

Q1 How did you participate in IETF 99?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
On site in Prague	96.37%	239
Both on site and remotely	3.63%	9
TOTAL		248

Q2 In what region do you live?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Africa	0.80%	2
Asia	7.20%	18
Australia/New Zealand/Oceania	2.00%	5
Europe	41.60%	104
Latin America (Mexico, CentralAmerica, South America, Caribbean)	4.80%	12
Middle East	2.00%	5
US and Canada	41.60%	104
TOTAL		250

Q3 Which of the following applies to you:




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Working group chair	28.89%	65
Author of a published RFC	54.67%	123
Author of an active internet-draft	66.22%	149
Have posted messages to an IETF mailing list	87.56%	197
Total Respondents: 225		

Q4 How useful to you were the following aspects of IETF 99?



	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	NOT APPLICABLE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Formal technical discussions (e.g. working groups)	47.18% 117	47.98% 119	2.42% 6	0.81%	1.61% 4	248	1.62
Informal technical discussions (e.g. casual hallway conversations)	72.58% 180	26.21% 65	0.81% 2	0.00%	0.40% 1	248	1.29
The opportunity to present new ideas and/or suggest new ideas and technology	38.06% 94	39.27% 97	6.48% 16	0.40% 1	15.79% 39	247	2.17
Social Interactions	52.42% 130	42.74% 106	2.02% 5	0.40%	2.42%	248	1.58

Q5 How would you rate the location? (Prague, Czech Republic)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	66.53%	165
Very good	25.00%	62
Good	7.26%	18
Fair	1.21%	3
Poor	0.00%	0
TOTAL		248

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT THE LOCATION THAT PROMPTED YOUR RESPONSE.	DATE
1	The hotel was warm, and the in-hotel food service not as good as I recalled, but I was staying elsewhere already because I need my own kitchen, so this was just about lunch.	8/16/2017 10:41 AM
2	It's affordable and relatively easy to get to from Australia!	8/16/2017 4:22 AM
3	Easy to reach. Lots of choices for hotels and food and good prices.	8/16/2017 1:22 AM
4	located rather central, meeting rooms had good acoustics (air condition was set to too cold, but ok), food was easily available	8/15/2017 2:48 PM
5	This might totally non-technical but the Prague Social Events. Wow. Please find sponsors for an ietf 100 social event?	8/15/2017 2:47 PM
6	accomodation and food is afordable (outside the Hilton), easy/economical flight to european cities	8/14/2017 12:39 AM
7	Quite city, middle size, good weather.	8/9/2017 12:02 PM
8	Nice and affordable city.	8/7/2017 2:10 AM
9	Getting around by foot was easy and the number of restaurants within reach was plentiful. Prices for food and amenities were reasonable (relative to developed nation prices).	8/2/2017 9:11 PM
10	Excellent in spring and fall, not as excellent in summer due to crowds and heat	8/2/2017 10:49 AM

11	Travel to Prague is "OK". Prices are low. It is the new Minneapolis.	8/2/2017 5:26 AM
12	Prague is one of my favorite locations	8/2/2017 3:19 AM
13	Good and affordable travel and accomodation.	8/2/2017 1:18 AM
14	Good venue, easy walking city, good food	8/1/2017 12:07 PM
15	Prague is cheap, relatively accessible from home (US), readily walkable (at least in summer!), and welcoming.	8/1/2017 10:15 AM
16	A great location. It is good the IETF is returning.	8/1/2017 9:40 AM
17	Still depressingly bad food	8/1/2017 9:33 AM
18	I'm located in NYC. Prague did not have as many direct flight options from NYC as a location like Berlin, Chicago, Seoul, etc.	8/1/2017 8:16 AM
19	Airport was easy to get to/from, lots of reasonably-priced food, lots of hotels, friendly people	8/1/2017 3:24 AM
20	near city center, cheap, nice weather in summer, lots of hotel nearby with wide range of prices	8/1/2017 12:41 AM
21	Great and cheap local food and beer, venue easy to get to.	7/31/2017 11:26 PM
22	Not as many food options near by, you really needed to walk in to the old town to find most of the food options.	7/31/2017 8:09 PM
23	The location is far from restaurants and overall the restaurants are all the same. The hotel is also far from the Airport. There are much better locations in other parts of Europe.	7/31/2017 5:59 PM
24	I hate Prague. Do we have to be here every 2 years? Seriously? Let's do Italy or France for a couple of meetings at this cadence and then revisit Prague. Thanks!	7/31/2017 2:18 PM
25	The hotel is great, it's relatively cheap to be and get to Prague, and the weather was nice!	7/31/2017 1:15 PM
26	It's not hard to get to, the location is charming, and the facility suffices for getting work done.	7/31/2017 1:11 PM
27	Easy to get to from where I live, and relatively cheap.	7/31/2017 12:38 PM
28	I have been to Prague many times and it never disappoints. It is easy to get around, hotels are great, Hilton is great as a venue (sound was better this meeting than IETF 93).	7/31/2017 11:56 AM
29	Reasonable prices, good venue	7/31/2017 11:53 AM
30	Ideal location, other than travel time.	7/31/2017 11:42 AM
31	Positives: Inexpensive food, easy to get around. Negatives: Not a major hub for any airline.	7/31/2017 11:11 AM
32	Easy access both by public transport and taxi. Well connected to major European destinations.	7/31/2017 11:01 AM
33	Easy to reach, and a wonderful city.	7/31/2017 10:46 AM
34	Great place. Nice hospitality.	7/31/2017 10:41 AM
35	The Hilton was very expensive and not a particularly good value. The location was also suboptimal for proximity to alternate food and drink options.	7/31/2017 10:34 AM
36	Fantastic location.	7/31/2017 10:27 AM
37	Wonderful city - transit pass included with registration was excellent.	7/31/2017 10:21 AM
38	A little expensive, not as much walking distance places to reach within 5 minutes for quick bite	7/31/2017 10:10 AM
39	Location was very nice, concerns about muggings, etc., less so.	7/31/2017 10:06 AM
40	Excellent city.	7/31/2017 10:05 AM
41	Flights to Prague generally require a hop through another European city. A more central location would be nicer.	7/31/2017 10:02 AM
42	Travel was pretty easy. I worry a little bit about tourism being an IETF draw	7/31/2017 9:59 AM
43	Prague is cheap (accommodation and subsistence) and the meeting venue is well above average.	7/31/2017 9:56 AM
44	Public transit and costs were good. As usual, there was a trade off with slightly more safety concerns.	7/31/2017 9:43 AM

