure 8-12 – On-demand CV Response Generation process
- Interface: set to the result of get_ingress_interface() (see clause 8.8.3.5);
- Label stack: copied from LStack.

Use of other values in the interface and label stack TLV is for further study.

The make_DSMap(ingress_ifnum, egress_ifnum, ds_lstack) function is described in clause 8.8.3.2 above.

The LSPPing_Rsp function creates an LSPPing Echo Reply packet in accordance with [IETF RFC 4379] and [IETF RFC 6426]. The fields are filled in as follows:

- Version number: set to 1;
- Global flags: copied from the received Echo Request;
- Message type: set to MPLS Echo Reply;
- Reply mode: set to 0 (do not reply);
- Return code: set to RC;
- Return subcode: set to SubRC;
- Sender's handle: copied from the received Echo Request;
- Sequence number: copied from the received Echo Request;
- Timestamp sent: copied from the received Echo Request;
- Timestamp received: set to LocalTime.

If reverse FEC checking was requested in the LSPPing request (i.e., the R flag was set), a Reverse Target FEC Stack is created based on MI_Target_FEC. Other mechanisms for deriving the FEC stack, for example if dynamic signalling protocols are in use, are for further study.

The following TLVs are added:

- The TargetFECStack TLV is copied from the received packet;
- If Err_TLV is not NULL, an Errored TLVs TLV is added containing the contents of Err_TLV;
- If Ifstack_TLV is not NULL, an interface and label stack TLV is added containing the contents of Ifstack_TLV;
- If DSMap is not NULL, a downstream mapping TLV is added containing the contents of DSMap;
- If Pad_TLV is not NULL, a Pad TLV is added containing the contents of Pad_TLV;
- If ReverseTgtFEC is not NULL, a Reverse-path Target FEC Stack TLV is added containing the contents of ReverseTgtFEC.

8.8.4 Proactive packet loss measurement (LMP)

As described in clauses 7.2.2.1 and 8.6 to 8.8 of [ITU-T G.8113.2], loss and delay measurements may be combined. The format for the combined measurement, referred to here as LMDM, is described in section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 6374]. In addition, the same LM and DM protocols can be used for both proactive and on-demand measurement.

An overview of the performance monitoring (PM) processes for a single proactive PM session is shown in Figure 8-13. The same processes are used for LM, DM or LMDM.
The proactive PM Source control process controls the session, including scheduling request packets; the proactive PM Sink control process handles processing responses to calculate performance metrics.

The PM generation process generates requests and responses for the five different types of PM PDUs: inferred loss measurement (ILM), direct loss measurement (DLM), delay measurement (DM), ILM+DM and DLM+DM. It also counts data traffic (including test packets) and is responsible for writing the counters and/or timestamps into the outgoing PM PDUs. The location of the counter part

**Figure 8-13 – Proactive PM processes**
is shown on the figure above for illustration only; the exact set of packets to be counted is implementation-specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

The PM reception process handles received requests and responses. Like the PM generation process, it counts the appropriate packets and writes the counters and/or timestamps into the received PM PDUs. The location of the counter part is shown on the figure above for illustration only; the exact set of packets to be counted is implementation-specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

The PM responder is responsible for replying to received PM request packets.

Multiple PM sessions can be used simultaneously, by instantiating multiple instances of the PM Source control, PM Sink control, PM reception, PM generation and PM responder processes. Each instance of these processes supports a single PM session. Each PM session (proactive or on-demand) must have a unique test ID. For each test ID, a pair of control processes (i.e., source and sink) are associated with a corresponding instance of the PM reception and PM generation processes. Similarly, the responder process for a given session is associated with a corresponding instance of the PM reception and PM generation processes. The PM Mux process multiplexes PM packets for different sessions, while the PM Demux process demultiplexes them based on the test ID (session ID) and R (response) flag.

A given instance of the PM reception process is associated with exactly one other process to which it passes received packets. Depending on how it is instantiated, this could be the proactive PM Sink control process, the on-demand PM control process (see clause 8.8.5), or the PM responder process.

8.8.4.1 Proactive PM Source control process for LM

The proactive PM Source control process includes LM, DM and LMDM. Each instance of the process operates a single proactive PM session. Multiple sessions can be supported by instantiating multiple instances of the process, along with corresponding instances of the PM Sink control, PM generation and PM reception processes.

The proactive PM Source control process performs delay measurements when MI_DMp_Enable is true and performs loss measurements when MI_LMp_Enable is true. If both are enabled, then where possible, the same PDUs are used to make both measurements (i.e., ILM+DM or DLM+DM PDUs). Otherwise, separate PDUs are used for loss (ILM or DLM) and delay (DM). The type of PDU used for loss is determined by MI_LMp_LMType, and can be "ILM" for inferred (synthetic) loss or "DLM" for direct (data traffic) loss measurement.

If an error is detected while the session is running, this is signalled via RI_PM_Error being set to True and the session is stopped until RI_PM_Error is set to False or the session is disabled.

The PM protocol includes a mechanism to negotiate the packet sending period with the responder. If the period is changed from that specified by the management information (MI_DMp_Period or MI_LMp_Period), this is signalled via MI_DMp_PeriodChanged or MI_LMp_PeriodChanged.

MI_LMp_CoS and MI_DMp_CoS specify the CoS (traffic class) to use for the measurement. In the case of MI_LMp_CoS, this can either be a specific value, or the special value "ALL" indicating that loss across all traffic classes should be measured. For loss measurement, MI_LMp_CountBytes specifies whether to use octet counters (if set) or packet counters (if unset).

