


## Q1 In what region do you live?


Answered: 218 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Africa	1.38%	3
Asia	6.88%	15
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania	2.29%	5
Europe	33.49%	73
Latin America (Mexico, CentralAmerica, South America,Caribbean)	3.21%	7
Middle East	1.83%	4
US and Canada	50.92%	111
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>218</b>

## Q2 Which of the following applies to you:


Answered: 200 Skipped: 18



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Working group chair	31.00%	62
Author of a published RFC	63.00%	126
Author of an active internet-draft	63.50%	127
Have posted messages to an IETF mailing list	95.00%	190
Total Respondents: 200		

### Q3 How useful to you were the following aspects of IETF 102?


Answered: 217 Skipped: 1



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	NOT APPLICABLE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Formal technical discussions (e.g. working groups)	54.38% 118	42.86% 93	1.38% 3	0.46% 1	0.92% 2	217	1.51
Informal technical discussions (e.g. casual hallway conversations)	64.52% 140	23.50% 51	1.38% 3	0.00% 0	10.60% 23	217	1.69
The opportunity to present new ideas and/or suggest new ideas and technology	32.87% 71	43.06% 93	5.09% 11	0.46% 1	18.52% 40	216	2.29
Social Interactions	40.93% 88	44.19% 95	2.79% 6	0.47% 1	11.63% 25	215	1.98

## Q4 If you attended the following events, how useful did you find them?

Answered: 210 Skipped: 8



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	SOMEWHAT USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Host Speaker Series (Topic: Networking 3.0)	2.49% 5	3.48% 7	0.00% 0	94.03% 189	201	4.80
IETF Hackathon	22.33% 46	7.28% 15	0.49% 1	69.90% 144	206	3.88
Sunday Tutorials	4.08% 8	3.06% 6	0.51% 1	92.35% 181	196	4.73
HotRFC (Sunday evening lightning talks)	11.71% 24	14.15% 29	0.49% 1	73.66% 151	205	4.10
Hackdemo Happy Hour (Monday evening hackathon demo)	1.50% 3	6.00% 12	2.50% 5	90.00% 180	200	4.71


#	SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THESE EVENTS (PLEASE MAKE SURE TO NOTE WHICH EVENT YOUR COMMENT PERTAINS TO):	DATE
1	- Tutorials are very useful, but this time they clashed with other meetings.	8/8/2018 1:41 AM
2	The canada visa process is known to be unpredictable. I've got mine, but it was uneasy experience.	8/7/2018 4:17 AM
3	It would be good to exploit Hackathon slot to organize Interworking demo , e.g. IETF Yang models.	8/7/2018 12:34 AM
4	Hackathon and Charles are awesome!	8/6/2018 10:44 PM
5	Let's improve online/remote participation. More groups on jabber, and also ability to present remotely during Working Group sessions. This should be encouraged.	8/6/2018 9:58 PM
6	I attended the ANRW and really benefited from it.	8/6/2018 2:26 PM
7	Host speaker series has been very useful in the past. It was unfortunate that this one had to be canceled.	8/6/2018 2:11 PM
8	The weekend before WG meetings start is getting *very* crowded. I think it's great but it does limit how many of these events one person can attend.	8/6/2018 1:51 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

9	Keep doing the HotRFC session, and if possible, give it more time.	8/2/2018 8:29 AM
10	Hear good things about the hot RFC session - will attend next time	8/1/2018 1:54 AM
11	HotRFC has been useful in the past - just the things presented this time I didn't find useful	7/30/2018 1:54 AM
12	Hackathons can be slightly longer.	7/28/2018 12:11 AM
13	For the Hackdemo, I couldn't find anyone doing an actual demo. So I left.	7/27/2018 5:27 AM
14	N/A	7/26/2018 3:07 PM
15	HotRFC would be better if there was time allotted for a few Q&A, we had a lot of time left over after the presentations.	7/26/2018 1:33 PM
16	We tried to organize a couple of breakouts from the hackathon to clear up some technical issues, and it would be nice if there were reservable breakout rooms for that (noise is a problem with remote participants, otherwise).	7/26/2018 1:23 PM
17	I was sorry to miss the HotRFC lightning talks! What a great idea to draw people in.	7/26/2018 12:17 PM
18	It's a lot. I don't think you can really do much about that, though—as long as somebody is getting value out of each of these, it's good.	7/26/2018 11:29 AM
19	We need a session before IETF gets started where each WG in an area has to give a 5-10 minutes presentation on what is going on in their WG, what is currently being debated and why it is being debated, and how people can get involved. I also really like that the ICANN meeting was right next to IETF. The DNS Symposium from ICANN was amazing.	7/26/2018 11:01 AM

## Q5 How did you participate in IETF 102

Answered: 218 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
In person in Montreal	83.94%	183
Both in person and using remote participation tools while in Montreal	4.13%	9
Using remote participation tools (Meetecho) while not in Montreal	11.93%	26
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>218</b>


#	PLEASE SHARE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR USE OF MEETECHO FOR IETF 102	DATE
1	Meetecho is great. Would be better if it can have "Chat" option, so that we can eliminate Jabber. My company computer can't install Jabber, making it impossible to scribe and watching the discussion.	8/8/2018 9:38 AM
2	People need to be nicer to the RFC Editor	8/7/2018 5:49 AM
3	Worked well for this IETF.	8/7/2018 5:34 AM
4	ME was excellent!	8/7/2018 2:29 AM
5	It was my first experience using Meetecho for IETF and I found it very useful and powerful.	8/6/2018 10:59 PM
6	Connection dropped at times, but Lorenzo fixed it.	8/6/2018 9:58 PM
7	audio was spotty	8/6/2018 1:34 PM
8	This was my first time using Meetecho, and I was a bit anxious about whether I would get it to work, particularly since the session I cared about was at 0630 my time Monday. It would have been nice to have a way to practice with Meetecho on Sunday (e.g., set up a link to the registration desk or some other common area).	7/27/2018 9:56 AM
9	I took minutes in Laurier room (6man). I had a very hard time understanding people at the mic farthest from me (I was sitting next to the other mic), but I do have some hearing loss -- so it might have been a personal problem. So I listened to the Meetecho recording. The sound quality was not good. There was considerable distortion, and I still couldn't understand what those people had said. It would be really good if we could have rooms as small as reasonable, with a single mic. The really wide layout was particularly bad.	7/27/2018 5:27 AM
10	acceptable, poor video	7/27/2018 4:06 AM
11	Nice!	7/27/2018 3:10 AM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