46	Prague as a venue is priceless.	7/31/2017 9:28 AM
47	- relatively inexpensive - a good hub to get to other Europe cities - beer is good - would have been nicer if there are direct flights from US.	7/31/2017 9:27 AM
48	Cheap beer, fun bars	7/31/2017 9:25 AM
49	Nice town, plenty of hotel options, reasonably priced.	7/31/2017 9:25 AM
50	The social was amazing.	7/31/2017 9:21 AM

Q6 How would you rate the venue? (Hilton Prague)




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Excellent	37.75%	94
Very good	41.37%	103
Good	16.87%	42
Fair	2.81%	7
Poor	1.20%	3
TOTAL		249

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT THE VENUE THAT PROMPTED YOUR RESPONSE	DATE
1	Wish the main hotel was cheaper, but I'll always say that.	8/16/2017 10:41 AM
2	Meeting rooms split over multiple floors was confusing. I got lost several times	8/16/2017 2:37 AM
3	Good meeting facilities and good location.	8/16/2017 1:22 AM
4	Excellent IETF Lounge / Hacksthon location. Although - almost to smamm.	8/15/2017 2:47 PM
5	The Karlin rooms were usually too hot. I appreciated the discounted-price buffet lunch.	8/14/2017 7:22 AM
6	meeting rooms are fine. Guest rooms and food too expensive for me.	8/14/2017 12:39 AM
7	A little overpriced, some of the smaller rooms on the Lobby Level where sub-optimal.	8/7/2017 2:10 AM
8	Permanent access to fresh drinking water in the coffee break spaces would have been great, given the heat.	8/3/2017 7:16 AM
9	I found the IETF wifi signal to be spotty throughout much of the hotel's atrium. I was using an early 2015 Macbook Pro running macOS Sierra, if it helps.	8/2/2017 12:05 PM