The proactive PM Source control process is described in Figures 8-14a, 8-14b and 8-14c. These figures include LM, DM and LMDM.
Figure 8-14a – Proactive PM Source control process
Figure 8-14b – Proactive PM Source control process
The TSFmtSupported() function determines whether the specified timestamp format, from [IETF RFC 6374], is supported by the implementation, while the PreferredTSFmt() function returns the timestamp format that is preferred by the implementation, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

Both the period and the timestamp format are negotiated with the responder. The period is negotiated by setting the session query interval (SQI) appropriately, while the timestamp format is negotiated via the querier's timestamp format (QTF), responder's timestamp format (RTF) and responder's preferred timestamp format (RPTF) fields. Initially, the implementation's preferred timestamp is used. If the responder does not respond to the first request using the same timestamp format, then the responder's preferred timestamp format is used if it is supported, otherwise the IEEE 1588v1 format is used as described in [IETF RFC 6374]. Support for this format is mandatory.

8.8.4.2 Proactive PM Sink control process for LM

The proactive PM Sink control process includes LM, DM and LMDM. Each instance of the process operates a single proactive PM session. Multiple sessions can be supported by instantiating multiple instances of the process, along with corresponding instances of the PM Source control, PM generation and PM reception processes.
As for the Source control process, the proactive PM Sink control process performs delay measurements when MI_DMp_Enable is true and performs loss measurements when MI_LMp_Enable is true. If both are enabled, then where possible, the same PDUs are used to make both measurements (i.e., ILM+DM or DLM+DM PDUs). Otherwise, separate PDUs are used for loss (ILM or DLM) and delay (DM).

If an error is detected while the session is running, this is reported via MI_DMp_ReportError or MI_LMp_ReportError, and the session is stopped until MI_PM_ClearError is set or the session is disabled. The reported error is either one of the control codes that indicates an error as described in section 3.1 of [IETF RFC 6374], or the special value 'Timeout' indicating that no response was received to a PM query within the timeout time.

NOTE – MI_PM_ClearError is used as a trigger to resume a measurement process that has been stopped due to an error. It is expected to be set by the EMF once the cause of the error has been investigated and resolved.

The proactive PM Sink control process is described in Figures 8-15a, 8-15b, and 8-15c. These figures include LM, DM and LMDM.
Figure 8-15a – Proactive PM Sink control process
Figure 8-15b – Proactive PM Sink control process
8.8.4.3 PM generation process for proactive LM

The PM generation process includes LM, DM and LMDM. It generates PM requests when it receives the DM_Req, LM_Req or LMDM_Req signals from the corresponding proactive Source or On-demand control process, and generates PM responses when it receives the RI_DM_Resp, RI_LM_Resp or RI_LMDM_Resp signals from the corresponding PM responder process.

For delay measurement, it writes the packet send time into the PDU, using the requested timestamp format.

For loss measurement, it counts the appropriate traffic depending on the type of loss measurement, and writes the counters into the transmitted PM PDUs. The packets to count are dependent on the LMType (ILM or DLM) and the CoS (which may be a particular value, or the special value "ALL").

If the CountBytes parameter is set, the number of bytes in each matching packet is counted, otherwise the count is simply incremented for each matching packet.

In the DM_Req, LM_Req and LMDM_Req signals, the SQI parameter specifies the value to place in the SQI TLV. If it is set to NULL, no SQI TLV is included. The timestamp format (TSFmt) parameter specifies the timestamp format to use when writing timestamps. The Length parameter specifies the length of padding to include in the PDU. If set to 0, no Padding TLV is included.

The PM generation process is described in Figure 8-16.

---

**Figure 8-15c – Proactive PM Sink control process**

```
Figure 8-15c – Proactive PM Sink control process
```
The `make_pad_TLV(Length, CopyPad)` function creates a Padding TLV as specified in [IETF RFC 6374], as follows:
- If `CopyPad` is set, the Type is set to 0, otherwise it is set to 128.
- The Length field is set to `Length`.
- The Value field is set to all 0s.

The `make_SQL_TLV(SQI)` function creates an SQI TLV as specified in [IETF RFC 6374], as follows:
- The Type is set to 2.
- The Length field is set to 4.
- The Value field is set to `SQI`.

The `PM_DMPDU(Test_ID, TSFmt, CoS, padTLV, SQI_TLV)` function creates a DM PDU as specified in [IETF RFC 6374], as follows:
- The version is set to 0.
- The R flag is unset; the T flag is set; and the rest of the flags field is set to 0.
- The control code is set to 0. Other values for the control code are for further study.
- The message length is set to the total length of the PDU.
- The QTF field is set to `TSFmt`, the RTF and RPTF fields are set to 0.
- The reserved field is set to 0.
The session ID and DS fields are set to Test_ID and CoS, respectively.

The timestamp fields are all set to 0.

The pad TLV and SQI TLV, if not NULL, are appended to the message. The use of other TLVs is for further study.

The PM_LMPDU() function creates an ILM or DLM PDU as specified in [IETF RFC 6374], as follows:

- The version is set to 0.
- The R flag is unset; the T flag is set if a specific CoS value has been specified and is unset if the CoS is set to "ALL"; and the rest of the flags field is set to 0.
- The control code is set to 0. Other values for the control code are for further study.
- The message length is set to the total length of the PDU.
- In the Dflag field, the X flag is set appropriate depending whether the implementation writes 32 or 64 bit counters; the B flag is set if CountBytes is set, and is unset otherwise; and the rest of the field is set to 0.
- The OTF field is set to the implementations preferred timestamp format.
- The reserved field is set to 0.
- The session ID and DS fields are set to Test_ID and CoS respectively. If the CoS is "ALL", the DS field is set to 0.
- The origin timestamp field is set to the local time-of-day, using the format specified in the OTF field.
- The counter fields are all set to 0.
- The SQI TLV, if not NULL, is appended to the message. The use of other TLVs is for further study.