12	Just worked well.	7/27/2018 2:44 AM
13	MeetEcho was not supported in IE and I don't have the other browsers so I resorted to using audio only which made it tough to determine who was speaking sometimes.	7/26/2018 4:21 PM
14	I was doing great until the PANRG meeting on Friday - the audio quality was horrible, and the Meetecho guys said they had been struggling with the acoustics all week long in that room. On the bright side, Meetecho is incredibly responsive to mentions in Jabber. Please thank them for that.	7/26/2018 2:14 PM
15	Meetecho works quite well, at least as long as the moderator is attentive. It is important to tell Meetecho in advance if you have remote speakers, otherwise they will lose their presentation position and have to return to the queue before they can talk again.	7/26/2018 1:57 PM
16	WG chairs have to be reminded to press the red button for remote participants, without it you get audio but not video. It might be best to send out an email to the WG chairs with concise instructions for both Meetecho and Chromebook management during meetings.	7/26/2018 1:33 PM
17	excellent tool! Misspelled my Registration name and did not find a way to correct it	7/26/2018 1:27 PM
18	Perfect remote presentations/discussions.	7/26/2018 1:23 PM
19	Meetecho was very responsive during sessions, helping out with remote participants (not me) that had audio troubles, and helping with remote presenters who had not registered as such in advance. It is generally better for me to use the pure audio stream than to use Meetecho, when I am listening to one session's stream while being physically in the room for a different session.	7/26/2018 12:28 PM
20	Took notes for one of the session in which I participated and found the note taking setup pretty straightforward - hope it was helpful for those both in the room and on the bridge	7/26/2018 12:17 PM
21	Meetecho is awesome! I also used it to present work, it was really smooth and convenient.	7/26/2018 11:40 AM
22	I participated in person in Montreal, and used remote participation tools while not in Montreal (I had to leave early), but there was no way for me to choose those options.	7/26/2018 11:23 AM
23	Worked fine for my RG, but we had few remote participants	7/26/2018 11:19 AM
24	Meetecho worked. I managed to both follow the discussion and present remotely. The mic queue in Meetecho is not a great feature. It is very disruptive and is a jarring experience to those in the room. I don't think we have yet an alternative to the jabber scribe.	7/26/2018 11:14 AM
25	Meetecho worked well. However, cameras did not generally switch to the mic line.	7/26/2018 10:59 AM
26	There seems to be less attention to the Jabber channel these days and there were some glitches but in general being able to see live streams is a big plus and having recordings posted to youtube is also nice. Keep up the good work!	7/26/2018 10:47 AM
27	Incoming audio from participants was frequently choppy, much more so than for previous meetings. This was bad enough as to be unusable in many cases, and I was more than one working group fall back to asking remote participants to use Jabber instead. The network was otherwise solid, so I assume something was different about the Meetecho service itself this time.	7/26/2018 10:43 AM

## Q6 How would you rate the location? (Montreal)

Answered: 190 Skipped: 28



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	67.89%	129
Very good	21.58%	41
Good	8.95%	17
Fair	1.05%	2
Poor	0.53%	1
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>190</b>

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT THE LOCATION THAT PROMPTED YOUR RESPONSE.	DATE
1	Transatlantic flights are these days extremely expensive during summer.	8/10/2018 8:25 AM
2	the areas outside the conference room for casual discussion (which is more important than the actual meeting room discussion)	8/8/2018 9:42 AM
3	Visiting new places would be nice.	8/8/2018 1:44 AM
4	The location was good, but once again -it's canada and quite complicated visa	8/7/2018 4:19 AM
5	Probably one of the best venues. Availability of sitting places to have ad-hoc meetings/being able to code was amazing.	8/7/2018 2:35 AM
6	Enough space to sit comfortably (sofas etc). Food and drinks were put out long enough.	8/7/2018 12:31 AM
7	Excellent venue. Perhaps a little expensive (probably because of the peak summer month)	8/6/2018 10:46 PM
8	Central location in a world-class city; very good transport options; reasonably welcoming local population; good food/drinks nearby	8/6/2018 9:51 PM
9	Flight connections weren't as good as Toronto or Vancouver, but reasonable. And the city is a great location.	8/6/2018 3:44 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey


10	The best ever seating, working, and random meet-up areas I have seen in any hotel. Excellent. Location near metro, shops, and restaurants is perfect.	8/6/2018 3:15 PM
11	I've lived and studied in Montreal (as an American), so I have a definitely liking for the city. It's cleaner and friendlier than (say) New York or San Francisco.	8/6/2018 2:29 PM
12	Hotel had lots of good places for ad hoc meetings, nearby food, attentive staff, good basic facilities (meeting rooms, A/V, etc.)	8/6/2018 2:06 PM
13	Not very many direct flights to Montreal; lots of construction during the summer.	8/6/2018 1:31 PM
14	I've attended more than 70 IETFs and this hotel stood out as stellar! Very good conferencing facilities, and the hallways/lounges were spectacular. Please go back there!	8/4/2018 7:41 AM
15	Easy to get to. Dual language: English & French. Nice city in the summer.	8/3/2018 4:20 AM
16	Easy to get to; great city; great venue.	8/2/2018 11:07 AM
17	Bautiful city, public transportation available close to the venue, plenty of places to eat with fast service. Not extremely expensive.	8/2/2018 8:31 AM
18	Didn't run out of food/drink (first time in my IETF experience). Gathering area right by the meeting rooms is great. Lots of areas to sit and have ad-hoc meetings. Aircon was actually set at a reasonable level as well (again a first for IETF meetings)	8/1/2018 1:57 AM
19	I live in Montreal.	7/31/2018 12:09 PM
20	The area available for working and talking to people outside the meetings was perfect.	7/31/2018 5:04 AM
21	Lots of spaces to do work, as well as sit down with others over laptops, or just for a talk. Plenty of off food/drinks. Lots of stuff nearby.	7/30/2018 10:22 AM
22	Everything from the food to the network to the surroundings just... worked. That lets us focus on what we're there for.	7/27/2018 10:34 AM
23	Montréal is a really beautiful, walkable city. I really enjoyed it. The Fairmont was great, it had loads of sitting and meeting space, and was conveniently located for accessing Montréal.	7/27/2018 8:45 AM
24	Lots of room, good meeting rooms, acoustics fine, many possibilities nearby.	7/27/2018 8:17 AM
25	My only complaints were the ubiquitous construction and cost of airfare to get there. And the unfortunate power outage. The hotel, staff, food, etc. were all excellent.	7/27/2018 5:33 AM
26	Great hotel, very good location inside the city with good surroundings, direct flights to Montreal.	7/27/2018 2:47 AM
27	Although relatively expensive to fly to compared to other locations.	7/27/2018 1:06 AM
28	Very convenient location. Lots of places at walking distance. Easy to reach.	7/26/2018 11:54 PM
29	Easy immigration but expensive hotels	7/26/2018 11:51 PM
30	I would rate it even better if the main hotel is cheaper.	7/26/2018 8:38 PM
31	Good weather, good mobility, diverse cultural activities, nice location of the venue.	7/26/2018 4:16 PM
32	I liked the meeting room layout near the code lounge and close to the lobby with coffee shop. It was a fantastic hotel for the meeting.	7/26/2018 3:21 PM
33	Loved the great space to have conversations outside rooms.	7/26/2018 3:03 PM
34	Many Seating Areas for small group meetings (and Many Seats in the hallways). The large train station with food court in the basement was good, too.	7/26/2018 2:15 PM
35	I thought it was excellent for the size of meeting we had, but would become problematic if we get many more people next time.	7/26/2018 2:05 PM
36	Hotels are a bit pricy.	7/26/2018 1:58 PM
37	I would have preferred the original location (SF) as we'll be back in Montreal next year.	7/26/2018 1:37 PM
38	An actual city (not a large parking lot). Good food. Lots of places to meet. Short distances.	7/26/2018 1:26 PM
39	DFW is one of the largest airports in the US yet flight options are extremely limited and this is the second time I've had major issues leaving Montréal after the meeting. I don't have the same issue with Toronto or Vancouver	7/26/2018 1:08 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