10	Erm, the block sold out (again) before I booked. Compared to other good quality hotels around, the Hilton is exorbitant (even if cheap by US standards). A ouple of the meeting rooms are odd shapes, include pillar, and don't really work. While it is great that there are cheap and easy places to eat and drink nearby, I think it is sad when the hotel bar is a complete failure because the random associations made in the IETF bar are important. (Oh, and the hotel's "all you can eat" buffet lunch was a huge fraud!)	8/2/2017 5:26 AM
11	Lacked tables for laptops in most of the meeting rooms. Other than that it was very nice (e.g., reasonable air con)	8/2/2017 4:00 AM
12	Congestion control of elevators were not good (especially rush hours). Stairs were able to use from upper floor to lobby, but reverse direction was not.	8/2/2017 12:58 AM
13	outdated accommodation, meeting rooms were no problems	8/1/2017 6:47 PM
14	Workable, neither especially good nor problematic	8/1/2017 12:07 PM
15	I found the venue to be nice, convenient, and would return if another IETF meeting is hosted there.	8/1/2017 9:40 AM
16	Meetings rooms OK. Hotel rooms OK. Location of the hotel acceptable. It's good that there are many alternative hotels close by.	8/1/2017 8:13 AM
17	disperse rooms	8/1/2017 7:16 AM
18	For me the venue is unnecessairly expensive. It seems to me that halving its price would do the same service.	8/1/2017 6:04 AM
19	Great hotel, a bit spread out meeting rooms though and a bit off the beaten track (which is probably a plus). Did not stay in the Hilton this time. I was organizing a colocated event and the Hilton staff did extremely well (with one glitch exception). One complaint on the meeting: too much of sugary soft drinks and way too little water. This should be fixed during the week when observed (or is Coca Cola a secret sponsor?)	7/31/2017 11:59 PM
20	A bit tawdry. And almost all meeting rooms and social areas lacked natural light. There is only so long one can tolerate a fluorescent cave.	7/31/2017 11:34 PM
21	Cheap hotel, great meeting room layout, lots of space to have breakout discussions.	7/31/2017 11:26 PM
22	Very good hotel except for the laundry price	7/31/2017 10:04 PM
23	The 24 hour cafe was nice. There's nothing around the venue though without walking at least a mile.	7/31/2017 5:59 PM
24	The ietf-hotel SSID was very poor quality. It would be better to extend the ietf SSID to the common areas	7/31/2017 2:50 PM
25	This is the first meeting in 3 at this location where I was able to perform my day job in my room during the event. The wifi worked. I still saw that others, even in 2017, still had a problem. It would be nice to resolve those issues with the venue or force better wifi. And the restaurant menus are still the same. Cmon. I realize this isn't an IETF problem, but you're asking how I rate the venue, so there you go.	7/31/2017 2:18 PM
26	I love the exec lounge ;)	7/31/2017 1:15 PM
27	Mostly problem free, although the layout slows things down - cookies and drinks at the bottom of the place, stairwells that require longs walks to use them, etc.	7/31/2017 1:11 PM
28	Good sized conference rooms and common area (for coffee breaks), plenty of space for informal meetings	7/31/2017 12:38 PM
29	Its large enough to absorb the ISOC block booking for the coterie of hangers on, and still have some rooms left over for the actual IETF working attendee.	7/31/2017 11:58 AM
	everything was perfect	7/31/2017 11:53 AM
30		
31	Expensive	7/31/2017 11:46 AM
	Expensive Not enough rooms were reserved; I had to stay at a different hotel	7/31/2017 11:46 AM 7/31/2017 11:35 AM

34	Good customer service, room on the first floor was spacious and provided excellent connectivity, hotel amenities were well maintained, breakfast was excellent, and quick access to good nearby restaurants meant that lunch was not restricted to just hotel eateries.	7/31/2017 11:01 AM
35	Session rooms are reasonable. Enough space for casual meetings and solo work.	7/31/2017 10:46 AM
36	Shabby room and over-priced food. Friendly people.	7/31/2017 10:34 AM
37	Almost everything was great except that the hotel cancellation policy was a hardship.	7/31/2017 10:32 AM
38	Really like this venue.	7/31/2017 10:27 AM
39	Great location, had all the space we need, but a bit spread out.	7/31/2017 10:21 AM
40	Did not stay there due to high price	7/31/2017 10:10 AM
41	Not the best hotel, but it mostly worked for the meeting (other than the odd room on the Mez. floor). I realize it's the only hotel in Prague that could house us.	7/31/2017 10:05 AM
42	Meeting rooms were good. Network was flaky	7/31/2017 9:59 AM
43	Was hard to see projector in smaller rooms. Otherwise very good.	7/31/2017 9:56 AM
44	Air quality in the lower level of the venue was very, very poor - very musty/moldy smell in those rooms. Room layouts were also not great - room setup was either too wide or too long. Also, lighting made it difficult to see projector except for 1st few rows. And, some projectors were setup such that they were directly level with the chairs which resulted in very bright lights in their field of sight.	7/31/2017 9:47 AM
45	Good value for money; excellent fitness facilities. Lunch choices were a little disappointing; location wasn't stellar (but wasn't bad, either). Only major complaint: Karlin 1/2 was quite difficult to use. The pillar in the middle meant that about half the room couldn't see the speaker and/or chair.	7/31/2017 9:43 AM
46	Smaller meeting rooms w/o any desks and weird shaping is difficult.	7/31/2017 9:40 AM
47	The main negative is that the hotel is kind of sprawling, and there aren't enough elevators, but they lock the stairwell during the conference. Otherwise it's a great venue, but it would be nice if they could just put key card locks on the stairwell entrances so that registered guests could use them.	7/31/2017 9:38 AM
48	The Hilton is a good place to get work done; little is getting in the way. Also, I didn't go home with a cold like I regularly do in US hotels. Good restaurants around, supermarket next door. Great hotels in the vicinity for those who don't want to pay Hilton prices.	7/31/2017 9:28 AM
49	- easy to find seating area outside meeting rooms - dedicated hackathon room very useful - slightly confusing to have three levels of meeting rooms	7/31/2017 9:27 AM
50	Everything worked well for me (did not stay at the hotel, hence can only comment on the meeting spaces)	7/31/2017 9:25 AM
51	it is incredible a hotel like this does not offered free water at the room	7/31/2017 9:24 AM
52	Don't like the long thin rooms.	7/31/2017 9:23 AM
53	Wireless coverage was not up to the typical standard for let meetings.	7/31/2017 9:19 AM

Q7 If you attended the following events, how useful did you find them?