The PHB(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.

The PM_LMDMPDU() function creates an ILM+DM or DLM_DM PDU as specified in [IETF RFC 6374], in a similar way to the DM and LM cases described above.

The InScope() function determines whether a given data packet should be counted, depending on the LM type (ILM or DLM) and the CoS/PHB (a specific TC value or "ALL").

The LocalTime(TSFmt) function returns the local time-of-day, in the format specified.

8.8.4.4 PM reception process for proactive LM

The PM reception process receives PM message for a given test ID, and passes them to the corresponding Proactive or On-demand control process or PM responder process.

For delay measurement, it writes the packet receive time into the PDU. For loss measurement, it counts the appropriate traffic depending on the type of loss measurement, and writes the counters into the received PM PDUs. The packets to count are dependent on the LMTyp (ILM or DLM) and the CoS (which may be a particular value, or the special value "ALL"). If the CountBytes bit is set, the number of bytes in each matching packet is counted, otherwise the count is simply incremented for each matching packet.

The PM reception process is described in Figure 8-17.
8.8.4.5 PM responder process for proactive LM

The PM responder process responds to PM messages for a single PM session. Multiple sessions can be supported by instantiating multiple instances of the process, along with corresponding instances of the PM generation and PM reception processes.

The PM responder process is described in Figure 8-18.

Figure 8-17 – PM reception process
Figure 8-18 – PM responder process
The CheckPM() function checks the received PDU and returns an appropriate control code, as described in [IETF RFC 6374]. In particular, it returns 0x19 (Administrative Block) if MI_PM_Responder_Enable is not set, and 0x2 (Data Format Invalid) if the QTF in a DM, ILM+DM or DLM_DM message is not supported.

Note that when MI_PM_Responder_Enable is not set, responses are still sent, with the above error. The PM responder process also unsets the X flag in LM messages if the implementation does not support 64 bit counters.

8.8.5 On-demand packet loss measurement (LMo)

As described in clauses 7.2.2.1 and 8.6 to 8.8 of [ITU-T G.8113.2], loss and delay measurements may be combined. The format for the combined measurement, referred to here as LMDM, is described in section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 6374]. In addition, the same LM and DM protocols can be used for both proactive and on-demand measurement.

An overview of the performance monitoring processes for a single on-demand PM session is shown in Figure 8-19. The same processes are used for LM, DM or LMDM.
Figure 8-19 – On-demand PM processes

The on-demand PM control process controls the session, including scheduling request packets, and processing responses to calculate performance metrics. The other processes shown are the same as those used for proactive LM, as described in clause 8.8.4. As in the case of proactive LM, the location of the counter part in the PM generation and PM reception processes is shown on the figure above for illustration only; the exact set of packets to be counted is implementation-specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

8.8.5.1 On-demand control process for LM

The on-demand PM control process includes LM, DM and LMDM. Each instance of the process operates a single on-demand PM session. Multiple sessions can be supported by instantiating multiple
instances of the process, along with corresponding instances of the PM generation and PM reception processes.

The on-demand PM control process performs either delay measurement (via MI_DMo_Start/MI_DMo_Terminate), loss measurement (via MI_LMo_Start/MI_LMo_Terminate) or both simultaneously (via MI_LMDMo_Start/MI_LMDMo_Terminate). The type of loss measurement to perform is specified by the LMType parameter, and can be "ILM" for inferred (synthetic) loss or "DLM" for direct (data traffic) loss.

Results are reported via MI_DMo_Result and MI_LMo_Result.

If an error is detected while the session is running, this is reported via MI_DMo_ReportError or MI_LMo_ReportError, and the session is terminated automatically. The results collected up to that point are reported.

The PM protocol includes a mechanism to negotiate the packet sending period with the responder. If the period is changed from that specified when the session was started, this is signalled via MI_DMo_Peri odChanged or MI_LMo_Peri odChanged.

The CoS parameter of MI_LMo_Start, MI_DMo_Start or MI_LMDMo_Start specifies the CoS (traffic class) to use for the measurement. In the case of MI_LMo_Start, this can either be a specific value, or the special value "ALL" indicating that loss across all traffic classes should be measured. For loss measurement, the CountBytes parameter of MI_LMo_Start() or MI_LMDMo_Start() specifies whether to use octet counters (if set) or packet counters (if unset).

The on-demand PM control process is described in Figures 8-20a, 8-20b, 8-20c and 8-20d.

![On-demand PM control process diagram](image_url)

**Figure 8-20a – On-demand PM control process**
Figure 8-20b – On-demand PM control process
Figure 8-20c – On-demand PM control process
As in the proactive PM control process, the period and timestamp format are negotiated with the responder, as described in clause 8.8.4.1.

8.8.5.2 PM generation process for On-demand LM
The PM generation process for On-demand LM is identical to that for proactive LM, and is described in clause 8.8.4.3.

8.8.5.3 PM reception process for On-demand LM
The PM reception process for On-demand LM is identical to that for proactive LM, and is described in clause 8.8.4.4.

8.8.5.4 PM responder process for On-demand LM
The PM responder process for On-demand LM is identical to that for proactive LM, and is described in clause 8.8.4.5.

8.8.6 Proactive packet delay measurement (DMp)
As described in clauses 7.2.2.1 and 8.6 to 8.8 of [ITU-T G.8113.2], loss and delay measurements may be combined. The format for the combined measurement, referred to here as LMDM, is described in section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 6374]. In addition, the same LM and DM protocols can be used for both proactive and on-demand measurement.
The processes for proactive delay measurement are described in clause 8.8.4 in this Recommendation.