40	<p>Great food (including grocery-like) options nearby, especially with the underground networks. Session rooms' audio+internet setup was generally quite good (with the exception of the audio in one room, IIRC Laurier). The refreshment breaks were quite well stocked (I only saw them run out of regular coke once, on the first day of the hackathon!), and both the coffee and tea were exceptional quality for hotel fare. I really like having yogurt available as a healthy option (I'm too picky about my fruit for that to be good for me), especially one that can keep my hands clean. Catered breakfasts were pretty good (modulo watery scrambled eggs, which basically everybody does). Some not-perfect items that still do not demote from the overall "Exceptional" status: Having projectors of different resolution (i.e., for the presenter's slides and for the Meetecho stream) is a little distracting ... or maybe it's just the low-resolution projector that is jarring in these HD days. It's a little annoying to have the main restrooms on a different floor from the session rooms, though the escalators help a lot. The (blind) sharp right turn from the escalators towards the men's room (combined with the registration tables nearby) makes the traffic flow somewhat prone to collisions, so placing full-height banners to not block that line-of-sight could be helpful. Some refreshments breaks had the stuff being served occupying all flat surfaces, so that there weren't great options for disposing of used dishware; service trays on stands could help with that (but that's probably entirely in the hotel's jurisdiction). The water in the plastic "tower" dispensers in the rooms tasted pretty bad, and even some of the water pitchers tasted worse than tap water from my room. I ended up just having a couple of bottles of water (from the beverage break) in my bag, which worked fine for me.</p>	7/26/2018 12:44 PM
41	<p>Fun and interesting city! Loved that the hotel had so many nooks and crannies in which to meet with others. Very warm ambiance. Very navigable layout. Great cafe and Artisan store/cafe. Perfectly located near to hiking up the Mont Royal daily.</p>	7/26/2018 12:21 PM
42	<p>The chairs were the worst on the planet</p>	7/26/2018 11:57 AM
43	<p>This is one of the nicest venues we've been to in recent memory. Almost as good as Minneapolis! :)</p>	7/26/2018 11:33 AM
44	<p>Great venue and city. We should go back.</p>	7/26/2018 11:26 AM
45	<p>Great food! Short distance for me.</p>	7/26/2018 11:20 AM
46	<p>The open meeting space on the second floor was great</p>	7/26/2018 11:19 AM
47	<p>I loved the fact that there was so many open places to sit and have side meetings.</p>	7/26/2018 11:04 AM
48	<p>Not great food or nearby food options. Montreal is just about as far away from where I live as you can get.</p>	7/26/2018 11:04 AM
49	<p>Relatively easy air connections, good public transport, very good food.</p>	7/26/2018 11:02 AM
50	<p>Nice &amp; comfortable hotel, good, cheap, and close food. Lots of good public nooks &amp; work areas in the hotel</p>	7/26/2018 11:01 AM
51	<p>The area of Montreal we were in was very easy to get around, and had good nearby restaurants and stores.</p>	7/26/2018 10:48 AM
52	<p>Spacious seating area, excellent facilities and staff. Central location in the downtown area of one of my favorite cities.</p>	7/26/2018 10:47 AM
53	<p>Excellent location in a fun city. Great restaurants.</p>	7/26/2018 10:45 AM
54	<p>I'm biased - I'm local. :-)</p>	7/26/2018 10:44 AM
55	<p>The Fairmont has a remarkable set of spaces around the hotel the support well informal interactions of various forms. The location within the city afforded good range of restaurants and other resources for travellers. Airport connections and other transportation options are excellent.</p>	7/26/2018 10:44 AM

## Q7 Did you stay at Fairmont The Queen Elizabeth in Montreal?


Answered: 189 Skipped: 29



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	62.96%	119
No, I stayed at one of the IETF overflow properties (Sheraton, Monville, Novotel, Renaissance, or Sofitel)	6.88%	13
No, I stayed at a non-IETF property	30.16%	57
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>189</b>

## Q8 Do you think the IETF should return to Fairmont The Queen Elizabeth for a future meeting?

Answered: 185 Skipped: 33




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	83.24%	154
No	2.70%	5
Maybe	14.05%	26
TOTAL		185

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The hotel was very good for a convention: small separate room for discussion, many tables also in breakfast time, many facilities offered by hotel	8/7/2018 12:38 AM
2	Good location; so-so catering. Maybe find a place with backup generators? Seriously...	8/6/2018 2:29 PM
3	Food in the hotel was too expensive.	8/6/2018 5:44 AM
4	Availability of side meeting locations and lounges was great. Restrooms were hard to find on the meeting location.	7/30/2018 3:44 AM
5	not staying at the hotel	7/30/2018 1:33 AM
6	Expensive hotel	7/26/2018 11:51 PM
7	don't care	7/26/2018 11:44 PM
8	If there is more cost effective alternatives offering the same facilities, then prefer the cheaper alternative for main hotel	7/26/2018 8:38 PM
9	It worked nicely sized for the IETF meeting. But the bar seemed to be mostly closed! I did like the Marche for lunch.	7/26/2018 1:37 PM

## Q9 At IETF 102 we provided Chromebooks for chairs and presenters to use in their sessions. Did you find the Chrombooks to be:

Answered: 139 Skipped: 79



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Very helpful	42.45% 59
Somewhat helpful	44.60% 62
Not so helpful	12.95% 18
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>139</b>

#	COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW TO MAKE THIS BETTER / EASIER NEXT TIME:	DATE
1	Did not try	8/10/2018 8:25 AM
2	had difficulty to display in Full screen	8/8/2018 9:42 AM
3	in 90% of the sessions, something got screwed up when presenting powerpoints on the chromebooks. Either the next-page did not work, and the chair had to awkwardly scroll from page to page (making it difficult to follow). Or, if the powerpoint was not converted to PDF, the fonts etc got screwed up. Sure, there was a way to avoid this, but evidently nobody paid attention to the explanation. Very very annoying.	8/7/2018 7:13 AM
4	These were awesome	8/7/2018 5:50 AM
5	Pre-configure them with proper fullscreen mode?	8/7/2018 12:31 AM
6	Only issue was the wonkiness of the touch-pad on the chrome-pad we used.	8/6/2018 3:15 PM
7	PowerPoint slides did not render correctly	8/6/2018 2:56 PM
8	Some of the projectors didn't seem to match the aspect/resolution of the Chromebooks... don't know if that was a Chromebook limitation or not.	8/6/2018 2:29 PM
9	The Chromebook made setting up the meeting easier, reduced workload during the session.	8/6/2018 2:06 PM
10	It was the first time so we had our computers anyway. The chromebooks were nice though an generated a lot of emails about how to make them better.	8/6/2018 1:24 PM
11	did not format a lot of the presentations properly (sizing and animations were a problem)	8/6/2018 1:22 PM
12	I cannot really comment, as I didn't have to handle one, but it seemed to work from the participant's end. I see no reason to abolish the experiment. Go on, and evolve it futher!	8/4/2018 7:41 AM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey


13	Liked them. Had some issues with the clicker not working, but chair was able to advance the slides. Was nice having one It for meetecho and one for slides. Meetecho worked very well.	8/3/2018 2:58 PM
14	Full screen / next slide without scrolling needs to be made automatic/easier. Possibly the slide deck & slide number been presented could be automatically posted on jabber.	8/3/2018 4:20 AM
15	Not a single chair could operate them efficiently. Perhaps some before meeting training for them would help.	8/1/2018 1:57 AM
16	there was trouble in some sessions getting some presentations in full screen and paging down one slide at a time. I suspect at combination of 'could be easier' and operator ineptitude!	7/30/2018 1:56 AM
17	Some chairs were seems unfamiliar with ChromeOS' interface.	7/29/2018 10:28 PM
18	Not having page up/down keys on keyboards was annoying, as that is what is used in most browsers to switch between tabs. I.e., I could not just have full screen presentation on the screen all the time, and then switching to next presentation by pressing Ctrl-PageDown (switch to next tab in browser) after I have opened all presentation as separate tabs. Perhaps this function can also be mapped to one of the function keys and labeled as such... Also provide better instructions how to get it use full screen etc. Perhaps even put the instructions on the desktop wallpaper...	7/27/2018 4:16 PM
19	my WGs did not have a session	7/27/2018 9:17 AM
20	The chairs hosting all the presentations from a single device made the transitions between talks much smoother.	7/27/2018 8:45 AM
21	Did not use.	7/27/2018 8:17 AM
22	I totally loved the Chromebooks (once we spent 5 minutes figuring them out).	7/27/2018 5:33 AM
23	Half the presenters/chairs didn't figure out (easily) how to put the slide presentations into full screen mode.	7/27/2018 2:47 AM
24	I did not need to use it.	7/27/2018 1:06 AM
25	Instructions on how to use them (especially when displaying PPTs) need to be improved.	7/26/2018 11:54 PM
26	used own computer out of fear	7/26/2018 11:44 PM
27	Chairs could also take notes and feel more comfortable copying the presentations.	7/26/2018 4:16 PM
28	The chromebooks somehow made the text of a lot of the slides so tiny that it wasn't visible on the screen. Several presenters mentioned that their slides didn't get made that way.	7/26/2018 4:05 PM
29	It'll just take time for the WG chairs to get used to it, should be better next IETF	7/26/2018 3:29 PM
30	It was nice to have a machine with a permanent connection to the projector but the chromebook user interface is terrible. PDFs did not display & scale well and were not easy to navigate.	7/26/2018 3:21 PM
31	It's a new OS to almost everybody, and even technical people don't always "get it". A meeting you are chairing is not a good time to ramp up on a new system.	7/26/2018 3:11 PM
32	There is a discussion on the WG Chairs list. There were issues, especially when slides came in pptx, which is an officially accepted format. It mostly worked, however, and having a computer set aside for the slides was helpful - it's usually my computer doing taht.	7/26/2018 2:28 PM
33	Make pdf mandatory	7/26/2018 2:03 PM
34	Good idea. But: 1. The chairs need to become used to them. 2. The powerpoints do not render correctly	7/26/2018 1:58 PM
35	See my earlier comment, WG chairs need concise instructions on how to display both PDF and PPT directly from the meeting materials page.	7/26/2018 1:37 PM
36	We probably should have had a laminated orintout with unambiguous screenshots of what needs to be done right next to the chromebooks. Among the 1000 things you have to juggle while running the meeting, operating an arcane browser GUI (that was not designed for this purpose) is not receiving mental priority.	7/26/2018 1:26 PM
37	I saw just as many fumbles and minor glitches as when chairs use their own laptops.	7/26/2018 1:25 PM
38	Great!	7/26/2018 1:18 PM
39	I haven't been provided any Chromebook for presentation....	7/26/2018 1:08 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

40	I was neither a chair nor a presenter	7/26/2018 12:56 PM
41	I needed help from the audience to know to use both the in-Chrome "fit to page" button in the PDF viewer and the (unlabeled) "F4 key" to get actual full-screen presentations that worked well. Perhaps an info card on the table would help, but I'm not 100% confident about that...	7/26/2018 12:44 PM
42	Gave two presentations, but did not use the Chromebooks.	7/26/2018 12:21 PM
43	The Chromebooks put a 'using hdmi display' on the projector. Many speakers didn't dismiss this and it partially covered the bottom of some slides.	7/26/2018 11:57 AM
44	The dedicated laptop was good. They were slow and difficult to manage for flipping through presentations.	7/26/2018 11:38 AM
45	There were a lot of problems with the chairs not being able to get the slides to be full screen, despite the sticker on each laptop with instructions. Sometimes the clickers didn't work.	7/26/2018 11:33 AM
46	I was the only chair, so it freed up my laptop from the presentations.	7/26/2018 11:26 AM
47	I avoided using Powerpoint because I wasn't sure how to present with it.	7/26/2018 11:01 AM
48	A lot of chairs didn't know how to use them. Full-screen wasn't intuitive. As a result, Chrome notifications stayed in the corner of the screen during some sessions, obscuring parts of the slides.	7/26/2018 10:57 AM
49	needed ppt because lacked fonts and to display movie clips	7/26/2018 10:55 AM
50	Did not notice any difference with previous meetings	7/26/2018 10:55 AM
51	It would be nice to find a better way to display powerpoint	7/26/2018 10:55 AM
52	Many presentations did not show up properly - suggest PDF only	7/26/2018 10:53 AM
53	Trying to get full screen display was more complicated than was easily determinable. Also power plugs need to be re-examined to make sure that there are a minimum of two free plugs for the chairs.	7/26/2018 10:49 AM
54	Look I was totally against this idea, but it did work. Once I got schooled by a millennial on how to use the Chromebook it was great.	7/26/2018 10:49 AM
55	N/A. Only the chairs used the Chromebooks in the sessions I attended.	7/26/2018 10:45 AM
56	Better password management needed	7/26/2018 10:43 AM

## Q10 We continued a couple of experiments at IETF 102 and would appreciate your feedback.

Answered: 190 Skipped: 28



	YES, I LIKED THIS	NO, I DID NOT LIKE THIS	I DID NOT NOTICE / NO OPINION	TOTAL
Attendees were provided with a choice between white lanyards (ok with photos) and red lanyards (preference to avoid being photographed) at registration desk	50.53% 96	12.11% 23	37.37% 71	190
Blank badge ribbons (royal blue for wg chairs, pink for rg chairs) to personalize with their wg/rg acronym	34.22% 64	5.35% 10	60.43% 113	187


#	PLEASE SHARE ANY SUGGESTIONS FOR HOW WE CAN IMPROVE UPON THESE EXPERIMENTS.	DATE
1	maybe have "Black" color for people who don't want to be photographed. I chose the "Red" because I thought it was pretty, only at the Sister lunch pic was told that "Red" mean not to be photographed.	8/8/2018 9:42 AM
2	please repeat the experiment.	8/8/2018 5:49 AM
3	The idea that a simple photo might cause problems is ridiculous in my view. My face is not a state secret.	8/8/2018 1:44 AM
4	I liked the blank blue ribbons for WG members, too.	8/6/2018 2:29 PM
5	I didn't realize what the blank ribbons were for until Tuesday or Wednesday-- remind WG chairs about them next time?	8/6/2018 2:06 PM
6	IETF is a public organization and taking photos should be OK in public spaces.	8/6/2018 1:30 PM
7	Would have been easier to just ask Peter not to take pictures than to create multiple lanyards	8/3/2018 2:58 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