	EXTREMELY USEFUL	SOMEWHAT USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Bits-N-Bites	24.37% 58	39.08% 93	6.30% 15	30.25% 72	238	2.73
Thursday Host Speaker Series	5.19% 12	13.85% 32	5.19% 12	75.76% 175	231	4.27
IETF Hackathon	22.84% 53	13.79% 32	0.43% 1	62.93% 146	232	3.66
Sunday Tutorials	11.54% 27	11.54% 27	0.85% 2	76.07% 178	234	4.18

#	SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO THESE EVENTS (PLEASE MAKE SURE TO NOTE WHICH EVENT YOUR COMMENT PERTAINS TO):	DATE
1	Thursday speaker series is always impossible to get into. And finding lunch I could not attend Hackathon due to Plugfest; which was really an extended Hackathon. I'd like to see it all done under a more coordinated umbrella.	8/16/2017 10:41 AM
2	Please do not overload the WE before with too many events. Also, organizing events such us YoT and FUD require more guidance from Sectetary in order to avoid overlap.	8/15/2017 2:47 PM
3	For the first time at my 4th IETF participation none of the Bits-n-bites presentations raised my interest. Might be related to my field of research	8/6/2017 9:11 AM
4	I like the trend towards tutorials that haven't been presented before, especially the ones like IRTF Overview and TEEP.	8/2/2017 5:22 PM
5	Please make it easier to find newcomer instructional content like slide deck guidelines.	8/2/2017 12:05 PM
6	I'd have wanted to attend the hackathon at Sunday (my Saturday was spend in the workshop), however, when trying to register to it, it was already full-booked.	8/2/2017 4:00 AM
7	I think it's time to end Bits-n-Bytes. I'd rather have the time available for informal meetings, etc.	8/1/2017 7:49 AM

8	Thursday speaker this meeting was poor. The speakers did not stand to address the audience, and the presentations did not hold the attention of the audience. Bear in mind the audience have been sat in meetings all week, some are still jet lagged, and it's lunchtime. Rev it up please.	7/31/2017 11:56 AM
9	Hackathon conflicted with some IRTF RGs. It made it impossible to be in 2 places at once. I won't mind coming in a day earlier if it meant I could participate in both	7/31/2017 11:01 AM
10	Hackathon is getting overwhelmed with people. Need to move to a much larger space.	7/31/2017 9:40 AM
11	Bits-N-Bites seems like not a very good use of that time slot.	7/31/2017 9:38 AM
12	I always look forward to new tutorial topics.	7/31/2017 9:33 AM

Q8 Did you use Meetecho to participate in any IETF 99 sessions?




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes, while participating in Prague	20.56%	51
Yes, while participating remotely	2.42%	6
No	77.02%	191
TOTAL		248

12	There was a remote presentation in my session and it went extremely well.	8/1/2017 12:11 AM
11	[First-time attendee] Meetecho seemed to work great, I'll participate via Meetecho in future if the location is too far away.	8/1/2017 3:18 AM
10	Very good. Would be better if we had both VGA and HDMI connectivity. (This time, we only had VGA in my meetings.)	8/1/2017 8:13 AM
9	Worked great in chrome. Not so well in Firefox	8/1/2017 10:15 AM
8	They continue to be awesome	8/1/2017 11:18 AM
7	When it works, it works very well. When there is packet loss, it sucks! I suspect that the "pre-test" phase is not explained well enough such that remote participants don't understand that they need to test from exactly the environment they will use when they participate.	8/2/2017 5:26 AM
6	there were regular disruptions to the streaming service. Ideally onsite participants could log into a no-audio feed service. I'm aware that it can be disabled once logged in.	8/2/2017 9:11 PM
5	Live-mode extremely helpful when switching between parallel (conflicting) sessions, recordings highly appreciated for listening to missed WG meetings.	8/6/2017 9:11 AM
4	Meetecho rocks!	8/9/2017 1:02 AM
3	The reliability and resilince of meetecho remains to be an issue	8/15/2017 2:47 PM
2	I initially did face some problems with the voice and video streaming. But it later went on fine.	8/15/2017 6:40 PM
1	I watched two sessions that I had conflicts on youtube. I could watch them at 2x speed, which is actually really useful.	8/16/2017 10:41 AM
‡	PLEASE SHARE ANY COMMENTS ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE USING MEETECHO FOR IETF 98.	DATE