8.8.7 On-demand packet delay measurement (DMo)
As described in clauses 7.2.2.1 and 8.6 to 8.8 of [ITU-T G.8113.2], loss and delay measurements may be combined. The format for the combined measurement, referred to here as LMDM, is described in section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 6374]. In addition, the same LM and DM protocols can be used for both proactive and on-demand measurement.

The processes for on-demand delay measurement are described in clause 8.8.5 in this Recommendation.

8.8.8 Throughput test
*For further study.*

8.8.9 Route tracing
The processes for route tracing (RT) are described in clause 8.8.3 in this Recommendation.

8.8.10 LCK/AIS reception
The LCK/AIS reception process handles received LKR and AIS packets, and signals the LCK, AIS and server signal fail (SSF) defects. The behaviour is shown in Figure 8-21.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 8-21 – LCR/AIS reception behaviour**

8.8.11 Lock Instruct processes
An overview of the processes relating to the lock instruct (LI) mechanism is shown in Figure 8-22. [ITU-T G.8121] and [IETF RFC 6371] use the abbreviation LKI for the same mechanism.
The LI Source Control process controls sending LI messages when the admin state is "Locked" and MI_Lock_Instruct_Enable is set. The period at which to send is determined by MI_LI_Period, and the source MEP ID value is set by MI_LI_MEPID to one of the three values described in [IETF RFC 6435].

The LI Generation process formats LI messages and passes them to the OAM Mux process and hence to the G-ACh Insertion process.

The LI Reception process handles received LI messages and checks them for correctness.

The LI Sink Control process monitors received LI messages to determine whether a Lock Instruct condition exists, and signals this to the EMF via MI_Admin_State_Request.

8.8.11.1 LI Source Control process

The LI Source Control process is described in Figure 8-23.
Figure 8-23 – LI Source Control process

8.8.11.2 LI Generation process

The LI Generation process is described in Figure 8-24.

Figure 8-24 – LI Generation process

The LI(MEPID, Period) function formats an LI PDU according to [IETF RFC 6435], as follows:

- The version is set to 1.
- The reserved field is set to 0.
- The refresh timer field is set to the period.
The MEPID is copied into the MEP Source ID TLV.

The PHB(CoS) function returns the PHB with the lowest drop precedence within the class of service defined by the CoS input parameter.

8.8.11.3 LI Reception process

The LI Reception process is described in Figure 8-25.

The LI_Check(OAM) function performs implementation-specific checks, including those described in [IETF RFC 6435], and returns true if the OAM is valid and false otherwise.

8.8.11.4 LI Sink Control process

The LI Sink Control process is described in Figure 8-26.
8.9 Dataplane Loopback processes
See clause 8.9 in [ITU-T G.8121].

9 MPLS-TP layer functions

9.1 Connection functions (MT_C)
Connection functions are described in [ITU-T G.8121].

9.2 Termination functions

9.2.1 MPLS-TP Trail Termination function (MT_TT)
The bidirectional MPLS-TP Trail Termination (MT_TT) function terminates the MPLS-TP OAM to determine the status of the MPLS-TP (sub)layer trail. The MT_TT function is performed by a co-located pair of the MPLS-TP trail termination source (MT_TT_So) and sink (MT_TT_Sk) functions as shown in Figure 9-1.
9.2.1.1 MPLS-TP Trail Termination Source function (MT_TT_So)

The MT_TT_So function determines and inserts the TTL value in the shim header TTL field and adds MPLS-TP OAM to the MT_AI signal at its MT_AP.

The information flow and processing of the MT_TT_So function is defined with reference to in Figure 9-2.

Symbol
Table 9-1 – MT_TT_So inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_AP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MT_CP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_D</td>
<td>MT_CI_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
<td>MT_CI_oPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_RP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MT_TT_So_MP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_CCCV_Params (State, Diag, TxIntl, rDiscr)</td>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_PeriodChanged[1...MDMp]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_CC_Blk</td>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_PeriodChanged[1...MLMp]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_PP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_DM_Resp (OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_LM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_LMDM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_PM_Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_DM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_LM_Info (SQI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_RI_LMDM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_TT_So_MP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_TTLValue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_CC_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_RDI_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CC_Enable[1…MCCCV]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CVp_Enable[1…MCCCV]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_CCCV_Mode[1…MCCCV]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_CC_Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_CC_CoS[1…MCCCV]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_Enable[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_Test_ID[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_CoS[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_Length[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_CopyPad[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_DMp_Period[1…MDMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_Enable[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_Test_ID[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_LMTYPE[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_CoS[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_CountBytes[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_So_MI_LMp_Period[1…MLMp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Processes

The processes associated with the MT_TT“So function are as depicted in Figure 9-3. The subprocesses within each process, described in clause 8.8, are not shown separately.

**PHB**: See clause 9.2.1.1 in [ITU-T G.8121]. The AI_PHB signal is assigned to both the CI_iPHB and CI_oPHB signals at the MT_TCP reference point.

**Insert TTL**: See clause 9.2.1.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**Block**: See clause 9.2.1.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].
MEP Proactive OAM G-ACh Insertion: See clause 8.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

OAM PDU Generation: This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: PM generation; OAM Mux; PM Mux.

Proactive OAM Source control: This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: CCCV Generation; proactive PM Source control.

The location of the counter part of the OAM PDU Generation process is shown for illustration only. The exact set of packets to be counted is implementation specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

Defects
None.

Consequent actions
None.

Defect correlations
None.

Performance monitoring
None.

9.2.1.2 MPLS-TP Trail Termination Sink function (MT_TT_Sk)

The MT_TT_Sk function reports the state of the MPLS-TP Trail (Network Connection). It extracts MPLS-TP trail OAM from the MPLS-TP signal at its MT_TCP, detects defects, counts during 1-second periods errors and defects to feed Performance Monitoring when connected and forwards the defect information as backward indications to the companion MT_TT_So function.