8	Recycling the plastic badge holders after the meeting was a good idea.	7/28/2018 12:11 AM
9	I like the funny ribbons, too. Didn't seem like there was as much selection in those this time, though....	7/27/2018 10:34 AM
10	The intended distinction between WG chairs and RG chairs was not clear. I saw WG chairs using both colors of blank ribbons.	7/27/2018 7:42 AM
11	I liked the ribbons, but hated the sticky residue they left behind. I brought my own badge holder with magnets for clothing attachment and did not use the lanyard -- it would be good to have an option for communicating camera preferences for people who don't use the IETF lanyards.	7/27/2018 5:33 AM
12	The ribbons are a little too 'do it yourself'	7/26/2018 11:51 PM
13	I use my own lanyard, which has two hooks for the two holes on the badge, rather than the supplied lanyards, which have one attachment.	7/26/2018 2:28 PM
14	The blue ribbons are much too dark!!!! You can't read anything written on them. Also, I would prefer the WG name be pre-printed directly on the badge rather than written by hand on a ribbon.	7/26/2018 1:37 PM
15	There should be a preference expressed for transparency (and I don't mean social pressure against orange lanyards, just a preference to only use them if necessary).	7/26/2018 1:26 PM
16	Regarding this question: "I did not notice / no opinion" should be two separate options to select. I'm "no opinion" on both.	7/26/2018 1:18 PM
17	The IESG could have banners for their areas, too.	7/26/2018 12:44 PM
18	The personalized ribbons usually did not have useful information on them. I would rather that you just didn't give out lanyards, although I think the signaling for people who don't want to be photographed is worthwhile. The lanyards and badge holders just seem wasteful.	7/26/2018 11:33 AM
19	Regularize the WG names and ribbons, but allow some freedom of thought? I think the self-nicknames could be offputting to newcomers.	7/26/2018 11:02 AM
20	Bring back the dots!	7/26/2018 11:02 AM
21	I was aware of both experiments, but I have no opinion either way.	7/26/2018 10:57 AM
22	I manage my relationships with photographers directly	7/26/2018 10:43 AM

## Q11 At IETF 102, ANRW took place on the Monday of the IETF week. Did you participate in ANRW? (see <https://irtf.org/anrw/>)

Answered: 190 Skipped: 28



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	34.21%	65
No	65.79%	125
TOTAL		190

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Useful event.	8/10/2018 8:25 AM
2	Wish I had known more about it - might have attended.	8/8/2018 9:17 AM
3	I wish I could have attended. I hope the ANRW will join us agin.	8/8/2018 5:49 AM
4	Like the idea, but did not have time.	8/8/2018 1:44 AM
5	No time	8/7/2018 12:24 PM
6	overlap with WG meetings	8/7/2018 8:29 AM
7	I did not but I wanted to! this is a great thing (I was just tied up)	8/7/2018 5:50 AM
8	I think some people enjoyed it, but for busy IETF participants it just had too many clashes.	8/7/2018 2:35 AM
9	I presented a poster at ANRW.	8/7/2018 12:31 AM
10	I found the TLS session really useful	8/6/2018 9:56 PM
11	I wanted to participate, but could not. It was super well received.	8/6/2018 3:15 PM
12	The opportunity for cross-discussion was very good.	8/6/2018 2:06 PM
13	Didn't participate, but heard good feedback.	8/4/2018 7:41 AM
14	Wanted to but too many IETF conflicts.	8/3/2018 8:45 AM
15	Great!	8/1/2018 1:09 PM
16	It was very hard to participate both ANRW and IETF WG meetings simultaneously.	7/29/2018 10:28 PM
17	had other sessions I needed to attend	7/27/2018 9:17 AM
18	Great event, would have submitted a paper if I had known about it earlier.	7/27/2018 8:45 AM
19	I liked it.	7/27/2018 5:33 AM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey


---

20	Having this in parallel to WG sessions is good and bad at the same time. I could not make in on Sat, so I was happy to have ANRW on Mon. But I had some conflicting sessions.	7/27/2018 2:47 AM
21	Good research topics and great selection of presentations, looks like it's evolving fast.	7/26/2018 4:16 PM

---

## Q12 Was holding ANRW within the IETF meeting week:

Answered: 65 Skipped: 153



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Extremely valuable	40.00%	26
Very valuable	35.38%	23
Somewhat valuable	20.00%	13
Not so valuable	4.62%	3
Not at all valuable	0.00%	0
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>65</b>

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Overlapping with the IETF sessions is both good and bad. Maybe should not fully overlap for the entire day. How to arrange this is, of course, challenging. Maybe as one of the Friday events in the future, if no-IETF-meetings-on-Friday experiment is continued.	8/10/2018 8:26 AM
2	This worked well for transport-related topics (where I have most interest), especially since this did NOT overlap WGs I needed to attend.	8/8/2018 2:51 AM
3	Gave me more reason to stay the entire week and the opportunity to present my poster again during the week.	8/7/2018 12:31 AM
4	I would not have been able to attend otherwise.	8/6/2018 2:29 PM
5	Holding it during the IETF means more schedule conflicts, unfortunately. In the past I've been able to dedicate a day to ANRW. But I think the cross-discussion was worth it.	8/6/2018 2:07 PM
6	But it should have it's own day, not overlap with wg meetings	8/6/2018 2:25 AM
7	The most useful session clashed with the WG I chair, which was a bit frustrating. Personally I'd prefer it ANRW to be adjacent to IETF rather than in the week - perhaps on the Friday, with the IETF Mon to Thurs?	7/30/2018 1:58 AM
8	ANRW should be held on Saturday (beginning of IETF week) or Friday (end of IETF week),	7/29/2018 10:30 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey


---

9	My university would not pay for me to attend the weekend, thus having the ANRW during the week meant I could attend.	7/27/2018 8:46 AM
10	I missed the announcement (there is simply too much noise, perhaps showing side events on the registration page would help) - also there were collisions	7/27/2018 1:04 AM
11	Both sides (pragmatic vs. academic) could talk to each other.	7/26/2018 4:17 PM

---

### Q13 Why didn't you participate?


Answered: 121 Skipped: 97



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Not of interest	7.44% 9
Didn't know about it	42.15% 51
Conflict with IETF sessions	57.85% 70
Total Respondents: 121	

## Q14 How productive was this meeting compared to other IETF meetings you've attended?

Answered: 190 Skipped: 28



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
More productive	28.95% 55
About the same	60.53% 115
Not as productive	3.16% 6
Not applicable (i.e. This was my first meeting)	7.37% 14
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>190</b>


#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Working groups I'm most active in did not meet.	8/8/2018 9:18 AM
2	Later start to the day worked well, as in previous recent IETF meetings	8/8/2018 2:51 AM
3	highly productive	8/7/2018 5:50 AM
4	Quite a few important members of my WGs chose not to come or participate remotely. Consequently, they were missed in informal discussions.	8/6/2018 11:53 PM
5	Many colleagues were in for ANRW and the meeting allowed me to do work in addition to the work that I came for.	8/6/2018 3:16 PM
6	Definitely one of the more productive IETFs in the last few years.	8/6/2018 2:07 PM
7	... "same" is still a good grade. I usually get a lot done during IETFs.	8/4/2018 7:42 AM
8	There were some key people missing. But there's always someone who can't make it.	7/27/2018 5:34 AM
9	I had to leave early, which made the meeting a bit less productive, but that was not the fault of the meeting	7/26/2018 4:43 PM
10	It was easier to talk to others because of the disposition of rooms and the lodge. This way many side meetings took place in parallel facilitating the informal conversations.	7/26/2018 4:18 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