Quality of Video and Audio was excellent. Thanks to Meetecho team. Any tips to participate with iPAD? (I used android phone)	7/31/2017 10:04 PM
Meetecho guys are very responsive. There were issues with audio in Monday morning meeting while I was jabber scribe, and they responded well.	7/31/2017 11:56 AM
I used Meetecho recordings after the meeting was over to prepare minutes and to review some WG sessions I was not able to attend.	7/31/2017 11:06 AM
Observing attendees participating remotely in IETF 99 with meetecho, it was clear to see that it is an excellent solution. Did not participate in IETF 98. But meetecho worked well for IETF 97 when I gave a WG presentation remotely	7/31/2017 11:01 AM
I guess you meant IETF 99 (typo)	7/31/2017 10:32 AM
when dialing in from hotel or even during meeting in IETF, I had very low bandwidth - 12 Kbps (yes 12 not a typo). Also I dont like to use my registration id to join in as an observer.	7/31/2017 9:33 AM
Lorenzo was attentive and awesome!	7/31/2017 9:31 AM
not possible for me to register on meetcho using the same email address i used for on-site registration (says it already exist)	7/31/2017 9:25 AM
meetecho is useful for reading the slides when you are in the back of the room. But it might be nice to have bigger projection screens:)	7/31/2017 9:19 AM
	iPAD? (I used android phone) Meetecho guys are very responsive. There were issues with audio in Monday morning meeting while I was jabber scribe, and they responded well. I used Meetecho recordings after the meeting was over to prepare minutes and to review some WG sessions I was not able to attend. Observing attendees participating remotely in IETF 99 with meetecho, it was clear to see that it is an excellent solution. Did not participate in IETF 98. But meetecho worked well for IETF 97 when I gave a WG presentation remotely I guess you meant IETF 99 (typo) when dialing in from hotel or even during meeting in IETF, I had very low bandwidth - 12 Kbps (yes 12 not a typo). Also I dont like to use my registration id to join in as an observer. Lorenzo was attentive and awesome! not possible for me to register on meetcho using the same email address i used for on-site registration (says it already exist) meetecho is useful for reading the slides when you are in the back of the room. But it might be

Q9 How many IETF meetings have you participated in?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
IETF 99 was my first meeting.	11.60%	29
5 or fewer	19.60%	49
More than 5	68.80%	172
TOTAL		250

Q10 If you participated in the following programs, how useful were they?




	EXTREMELY USEFUL	USEFUL	NOT USEFUL	NOT AT ALL USEFUL	DID NOT PARTICIPATE	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Speed Mentoring (Sunday)	3.45%	2.16%	1.29%	0.00%	93.10%		
	8	5	3	0	216	232	5.70
IETF Organized Mentoring	3.04%	4.35%	1.30%	0.00%	91.30%		
	7	10	3	0	210	230	5.63
Newcomers Dinner (Monday)	1.75%	5.24%	0.00%	0.00%	93.01%		
	4	12	0	0	213	229	5.70
Newcomers Tutorial (Sunday)	3.90%	5.19%	0.00%	0.00%	90.91%		
	9	12	0	0	210	231	5.60
Newcomers Meet & Greet	8.15%	20.60%	3.43%	0.43%	67.38%		
(Sunday)	19	48	8	1	157	233	4.66
Newcomers Feedback	2.61%	2.61%	0.43%	0.00%	94.35%		
Session (Thursday)	6	6	1	0	217	230	5.75

Q11 What other information would have been helpful to you in preparing for IETF 99?