NOTE – The MT_TT_Sk function extracts and processes one level of MPLS-TP OAM irrespective of the presence of more levels.

The information flow and processing of the MT_TT_Sk function is defined with reference to Figure 9-4.

Symbol

![Figure 9-4 – MT_TT_Sk function symbol](image)

Interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT_TCP:</td>
<td>MT_AP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input(s)</td>
<td>Output(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_D</td>
<td>MT_AI_D</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_iPHB</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_oPHB</td>
<td>MT_AI_TSf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_SSF</td>
<td>MT_AI_TSD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_LStack</td>
<td>MT_AI_AIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_LStack</td>
<td>MT_AI_LStack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_TT_Sk_MP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MT_RP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td>MT_RI_CCCV_Params (State, Diag, TxIntl, rDiscr)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CC_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MT_RI_CC_Blk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_RDI_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MT_RI_DM_Resp (OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CC_Enable[1…Mcccv]</td>
<td>MT_RI_LM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CCV_Mode[1…Mcccv]</td>
<td>MT_RI_LMDM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CC_Period</td>
<td>MT_RI_PM_Error</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_PeerMEPID[1…Mcccv]</td>
<td>MT_RI_DM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_Remote_Discr[1…Mcccv]</td>
<td>MT_RI_LM_Info (SQI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_CC_Cos[…]</td>
<td>MT_RI_LMDM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_GetSvdCC[1…Mcccv]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_DMp_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_LMp_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_DMp_Enable[1…Mmp]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_LMp_Enable[1…Mmp]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_PM_ClearError</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_PM_Responder_Enable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_AIS_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_LCK_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_TT_Sk_MP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_SvdCC</td>
<td>MT_RI_DMp_ReportError(Error)[1…Mmp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cSSF</td>
<td>MT_RI_LMp_ReportError(Error)[1…Mmp]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cLCK</td>
<td>MT_RI_DM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cLOC[]</td>
<td>MT_RI_LM_Info (SQI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cMMG</td>
<td>MT_RI_LMDM_Info (SQI, QTF, RTF, RPTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cUNM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cUNC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cDEG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_cRDI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TT_Sk_MI_DMp_ReportError(Error)[1…Mmp]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Processes

The processes associated with the MT_TT_Sk function are as depicted in Figure 9-5.
**PHB:** See clause 9.2.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**Extract TTL:** See clause 9.2.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**Block:** See clause 9.2.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**MEP Proactive OAM G-ACh Extraction:** See clause 8.1.3 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**OAM PDU Reception:** This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: PM reception; OAM Demux; Session Demux; PM Demux.

**Proactive OAM Sink control:** This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: CCCV Reception; LCK/AIS reception; proactive PM Sink control; PM responder.

**Performance Counter:** See clause 9.2.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**Defect Generation:** See clause 9.2.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

The location of the counter part of the OAM PDU Reception process is shown for illustration only. The exact set of packets to be counted is implementation specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

**Defects**

See [ITU-T G.8121].

**Consequent actions**

See [ITU-T G.8121].

**Defect correlations**

See [ITU-T G.8121].

**Performance monitoring**

See [ITU-T G.8121].

### 9.3 Adaptation functions

#### 9.3.1 MPLS-TP to MPLS-TP Adaptation function (MT/MT_A)

This atomic function is defined in clause 9.3.1 in [ITU-T G.8121]. They use the OAM protocol specific AIS Insert process and LCK Generation process as defined in clauses 8.6.2 and 8.6.3. For the MT/MT_A_Sk function, in addition to the MI shown in Table 9.5 in [ITU-T G.8121] and Figure 9.11 in [ITU-T G.8121], there is an additional protocol-specific MI used by the AIS Insert process defined in this document: MI_Local_Defect[1..M].

### 9.4 MT Diagnostic functions

#### 9.4.1 Diagnostic functions for MEPs

##### 9.4.1.1 MT Diagnostic Trail Termination functions for MEPs (MTDe_TT)

The bidirectional MPLS-TP MEP Diagnostic function (MTDe) Trail Termination (MTDe_TT) function is performed by a co-located pair of MTDe trail termination source (MTDe_TT_So) and sink (MTDe_TT_Sk) functions as shown in Figure 9-6:
9.4.1.1.1 MT Diagnostic Trail Termination Source function for MEPs (MTDe_TT_So)

The MTDe_TT_So process diagram is shown in Figure 9-8.

Symbol

![Figure 9-7 – MTDe_TT_So_Symbol](image)

Interfaces

Table 9-3 – MTDe_TT_So interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT_AP:</td>
<td>MT_CP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_AI_D</td>
<td>MT_CI_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_AI_iPHB</td>
<td>MT_CI_oPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_AI_oPHB</td>
<td>MT_CI_iPHB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MTDe_RP:**

MTDe_RI_LSPPing_Rsp (OAM, PHB, RC, SubRC,Err_TLV, include_ifstack, LStack
Include dsmap, ingress_Ifnum, egress_Ifnum, ds_lstack)
MTDe_RI_DM_Resp (OAM, PHB)
MTDe_RI_LM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)
MTDe_RI_LMDM_Resp (LMType, OAM, PHB)
MTDe_RI_rLSPPing_Rsp (OAM, PHB, LStack)
MTDe_RI_rDM_Resp (OAM)
MTDe_RI_rLM_Resp (LMtype, OAM)
MTDe_RI_rLMDM_Resp (LMtype, OAM) | MTDe_RP: |