11	The layout of the hotel made interaction work well.	7/26/2018 3:22 PM
12	9:30 a.m. start is a bad idea; in practice it simply wastes half an hour.	7/26/2018 1:28 PM
13	About on par with Prague and Berlin.	7/26/2018 1:27 PM
14	The expansive seating area on the same floor as the meeting rooms looked really great for setting up informal conversations (though I was too busy to use it very much).	7/26/2018 12:45 PM
15	Loved the nearby and extensive food court where I could meet up with folks so easily.	7/26/2018 12:21 PM
16	Plenty of seating outside of the conference rooms was very helpful	7/26/2018 11:56 AM
17	There were however a lot of frustrating conflicts. I didn't get to go to HRPC. :(	7/26/2018 11:34 AM
18	I had to leave early, and some of the things I'm working on seem to be ramping down.	7/26/2018 11:24 AM
19	WG Chairs need to do a better job of helping people in the room understand what is being debated and why it is being debated. There is an assumption that people can and do follow every single email thread. But I would guess that most people can only follow things at a high level. So we need a way for people to better understand so they can become more involved in what is going on.	7/26/2018 11:07 AM
20	actually got a fair bit done	7/26/2018 10:56 AM
21	Hackathon was better than usual	7/26/2018 10:53 AM
22	For me it was more productive because my WGs were less insane than normal.	7/26/2018 10:50 AM

### Q15 Which of the following best represents your reason(s) for not coming to Montreal (check all that apply):

Answered: 25 Skipped: 193



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Registration cost too high	8.00% 2
Travel cost too high	28.00% 7
Hotel cost too high	16.00% 4
Total cost too high	44.00% 11
Overly long travel time	24.00% 6
Remote participation is sufficient for my level of involvement	32.00% 8
Other	40.00% 10
Total Respondents: 25	

#	COMMENTS	DATE
1	Health issues preclude this sort of travel.	8/7/2018 5:35 AM
2	I did not get the event information on time, but not sure if my organization would fund.	8/6/2018 11:02 PM
3	family obligations	8/6/2018 3:49 PM
4	I have no funding but would pay privately if less costly.	7/27/2018 4:07 AM
5	Surprisingly high cost to travel to Montreal vs Toronto.	7/26/2018 4:23 PM
6	I had planned to attend in person but had to cancel those plans due to a family medical emergency.	7/26/2018 2:15 PM
7	Became involved in the WG too late to get the travel into budget.	7/26/2018 1:58 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey


---

8	Visa application was rejected with vague reasons.	7/26/2018 11:40 AM
9	I only get support from my employer to attend 2 meetings per year.	7/26/2018 11:15 AM
10	The WG meetings are actually often boring, the real work gets done in the "hallways" and it is bad to miss that but the price for it is rather high.	7/26/2018 10:48 AM

---

## Q16 How many IETF meetings have you participated in?


Answered: 202 Skipped: 16



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
IETF 102 was my first meeting.	3.47%	7
5 or fewer	14.85%	30
More than 5	81.68%	165
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>202</b>

### Q17 If you participated in the following programs, how useful were they?

Answered: 49 Skipped: 169



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Pre-meeting Newcomers Webinar (July 5 or July 10)	10.42% 5	10.42% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	79.17% 38	48	5.06
Newcomer's Quick Connections (Sunday)	10.64% 5	10.64% 5	2.13% 1	0.00% 0	76.60% 36	47	4.98
IETF Organized Mentoring	10.64% 5	2.13% 1	2.13% 1	0.00% 0	85.11% 40	47	5.32
Newcomers Dinner (Monday)	4.26% 2	14.89% 7	2.13% 1	0.00% 0	78.72% 37	47	5.13
Newcomers Tutorial (Sunday)	14.89% 7	4.26% 2	2.13% 1	0.00% 0	78.72% 37	47	5.02
Newcomers Meet & Greet (Sunday)	12.50% 6	20.83% 10	4.17% 2	0.00% 0	62.50% 30	48	4.42
Newcomers Feedback Session (Thursday morning)	10.64% 5	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	89.36% 42	47	5.47


## Q18 What other information would have been helpful to you in preparing for IETF 102?

Answered: 5 Skipped: 213

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The webinar tools weren't OS X friendly. Also maybe schedule the Newcomer stuff after hours so it conflicts less with sessions and other events.	8/6/2018 2:31 PM
2	It would have been helpful to know more about the events for newcomers. I only recognize about half of the events listed in Q8. (Though perhaps the problem is that I didn't register until July 12.)	7/27/2018 10:00 AM
3	The 5 meetings of fewer rule to be a newcomer is not very well known. It could be made clearer so more 'newcomers' could enjoy the beer on sunday.	7/26/2018 11:59 AM
4	There was a lot of information sent out over email to attendees, but it's very difficult to stay caught up on e-mail. I would suggest reserving part of the meeting website for a section on structural changes (meeting changes, moving location) and infrastructure (wifi issues, helpdesk staffing times) that occur after the start of the meeting. Then the e-mail updates can be reserved for ancillary events (additional socials, etc). Alternatively, if e-mail updates to all attendees were also presented as blog posts on the site so I could "catch up" for the day, that would be fine too.	7/26/2018 11:01 AM
5	Great experience!	7/26/2018 10:46 AM

### Q19 Did you apply for a visa to attend this meeting?


Answered: 214 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	7.94%	17
No	92.06%	197
TOTAL		214

## Q20 Which of the following best describes your experience in applying for a visa:

Answered: 17 Skipped: 201




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
1. Very Easy	58.82% 10
2. Easy	11.76% 2
3. Moderate	11.76% 2
4. Difficult	11.76% 2
5. Very Difficult	5.88% 1
TOTAL	17

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE IN OBTAINING A VISA.	DATE
1	I had to courier my passport and it cost me 200 \$ in total. But as a bonus, the visa is valid for 7 years and I would not need a visa when we come back to Montreal next summer.	8/6/2018 10:48 PM
2	Because from Brazil it was easy to get one online.	7/26/2018 4:19 PM
3	Canada visas used to be very complicated, but since last year I can apply online with a small fee.	7/26/2018 3:04 PM
4	Did not get it. Vague reasons to reject by the Embassy.	7/26/2018 11:41 AM

## Q21 Did you attend IETF 101 in London, England?


Answered: 213 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	73.24%	156
No	26.76%	57
TOTAL		213

## Q22 IETF 103 will take place in Bangkok, Thailand from November 3-9. Are you planning to attend?

Answered: 214 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	72.43%	155
No	27.57%	59
TOTAL		214