Answered: 23 Skipped: 227


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I might have booked slightly different flights based upon transit pass validity period, had I known about it earlier, and knew when it was valid. I wouldn't mind knowing the lunch menus. I would have brought a water bottle had I known how few rooms had water, and maybe even a small table as too many rooms lacked tables.	8/16/2017 10:43 AM
2	The website and YouTube channel of IETF meeting	8/16/2017 3:16 AM
3	Better system to orchestrate ad-hoc breal-outs, side-meeting and BoFs, pls.	8/15/2017 2:48 PM
4	Any insurance must be covered by the registration.	8/9/2017 12:03 PM
5	Recommendations/guidelines/templates for presentation slide decks.	8/2/2017 12:06 PM
6	Talk with others persons who participate in previous IETF meetings to understand all that happens in this meetings because there are a lot of activities and I have to focus on my interests.	8/2/2017 6:46 AM
7	I *need* a printed agenda. Would it be possible to format the agenda suitable for single page printing so that we can print it ourselves?	8/2/2017 5:28 AM
8	Wiki was very helpful.	8/2/2017 12:59 AM
9	make it clear how to participate remotely providing a fool proof tutorial on the website	8/1/2017 7:17 AM
10	I think the paper agenda is useful and worth the paper. I see tons of other marketing material that wastes more resources.	8/1/2017 12:19 AM
11	Public transport was excellent. Sunday evening was a little cramped	8/1/2017 12:04 AM
12	All necessary information was provided	7/31/2017 11:59 PM
13	some pictures of the WG chairs	7/31/2017 11:33 PM
14	Note on question 10: It might have been some error on my site, but I did not receive any notification about the newcomers Dinner and Feedback session (and I am pretty sure I have received before).	7/31/2017 11:30 PM
15	It would be nice to have the schedule sooner so that travel arrangements can be made sooner.	7/31/2017 6:00 PM
16	IETF wiki	7/31/2017 4:22 PM
17	Knowing in advance what the Sunday tutorials were. This guides my travel arrangements when the trip is short haul (i.e. in Europe).	7/31/2017 11:57 AM
18	To take better advantage of the IETF for NewComers, I sugest to joing the workgroup leaders with the newcomers before the IETF starts.	7/31/2017 11:29 AM
19	The raw audio archive proved useful when having to catch up on overlapping WG meetings. A raw video feed or meetecho archive would have been really useful without having to wait for everything to appear in youtube.	7/31/2017 11:06 AM
20	Restaurant list. I guess there was one somewhere but I didn't find it.	7/31/2017 10:33 AM
21	As always it would be fantastic if the agenda were published much earlier in advance, so that travel and side-meetings can be optimised better	7/31/2017 10:25 AM
22	i have interest in wide area of topics - i normally see them clashing in times. Especially, RTGWG, PCE, TEAS and transport often clash against each other - not sure how conflict can be resolved.	7/31/2017 9:34 AM
23	(Since Prague is a regular venue, we tend to come well-prepared.)	7/31/2017 9:30 AM

Q12 Did you apply for a visa to attend this meeting?



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	4.88%	12
No	95.12%	234
TOTAL		246

Q13 Please rate the difficulty of your experience applying for a visa.



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
1. Very Easy	16.67%	2
2. Easy	33.33%	4
3. Moderate	33.33%	4
4. Difficult	16.67%	2
5. Very Difficult	0.00%	0
TOTAL		12

#	PLEASE PROVIDE ANY DETAILS ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE IN OBTAINING A VISA.	DATE
1	Additional documentation sought over and above the prerequisites mentioned in the application form.	7/31/2017 10:46 AM

Q14 We are continuously working to improve the IETF meeting experience. Please use the box below to make any general suggestions for improvements to the IETF meeting experience.

Answered: 48 Skipped: 202

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I continue to argue for shorter initial allocations to WG. I have no problem if 6man needs 3 (or 6!) hours, but not all of it needs to be conflict free, if some of it can be very much conflict free. I think that the IESG is going in the right direction, but I think some individual 1:1 time between some IESG proponents of more hackathon time and some WG chairs would get them onboard. T2TRG, Plugfest and Hackathon all on the weekend before. Maybe it's too much?	8/16/2017 10:46 AM
2	Bottled water (which is generally very handy) was quite hard to come by. Having the servers running in the Hackathon room (which later became the IETF lounge) made that room quite noisy, and ideally would be placed somewhere else if they are needed.	8/16/2017 12:50 AM
3	The Hotel-Wifi was the worst. Please not again.	8/15/2017 2:49 PM
4	Consider going back to MSP. It was a very serviceable venue.	8/10/2017 3:30 AM
5	Karlin I and II is a really poor meeting space. It would be better to use some of the other small rooms (lobby-level) for the smallest WG sessions, and use Karlin I & II for other activities.	8/5/2017 7:22 AM
6	As mentioned before, given the heat and lack of time to go shopping for water, jugs of fresh water and glasses, like in Berlin would be more than welcome.	8/3/2017 7:22 AM
7	as much as possible and practicable, the breakout or lounge areas should be increased. looking for a spare seat seems to be my default activity after leaving a session.	8/2/2017 9:17 PM
8	Provide wiki space for food and drink options in and around the venue.	8/2/2017 12:09 PM
9	Too many conflicting things occur the weekend before the meeting.	8/2/2017 10:50 AM
10	The IETF agenda mobile app is useful I just add it some activities like BoFs, BoF side, Pechakucha, etc. that are side activities to have a complete vision.	8/2/2017 6:51 AM
11	The "lounge" is great. So is the sign-up room. The poser tables in the Prague cookie-zone were helpful (although I think they were happenstance because the hotel couldn't be bothered to clear them away). But Please just some more chairs around and about so that we can sit and do emails and be "findable" for random meet-ups? is it possible to have more, but smaller sign-up rooms. Just places where 3 or 4 people can meet to chat about something in a quiet place?	8/2/2017 5:32 AM
12	Thanks for rescheduling IETF 102.	8/2/2017 4:18 AM
13	This time the social event format was quite suboptimal IMHO, too many small tables with opportunity to sit down without option for those passing-by to join the conversations easily due to lack of available chairs. As such, one of the most useful thing one gets from the physical presence at the meeting site, the less formal discussions that I usually participate in the social event didn't happen at all for me this time.	8/2/2017 4:01 AM
14	For non-native English speaker, it is highly appreciated people speak a little bit slower, clearer and few slangs.	8/2/2017 1:04 AM
15	Please avoid peak tourist periods in the specified locale/region, for future meeting dates, to lower down the cost of flights	8/1/2017 6:50 PM
16	I think long time IETF-ers should be doing more to socialize with new attendees otherwise you risk not getting enough new people involved over the long term.	8/1/2017 5:00 PM
17	- it would be good to have more breakout rooms to facilitate spontaneous meetings it would be good to have a few rooms for side meetings that are MeetEcho-supported by default the IETF agenda app for Android could be better I guess this is a voluntary effort at this time, but perhaps we want to invest more in that	8/1/2017 8:22 AM