MTDe_TT_So_MI_DMo_ReportError(Error) [1...M_DMo]
MTDe_TT_So_MI_DMo_PeriodChanged [1...M_DMo]
MTDe_TT_So_MI_LMo_ReportError(Error) [1...M_LMo]
MTDe_TT_So_MI_LMo_PeriodChanged [1...M_LMo]
MTDe_TT_So_MI_DMo_Result(Count, B_FD[], F_FD[], N_FD[]) [1...M_DMo]
Table 9-3 – MTDe_TT_So interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_RI_CI (D, iPHB, oPHB)</td>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI_LMo_Result(N_TF, N_LF, F_TF, F_LF)[1...M_LMo]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.Series_Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.OAM_Tool</td>
<td>(Session_ID, Rev, OOO, FWErr, BWErr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.Series (Session_ID, Count, Period, CoS, Size, ValidateFEC, ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack)</td>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.Trace_Result</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.Trace (Session_ID, CoS, ValidateFEC, ValidateReverse, TargetFECStack)</td>
<td>(Session_ID, Result)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.FEC.Checking</td>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.FWErr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI_Target_FEC</td>
<td>(Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LMo.OAM_Tool[...]</td>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.CV.BWErr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DMo.OAM_Tool[...]</td>
<td>(Session_ID, Seq, RC, SubRC, ErrTLV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DMo_Start (CoS, Test_ID, Length, Period, CopyPad)[1...M_DMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DMo_Start (CoS, Test_ID, Period, LMType, CountBytes) [1...M_LMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LMDMo_Start (CoS, Test_ID, Length, Period, LMType, CountBytes, CopyPad) [1...M_LMDMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DMo.Intermediate_Request [1...M_DMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LMo.Intermediate_Request [1...M_LMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DMo_Terminate [1...M_DMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LMo_Terminate [1...M_LMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LMDMo_Terminate [1...M_LMDMo]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.Lock_Instruct_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.Admin_State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LI_Period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LI_MEPID</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.LI_CoS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_So_MI.DP_Loopback_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEP on-demand OAM G-ACh Insertion: See clause 8.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

OAM PDU Generation: This contains the following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8:
On-demand CV Request Generation; On-demand CV Response Generation; LI Generation; PM generation; OAM Mux; PM Mux.
On-demand OAM Source Control: This contains the following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: LI Source Control; on-demand PM control; On-demand CV Control.

The location of the counter part of the OAM PDU Generation process is shown for illustration only. The exact set of packets to be counted is implementation specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

Dataplane Loopback Source process: see clause 8.9.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

Defects
None.

Consequent actions
None.

Defect correlations
None.

Performance monitoring
None.

9.4.1.1.2 MT Diagnostic Trail Termination Sink function for MEPs (MTDe_TT_Sk)

The MTDe_TT_Sk process diagram is shown in Figure 9-10.

Symbol

![Figure 9-9 – MTDe_TT_Sk_Symbol](image)

Interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_CP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MTDe_AP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_D</td>
<td>MTDe_AP_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_iPHB</td>
<td>MTDe_AI_iPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_oPHB</td>
<td>MTDe_AI_oPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_LStack</td>
<td>MTDe_AI_LStack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT_RP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MTDe_RP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MP</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_rLSPPing_Rsp (OAM, PHB, LStack)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_FEC_Checking</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 9-4 – MTDe_TT_Sk interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_CV_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_LSPPing_Rsp (OAM, PHB, RC, SubRC, Err_TLV, include_ifstack, LStack, Include_dsmmap, ingress_ifnum, egress_ifnum, ds_lstack)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_LMo_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_rDM_Resp(OAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_DMo_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_rLM_Resp(LMtype, OAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_PM_Responder_Enable</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_rLMDM_Resp(LMtype, OAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_DP_Loopback_Enable</td>
<td>MTDe_RI_DM_Resp(OAM, PHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTDe_RI_LM_Resp(LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTDe_RI_LMDM_Resp(LMType, OAM, PHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MTDe_RI_CI (D, iPHB, oPHB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDe_FT_Sk_MP: MTDe_TT_Sk_MI_Admin_State_Request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Processes

MEP On-demand G-ACh Extraction: See clause 8.1.3 in [ITU-T G.8121].

OAM PDU Reception: This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: On-demand CV Reception; LI Reception; PM reception; OAM Demux; PM Demux.

On-demand OAM Sink Control: This contains following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: MEP On-demand CV Responder; LI Sink Control; PM responder.

The location of the counter part of the OAM PDU Reception process is shown for illustration only. The exact set of packets to be counted is implementation specific, as described in [IETF RFC 6374].

Dataplane Loopback Sink: see clause 8.9.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

Defects

None.

Consequent actions

None.
Defect correlations

None.

Performance monitoring

None.

9.4.1.2 MTD_e to MT Adaptation functions (MTDe/MT_A)
The MPLS-TP MEP Diagnostic Adaptation function (MTDe/MT_A) is described in clause 9.4.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

9.4.2 Diagnostic functions for MIPs

9.4.2.1 MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Trail Termination function (MTDi_TT)
The bidirectional MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Trail Termination function (MTDi_TT) is performed by a co-located pair of the MPLS-TP trail termination source (MTDi_TT_So) and sink (MTDi_TT_Sk) functions as shown in Figure 9-11.

![Figure 9-11 – MTDi_TT](image)

9.4.2.1.1 MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Trail Termination Source function (MTDi_TT_So)
The MTDi_TT_So function adds MPLS-TP OAM to the MT_AI signal at its MT_AP.
The information flow and processing of the MTDi_TT_So function is defined with reference Figure 9-12.