#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Dependent on travel funding to participate	8/8/2018 2:52 AM
2	Not decided, most likely no.	8/7/2018 2:31 AM
3	I hope to, depends of whether I get my teaching organized	8/7/2018 12:33 AM
4	Not sure but I would like to. It depends on my organization decision/authorization.	8/6/2018 11:04 PM
5	Depends upon employer support	8/6/2018 9:57 PM
6	still unfunded	8/6/2018 3:50 PM
7	likely but not sure yet	8/6/2018 2:27 PM
8	contingent on funding	8/6/2018 2:08 PM
9	(This question should have a "don't know" alternative.) Still unclear. I take turns with a colleague. One of us will go.	8/4/2018 7:43 AM
10	not sure yet	7/30/2018 1:34 AM
11	Not in person, may attend remotely	7/28/2018 4:40 AM
12	Maybe for the last 2 days - I have a conflict at the start of the week	7/28/2018 3:59 AM
13	I'd like to, but have to find a sponsor (I'm student and can't afford it otherwise)	7/27/2018 5:26 AM
14	may be	7/27/2018 4:08 AM
15	Maybe remotely	7/27/2018 3:11 AM
16	Although I really don't think the waste of time Friday is helpful, particularly to those of us that need to be there until Sunday for an IEEE joint meeting	7/27/2018 1:08 AM
17	Have not decided yet	7/26/2018 7:12 PM
18	Maybe. Cost is a factor - especially to one this far away.	7/26/2018 4:25 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

19	Not sure yet, it will depend on my work schedule	7/26/2018 3:36 PM
20	I plan to attend but I am worried that many people will not attend, so it's hard to plan sessions.	7/26/2018 3:13 PM
21	Too far away from southamerica.	7/26/2018 3:05 PM
22	Not in person, probably online	7/26/2018 2:54 PM
23	Remotely	7/26/2018 1:28 PM
24	Not sure	7/26/2018 1:09 PM
25	Not sure yet. Just put in for the travel budget.	7/26/2018 12:22 PM
26	But would rather not have to go	7/26/2018 11:20 AM
27	I think Thailand is politically scary and imposes great risk to personal safety.	7/26/2018 11:08 AM
28	Why isn't this a "Yes/No/Unsure" question? Whether I want to attend and whether my company will send me are two different things.	7/26/2018 11:01 AM

## Q23 What is your reason for not planning to attend?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 165



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Lack of interest	3.77%	2
Remote participation will be sufficient to meet needs	43.40%	23
Travel time is too long	52.83%	28
Total cost is too high	50.94%	27
Registration cost is too high	3.77%	2
Travel cost is too high	39.62%	21
Hotel cost is too high	5.66%	3
Total Respondents: 53		

## Q24 In our IETF 103 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 179

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	How is the facility for Hallway casual discussion?	8/8/2018 9:43 AM
2	no suggestions	8/8/2018 5:50 AM
3	Preferred future locations	8/8/2018 1:47 AM
4	about cookies and beverage in coffee breaks	8/7/2018 8:31 AM
5	Drill down into issues having to do with remote participation. Virtual meetings are the future, like it or not.	8/7/2018 5:36 AM
6	Ask about the agenda experiment and ask for comments about how to improve it/other variations to try.	8/7/2018 2:36 AM
7	Should the IETF meet 4 times a year ?	8/6/2018 4:33 PM
8	Where you forced to transit through a country (e.g. China or US), which required VISA issues just for *transiting*? Did you go to IEEE meeting? Did the co-location affect your decision to attend IETF, or IEEE?	8/6/2018 3:51 PM
9	Ask a separate question for travel to the location in addition to opinion of the location itself.	8/6/2018 3:47 PM
10	-Provide an "other" category always just in case. -Provide a "fast facts" or "cultural awareness issues" (ISOC can help) for participants -Bangkok is very dynamic and participants should also be briefed on how to stay safe in Bangkok...do's and don't's of a sort	8/6/2018 3:19 PM
11	The Jon Posted Awards are a significant part of our current IETF meetings and should be recapped in the Asia and Europe meetings for those not able to attend North America.	8/6/2018 2:34 PM
12	"Was this meeting more expensive/less expensive/about the same as other IETF venues?" We need to be sensitive to cost issues so more input might be good, even though this would be somewhat subjective as part of the meeting survey.	8/6/2018 2:10 PM
13	Travel times.	8/6/2018 1:25 PM
14	-	8/4/2018 7:44 AM
15	Were you satisfied with displayed IETF meeting information on: floor plan, agenda, terminal room	7/30/2018 3:44 AM
16	Quality of the remote participation tools.	7/29/2018 10:32 PM
17	app quality	7/29/2018 7:15 PM
18	n/a	7/28/2018 10:13 PM
19	In the "what reason are you planning not to attend" there is no "I have a conflict that I can't skip" as a reason to not attend a meeting. I'm sure I'm not the only person who has other things in their life than IETF!	7/28/2018 4:00 AM
20	Did we do a good job of scheduling related WG sessions to not conflict with each other?	7/27/2018 1:26 PM
21	If the goal is to equalize travel burden/expense among attendees, it might be useful to gather statistics about the relative expense of meeting fees, travel/hotel/food costs, visa, etc. based on home countries. This would either confirm that the burden is being shared fairly or provide initial data that sliding scale meeting fees might be appropriate to help with the equalization.	7/27/2018 10:38 AM
22	How easy / difficult was it to access restricted diet foods. In Montréal and London it is easy to access food catering to a range of different dietary requirements. Bangkok, I have been told, has limited provision of foods of this kind.	7/27/2018 8:52 AM
23	can't think of any	7/27/2018 8:18 AM
24	How was the audio quality in the session room and/or on meetecho?	7/27/2018 4:10 AM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

25	Was Friday useful or a waste of time?	7/27/2018 1:08 AM
26	Ask feedback about other potential locations being currently considered to host future IETF meetings.	7/26/2018 11:56 PM
27	- How costly was the IETF meeting for you? Were you sponsored? By whom (government, non-for-profit, private company, university)? (This gives an idea of accessibility to the venue, that's very important for geographic diversity metrics)	7/26/2018 4:27 PM
28	Was there enough restaurants within walking distance of the venue? (yes in this case)	7/26/2018 3:25 PM
29	You should ask more specific questions about the venue, e.g. size of rooms, availability of extra rooms, acoustics and air conditioning, lunch options. All of them were excellent in this case.	7/26/2018 3:15 PM
30	Ask about the blank Friday schedule, of course. We just concluded the value of hackathon *before* the meeting is much greater than afterward (on the hackathon list). Seems to predict the ratings in time to return to normal Friday for Bangkok, but I imagine the experiment will run anyway.	7/26/2018 2:24 PM
31	Ask about the agenda experiment (which is a mistake, BTW)	7/26/2018 2:05 PM
32	How the traffic impeded social interaction.	7/26/2018 1:28 PM
33	Whether there is value in having meetings in areas that are harder to travel to and seem like boondoggles	7/26/2018 1:13 PM
34	Yes you can ask about the time slot available for a presenter, whether the chair allowed time slot were you comfortably present the contents to the house or you think more time should be given?	7/26/2018 1:12 PM
35	A space for freeform comments about the venue and/or city that are not directly related to the overall rating might be useful; I had some comments that I was planning to put in such a place but ended up putting in the "venue-rating" box for lack of a better place.	7/26/2018 12:46 PM
36	Would you rather fight 100 duck-sized horses, or one horse-sized duck? But also, would you rather that Friday were just not an IETF day, or that it were a full day, that it were an informal meetings day, or to keep it the way it's been in the past?	7/26/2018 11:38 AM
37	What can IETF and WG Chairs do to encourage more individuals to participate with substantive feedback.	7/26/2018 11:13 AM
38	Is having no sessions on Friday a good thing? Should more meeting activities be scheduled on Sunday?	7/26/2018 11:02 AM
39	Are you able to google to avoid spamming the ietf103 list with silly questions about Bangkok?	7/26/2018 10:52 AM

## Q25 We are continuously working to improve the IETF meeting experience. Please use the box below to make any general suggestions for improvements to the IETF meeting experience.