18	Having more than 1 room that can be booked for discussion meetings. I tried to book the Istanbul room that was reserved for this purpose, but all the slots that I wanted were taken, so had to use the large social room for the discussion but there was a lot of background noise. So it would be useful perhaps if there was more than 1 room available for first come first served bookings.	8/1/2017 7:23 AM
19	Please make the surveys more legible!	8/1/2017 7:16 AM
20	Can't think of any right now. The IETF 99 meeting in Prague worked out great for me.	8/1/2017 6:04 AM
21	Why is the social a separate ticket from the IETF badge? Should be one and the same.	8/1/2017 5:26 AM
22	Publishing agendas earlier. Sometimes I need to reference actual agenda items in business cases to attend, an extra week would be really useful.	8/1/2017 5:00 AM
23	The bookable room is very useful. It would be great to have one or two more rooms that can be booked for side meetings	8/1/2017 12:12 AM
24	Excellent work, keep up :)	7/31/2017 11:31 PM
25	I loved the fact that we were given metro passes.	7/31/2017 8:11 PM
26	There should be lots of places to walk to close to the hotel. The VAT tax is incredibly high and should weight against locations that have this tax.	7/31/2017 6:03 PM
27	I think all now is fine.	7/31/2017 5:01 PM
28	The hysteria to ban the US outright for future meetings is sad. Please reconsider.	7/31/2017 2:19 PM
29	some working groups could use extra time for discussion.	7/31/2017 2:08 PM
30	The reservable break out session room was super useful. Please add this to all IETFs in the future.lf	7/31/2017 1:48 PM
31	Less parallel sessions, use of Friday afternoon, everybody should be allowed to indicate sessions, which should not run parallel.	7/31/2017 12:07 PM
32	Attendance is dropping - should we declare success and just stop?	7/31/2017 12:00 PM
33	The IETF is amazing! Working for a technology company that doesn't typically have the bandwidth to implement new work from the IETF, I still find the meetings immensely useful. This is primarily because the meetings are exciting. It's fun and interesting to be reminded of all the work occurring and it "re-charges" me to be involved. I'm not sure exactly how that's relevant, but I think something about helping IETF participants feel that excitement would be a general suggestion for improvement.	7/31/2017 11:45 AM
34	Reserve a larger block of rooms at the conference hotel so it's not so difficult for participants to stay there.	7/31/2017 11:15 AM
35	More advertising regarding the Systers event(s).	7/31/2017 10:52 AM
36	Lower the total cost of attendance - combination of meeting fees, hotel, airfare (cities in competitive markets).	7/31/2017 10:52 AM
37	Network was also a bit dodgy. A lot of us were seeing drifting access points and generally flaky networking.	7/31/2017 10:35 AM
38	Sometimes WiFi was spotty. Sometimes having important information on paper is better than pushing everything online. One example: the paper schedules are nice.	7/31/2017 10:35 AM
39	Earlier publishing of the Agenda would help with travel/work scheduling.	7/31/2017 10:28 AM
40	As I replied to question #11, please publish the agenda earlier	7/31/2017 10:27 AM
41	Come back to South America.	7/31/2017 10:07 AM
42	Earlier agenda posting would be great	7/31/2017 10:02 AM
43	We seem to be having fewer sessions these days. Instead of having fewer sessions, why not have fewer parallel sessions, reducing the chances of clashes? In all my 20+ IETFs I've never got to	7/31/2017 9:58 AM
	SAAG due to always a more important clash - as one example, but happens so much.	
44	SAAG due to always a more important clash - as one example, but happens so much. Prague #1. Budapest #2. Cologne # 3. Berline # 4. Hamburg #5	7/31/2017 9:51 AM