Symbol

![Figure 9-12 – MTDi_TT_So symbol](image)
Interfaces

Table 9-5 – MTDi_TT_So inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_AP:</td>
<td>MTDi_CP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_AL_D</td>
<td>MTDi_CI_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_AL_iPHB</td>
<td>MTDi_CI_oPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_AL_oPHB</td>
<td>MTDi_CI_iPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AL_Lstack</td>
<td>MTDi_CI_Lstack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_RP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_RI_LSPPing_Rsp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_RI_CI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MP:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MI_Target_FEC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MI_CV_OAM_Tool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MI_DP_Loopback_Enable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_So_MI❔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processes

The processes associated with the MTDi_TT_So function are depicted in the Figure 9-13.

G-ACh Insertion: See clause 8.1.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].
OAM PDU Generation: This contains the following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: On-demand CV Response Generation; OAM Mux.

On-demand OAM Source Control: This process performs no operations.

Dataplane Loopback Source: see clause 8.9.1 in [ITU-T G.8121].

Defects

None.

Consequent actions

None.

Defect correlations

None.

Performance monitoring

None.

9.4.2.1.2 MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Trail Termination Sink function (MTDi_TT_Sk)

The information flow and processing of the MTDi_TT_Sk function is defined with reference to Figure 14.

Symbol

![Figure 9-14 – MTDi_TT_Sk symbol](image)

Interfaces

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9-6 – MTDi_TT_Sk inputs and outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Input(s)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_TCP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_iPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_oPHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_CI_LStack</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_Sk_MP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_Sk_MI_FEC_Checking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_Sk_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_Sk_MI.CV_OAM_Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTDi_TT_Sk_MI_DP_Loopback_Enable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Processes

The processes associated with the MTDiTT_Sk function are as depicted in Figure 9-15.
**Figure 9-15 – MTDi_TT_Sk process**

**G-ACh Extraction:** See clause 8.1.3 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**OAM PDU Reception:** This contains the following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: On-demand CV Reception; OAM Demux.

**On-demand OAM Sink Control:** This contains the following sub-processes as described in clause 8.8: MIP On-demand CV Responder.

**Dataplane Loopback Sink:** See clause 8.9.2 in [ITU-T G.8121].

**Defects**

*None.*

**Consequent actions**

*None.*

**Defect correlations**

*None.*

**Performance monitoring**

*None.*

### 9.4.2.2 MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Adaptation function (MTDi/MT_A)

The MPLS-TP MIP Diagnostic Adaptation function (MTDi/MT_A) is described in clause 9.4.2.2 of [ITU-T G.8121].
10  MPLS-TP to Non-MPLS-TP client adaptation functions

These atomic functions except MPLS-TP to ETH adaptation function are defined in clause 10 in [ITU-T G.8121].

10.1  MPLS-TP to ETH adaptation function (MT/ETH_A)

10.1.1  MPLS-TP to ETH adaptation source function (MT/ETH_A_So)

This function maps the ETH_CI information for transport in a MT_AI signal.

The information flow and processing of the MT/ETH_A_So function is defined with reference to Figure 10-1.

Symbol

![Symbol](Figure 10-1 – MT/ETH_A_So function)

Interfaces

The MT/ETH_A_So interfaces are described in Table 10-1.

Table 10-1 – MT/ETH_A_So inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ETH_FP:</strong></td>
<td>MT_AP:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH_CI_Data</td>
<td>MT_AI_Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH_CI_P</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH_CI_DE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT/ETH_A_So_MP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_Active</td>
<td>MT_AI_Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_AdminState</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_FCSEnable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_CWEEnable</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_SQUse</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_PR12CoSMapping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_GAL_Enable</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_CSF_OAM_Tool</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_CSF_Period</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_CSF_CoS</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_CSF_Enable</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_CSFrdifiEnable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_MEP_MAC*</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_Client_MEL*</td>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10-1 – MT/ETH_A_So inputs and outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_LCK_Period*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_LCK_Pri*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_So_MI_MEL*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* ETH OAM related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Processes**

The processes associated with the MT/ETH_A_So function are as depicted in Figure 10-2.
- **CSF insert process**

As defined in clause 8.7.3.

Other processes without consequent actions in Figure 10-2 are defined in clause 10.1.1 of [ITU-T G.8121].

**Defects:** None

**Consequent actions:**

aCSF-LOS $\leftrightarrow$ CI_SSF and MI_CSF_Enable

aCSF-RDI $\leftrightarrow$ CI_SSFrdi and MI_CSFrdifdiEnable and MI_CSF_Enable
aCSF-FDI ← CI_SSFfdi and MI_CSFRdidiEnable and MI_CSF_Enable

**Defect correlations:** None.

**Performance monitoring:** None.

10.1.2 MPLS-TP to ETH adaptation sink function (MT/ETH_A_Sk)

This function extracts the ETH_CI information from a MT_AI signal. The information flow and processing of the MT/ETH_A_Sk function is defined with reference to Figure 10-3.

**Symbol**

![Figure 10-3 – MT/ETH_A_Sk function](image)

**Interfaces**

The MT/ETH_A_Sk interfaces are described in Table 10-2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Each MT_AP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>ETH_FP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_Data</td>
<td>ETH_CI_Data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_PHB</td>
<td>ETH_CI_LP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_TSF</td>
<td>ETH_CI_DE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT_AI_AIS</td>
<td>ETH_CISSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MP:</strong></td>
<td><strong>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_Active</td>
<td>MT/ETH_MI_pFCSError</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_FCSEnable</td>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_cCSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_CWEnable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 10-2 – MT/ETH_A_Sk Inputs and Outputs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input(s)</th>
<th>Output(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_CSFrdfdiEnable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_MEL *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_Admin_State</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_LCK_Period *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_LCK_Pri *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_Client_MEL *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_MEP_MAC *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_AIS_Pri *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT/ETH_A_Sk_MI_AIS_Period *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* ETH OAM related</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Processes

The processes associated with the MT/ETH_A_Sk function are as depicted in Figure 10-4.