Answered: 42 Skipped: 176

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	When breakfast is served as part of the meeting like in Montreal, it should be made sure that all participants are able to have breakfast. Too often, like in Montreal, many of the breakfast items run out in a few minutes. In Fairmont, when asking more, the hotel staff informed that it was all of that sort (egg, fruits, etc).	8/10/2018 8:31 AM
2	It is increasingly difficult to find funding to attend - that could be easier if one were allowed to pay for multiple meetings in advance, since budgets come and go and the availability of travel funds at the time of the meeting is always concern.	8/8/2018 2:54 AM
3	Earlier technical plenaries were very good. However the other parts are not that interesting. Please arrange technical topics on the plenaries.	8/8/2018 1:47 AM
4	good idea to recycle badge holders and lanyards	8/7/2018 8:31 AM
5	Minneapolis!	8/7/2018 5:51 AM
6	Btw, the social was great!	8/7/2018 4:21 AM
7	A room setup in a square instead of classroom style. (Did I just un-anonymize my survey by saying that?)	8/6/2018 3:47 PM
8	-Session on "RFC" drafting for newbies?	8/6/2018 3:19 PM
9	There were some trivial but time consuming glitches in the network deployment for the Hackathon, that shouldn't have happened. This could have been done a lot better.	8/6/2018 2:34 PM
10	Please find bigger and cheaper hotels so everybody can book a room in the venue.	8/6/2018 1:29 AM
11	-	8/4/2018 7:44 AM
12	meeting rooms with daylight would be great	8/1/2018 1:11 PM
13	As usual, great organization ans welcoming, thanks Would be good to better advertise availability of agendas on demand, recycling of badge holder (if applicable)	7/30/2018 3:44 AM
14	I think the hackaton needs more promotion, heard a lot of good things about it, wasn't really aware of the what's and hows.	7/29/2018 7:15 PM
15	n/a	7/28/2018 10:13 PM
16	More vegetarian food options.	7/27/2018 1:26 PM
17	Providing better information about access to food in the local area.	7/27/2018 8:52 AM
18	it was a good meeting.	7/27/2018 8:18 AM
19	Meeting hotel needs the socializing/lounge area to include ability to buy food and drinks (coffee/beer), otherwise people don't congregate there as much. Having a zone marked "lounge" but not really being a lounge didn't work well.	7/27/2018 6:14 AM
20	I know from other meetings, you guys are doing great!	7/27/2018 4:10 AM
21	The break food was marginally better than usual. I still would encourage to get away from stupid (and way too big and not very tasty) cookies to focus more on healthy break food options. Real orange juice was a plus! Most of the time, the coffee quality was abysmal! This is unacceptable.	7/27/2018 2:49 AM
22	I know you won't, but... PLEASE finalise the agenda earlier for those of us who have families at home and need to limit their travel.	7/27/2018 1:05 AM
23	The 'IETF Lounge' was super useful for informal meetings, keep it	7/26/2018 11:52 PM

## IETF 102 Meeting Survey

24	Please avoid holiday season/period in the region where the venue is located. Makes everything more expensive (flights, hotels)	7/26/2018 8:41 PM
25	do not only focus on RFC and draft. Maximize to encourage idea and demo of proof-of-concept.	7/26/2018 4:55 PM
26	Montreal was really fantastic. I can't think of a way to make it better.	7/26/2018 3:25 PM
27	I can't wait to find-out how many people thought the MIA host speaker was "Extremely Useful"	7/26/2018 2:24 PM
28	This is incredibly dumb, but I had registered to attend in person, and then canceled (and I haven't checked, but I was told I'd get a 90-percent refund), and it turned out that I needed to register again, in order to use Meetecho, and of course you don't figure that out until you're two minutes away from a session starting and your previous registration number doesn't work. It may be worth pointing that out when people cancel their in-person registrations, because I'd bet at least some of those people then attend remotely. Or maybe everyone else is smarter than I am? :-)	7/26/2018 2:19 PM
29	Bring back ice cream and/or candy Thursdays!	7/26/2018 1:38 PM
30	This meeting worked so amazingly well, I have a hard time to come up with suggestions. Except for the chrome books :-)	7/26/2018 1:28 PM
31	Visiting exotic locations has little value. We should pick 6-10 cities that have worked well in the past and cycle through those. We're do that a bit by returning to Prague and Vancouver fairly frequently so let's just pick a couple places in Asia and one or two more in NA and Europe. Maybe visit one new location every 3 years to have some backups	7/26/2018 1:13 PM
32	Having the newcomers' meet-and-greet in the same room as the welcome reception is working pretty well for giving us space and reducing the noise level to the extent possible. Hopefully other venues can accommodate this request.	7/26/2018 12:46 PM
33	Would've been great to have known more about the ANRW before the event. Would definitely like to attend in the future.	7/26/2018 12:24 PM
34	Better use available time and space. It is so disappointing to see canceled sessions while others don't get enough time to do their work. For a WG that cancels its meeting I suggest to make it much harder to get a slot the next time.	7/26/2018 11:46 AM
35	Neither veggies nor cookies are very satisfying snacks. How about some cheese and crackers? This is not a major issue, but it wouldn't be right to fill out a survey and not complain at least a little bit about the cookies. :) The drinks this time were good—it was great that there was water available all over the place.	7/26/2018 11:38 AM
36	It's already perfect. AMS does a great job!!!!	7/26/2018 11:28 AM
37	ADs and WG Chairs need to be better trained on how to deal with controversial topics. They also need to be better trained on how to ensure that enough participation has taken place. I would also like to see them frame the counter points of an argument. We need to make sure that everyone has a complete understanding of the pros and cons to a proposal. Sometimes I feel like ADs and WGs have an agenda and are only interested in proposals that meet their agenda.	7/26/2018 11:13 AM
38	Please have a poster with info about the different WiFi networks displayed at the registration table. It's probably not environmentally friendly to have copies for each attendee, but this information really needs to be in "dead tree" format to avoid bootstrap problems, particularly for people who are trying to be economical about cellular data usage.	7/26/2018 11:04 AM
39	Try to remind WG chairs and meeting participants (presenters and mic line speakers) that there are remote participants. Thank you for Meetecho.	7/26/2018 11:01 AM
40	the session splits were crazy making mangling friday (in bkk) is nuts	7/26/2018 10:57 AM
41	I thought acoustics and sound system in IETF 102 were much better than in previous meetings	7/26/2018 10:57 AM
42	If the hotel has electronic noticeboards outside the meeting rooms, make them show which WG session is taking place inside.	7/26/2018 10:43 AM