46	The lounge (for groups of 8 and less) and the reservable room already work pretty well; maybe expand towards slightly larger ad-hoc groups (but then we got Tyrolka for one).	7/31/2017 9:32 AM
47	The decision to stop having IETF meetings in the near future in US smells purely politically motived, since this decision was made without any concrete data showing the current US Administration's immigration policy has any measurable negative effects to non-US participants.	7/31/2017 9:28 AM
48	Provide more seating near registration area.	7/31/2017 9:21 AM

Q15 In our IETF 100 meeting survey, what additional questions should we ask?

Answered: 32 Skipped: 218

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	"Were you dissuaded from attending by the location" "Why". (I can afford 2/3 IETFs per year, and IETF100 is simply more expensive and most uncertain)	8/16/2017 10:46 AM
2	what shall i do in order to make greater the chances of my coutry to host an IETF meeting in the future. How can i contribute to non technical work of IETF and ISOC	8/16/2017 3:18 AM
3	1. Significant Progress for the WG work: 1.1 WG less that 3 years old 1.2 WG less that 6 years old 1.3 WG less that 10 years old 1.4 WG more that 10 years old (yes /no/ somehow)	8/16/2017 12:02 AM
4	Did you like the social event at the Raffles ;-)	8/15/2017 2:49 PM
5	Keep the survey development open until *after* the meeting, then you'll know what areas to emphasize in the questions.	8/5/2017 7:22 AM
6	"How can we streamline or filter the information disseminated in the attendees mailing list?" It's a firehose that contains nuggets of informational gold surrounded by mountains of irrelevancy.	8/2/2017 9:17 PM
7	Ask if the attendee experienced any harassment at the event, and about their perception of safety at the venue and surrounding areas.	8/2/2017 12:09 PM
8	Did you use IPv6? If not, why not?	8/2/2017 5:32 AM
9	Please ask if people would find more time and space for informal short-notice meetings valuable.	8/1/2017 7:50 AM
10	None that I can think of.	8/1/2017 6:04 AM
11	Ask if the participant is an equipment vendor or a network operator or an academic. Would be interesting to know and to understand trends.	8/1/2017 5:26 AM
12	Name two things which were great and two which hurt.	7/31/2017 11:36 PM
13	Consider running a separate survey on experiences of the NAT64 network, for those who tried it.	7/31/2017 11:27 PM
14	More details in regards to visa processes - I didn't have to apply for a visa for this IETF, only because my Schengen visa from the Berlin IETF the previous year was thankfully still valid. I had asked for and was granted a multi-entry multi-year visa at that time. It may be useful to dive into visa details a lot more generally.	7/31/2017 10:33 PM
15	What would it take to get you to be more involved? Did you find the sessions and chairs to be inviting to new ideas and your comments?	7/31/2017 8:11 PM
16	Do you think the individual cost is too much? Would you come if your company wasn't paying for it? What is the size of your organization?	7/31/2017 6:03 PM
17	Where do you want to go? (location)	7/31/2017 2:19 PM
18	list potential future meeting locations and if people are likely to attend	7/31/2017 2:08 PM
19	Is traveling to Asia for meetings difficult for you from Europe or North America? Is it hard to get approval in your company. Is the issue distance? Time? Cost? Would it be help if the Asia meeting was the spring meeting?	7/31/2017 1:48 PM
20	Count of days in prior the presentation material should be made available.	7/31/2017 12:07 PM
21	Would it make sense to reduce the number of meetings per year to 2? (And favour half day interim meetings)	7/31/2017 12:03 PM
22	"Would you like to return to this venue again"	7/31/2017 11:10 AM
23	What would it take for IETF to be useful and relevant again?	7/31/2017 10:52 AM
24	About availability/need of rooms/space for design teams and small meetings.	7/31/2017 10:49 AM

25	Whether people had trouble with Singapore's seemingly draconian laws and border controls.	7/31/2017 10:35 AM
26	I have a feeling you won't ask this, but how about: Would you like the agenda to published when registration opens?	7/31/2017 10:27 AM
27	If the size of the terminal room is big enough	7/31/2017 10:07 AM
28	Should the IETF return to this venue?	7/31/2017 10:06 AM
29	Was cancelling San Francisco a mistake? (Yes)	7/31/2017 9:58 AM
30	Do you need 4 meetings a year ?	7/31/2017 9:51 AM
31	What helped you getting work done, and what got in the way?	7/31/2017 9:32 AM
32	Reasons for NOT attending in person (cost, travel time etc).	7/31/2017 9:21 AM