![MT/ETH_A_Sk process diagram](image-url)

**Figure 10-4 – MT/ETH_A_Sk process diagram**

- **CSF extract process**
  As defined in clause 8.7.3.
Other processes without consequent actions, Defect Correlations, and Defect Generation in Figure 10-4 are defined in clause 10.1.1 of [ITU-T G.8121].

**Defects:**
None.

**Consequent actions:**
The function shall perform the following consequent actions:

\[ aSSF \leftarrow (AI_TSF \text{ or } dCSF-LOS) \text{ and } (\text{not MI_Admin_State }== \text{ Locked}) \]
\[ aSSFrdi \leftarrow dCSF-RDI \text{ and } \text{MI_CSFrdiEnable} \]
\[ aSSFfdi \leftarrow dCSF-FDI \text{ and } \text{MI_CSFrdiEnable} \]
\[ aAIS \leftarrow AI_AIS \]

**Defect correlations:**
\[ cCSF \leftarrow (dCSF-LOS \text{ or } dCSF-RDI \text{ or } dCSF-FDI) \text{ and } (\text{not AI_TSF}) \text{ and } \text{MI_CSF_Reported} \]

**Performance monitoring:**
None.

11 Non-MPLS-TP server to MPLS-TP adaptation functions
These atomic functions are defined in clause 11 of [ITU-T G.8121]. They use the OAM protocol specific AIS Insert process and LCK Generation process as defined in clauses 8.6.2 and 8.6.3. For these adaptation functions, in addition to the MI shown in Table 9.5 in [ITU-T G.8121] and Figure 9.11 in [ITU-T G.8121], there is an additional protocol-specific MI used by the AIS Insert process defined in this document: MI_Local_Defect[1...M].
Appendix I

OAM process and sub-processes

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

Table I.1 indicates the relationship between processes and sub-processes and where these (sub-)processes are implemented to the termination functions (MT_T, MTDe_T, and MTDi_T)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Sub-processes</th>
<th>MT_T</th>
<th>MTDe_T</th>
<th>MTDi_T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proactive OAM Source Control</td>
<td>CCCV Generation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proactive PM Source Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Generation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactive OAM Sink Control</td>
<td>CCCV Reception</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LCK/AIS Reception</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proactive PM Sink Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Responder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Reception</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-demand OAM Source Control</td>
<td>On-demand CV Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-demand CV Request Generation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-demand CV Response Generation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LI Source Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-demand PM Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Generation</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-demand OAM Sink Control</td>
<td>MIP On-demand CV Responder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MEP On-demand CV Responder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LI Sink Control</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Responder</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Reception</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAM PDU Generation</td>
<td>OAM Mux</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Mux</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAM PDU Reception</td>
<td>Session Demux</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-demand CV Reception</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OAM Demux</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM Demux</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix II

SDL descriptions

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

In this Recommendation, detailed characteristics of equipment functional blocks are described with SDL diagrams specified in [ITU-T Z.100]. The SDL diagrams use the following conventions.

![SDL symbols](G.8121.2-Y.1381.2(16)_Fil.1)

**Figure II.1 – SDL symbols**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLOBAL INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE</th>
<th>Y.100–Y.199</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Y.200–Y.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services, applications and middleware</td>
<td>Y.300–Y.399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network aspects</td>
<td>Y.400–Y.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interfaces and protocols</td>
<td>Y.500–Y.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbering, addressing and naming</td>
<td>Y.600–Y.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation, administration and maintenance</td>
<td>Y.700–Y.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Y.800–Y.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performances</td>
<td>Y.1000–Y.1099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNET PROTOCOL ASPECTS</td>
<td>Y.1100–Y.1199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Y.1200–Y.1299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services and applications</td>
<td>Y.1300–Y.1399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Architecture, access, network capabilities and resource management</td>
<td>Y.1400–Y.1499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>Y.1500–Y.1599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interworking</td>
<td>Y.1600–Y.1699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of service and network performance</td>
<td>Y.1700–Y.1799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signalling</td>
<td>Y.1800–Y.1899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation, administration and maintenance</td>
<td>Y.1900–Y.1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging</td>
<td>Y.2000–Y.2099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEXT GENERATION NETWORKS</td>
<td>Y.2100–Y.2199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frameworks and functional architecture models</td>
<td>Y.2200–Y.2299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of Service and performance</td>
<td>Y.2300–Y.2399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service aspects: Service capabilities and service architecture</td>
<td>Y.2400–Y.2499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service aspects: Interoperability of services and networks in NGN</td>
<td>Y.2500–Y.2599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancements to NGN</td>
<td>Y.2600–Y.2699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network management</td>
<td>Y.2700–Y.2799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network control architectures and protocols</td>
<td>Y.2800–Y.2899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packet-based Networks</td>
<td>Y.2900–Y.2999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Y.3000–Y.3099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized mobility</td>
<td>Y.3100–Y.3199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier grade open environment</td>
<td>Y.3200–Y.3299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUTURE NETWORKS</td>
<td>Y.3300–Y.3399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUD COMPUTING</td>
<td>Y.3400–Y.3499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INTERNET OF THINGS AND SMART CITIES AND COMMUNITIES</td>
<td>Y.3500–Y.3599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>Y.3600–Y.3699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Definitions and terminologies</td>
<td>Y.3700–Y.3799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requirements and use cases</td>
<td>Y.3800–Y.3899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure, connectivity and networks</td>
<td>Y.3900–Y.3999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frameworks, architectures and protocols</td>
<td>Y.4000–Y.4099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services, applications, computation and data processing</td>
<td>Y.4100–Y.4199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management, control and performance</td>
<td>Y.4200–Y.4299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification and security</td>
<td>Y.4300–Y.4399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation and assessment</td>
<td>Y.4400–Y.4499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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