

# IETF Venue Assessment Report: Brisbane, Australia

This is a recommendation made by the IETF Executive Director with respect to the appropriateness of Brisbane as a viable location for an IETF meeting, based on the assessment made by the IETF Secretariat of mandatory and important criteria taken from the requirements documented in RFC 8718<sup>1</sup>.

#### Recommendation

This is a preliminary recommendation made on 1 June 2021 prior to community feedback.

Based on the assessment below of the conditions for hosting an IETF meeting in Brisbane the recommendation is that Brisbane be approved on a qualified basis (re-visit Travel Advisory Level, Criterion 4.1) as a potential location for an IETF meeting.

## **Classification system**

This assessment uses the following classification system for each criterion:

Assessment	Indicator	Methodology
Met		Each test is applied on a <u>country</u> , <u>city</u> or <u>venue</u> basis. For some, local level exceptions may be considered. Some tests use an
Uncertain but possible		external source with no IETF LLC judgement. Others require the

1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8718



Not met	
Unable to assess / not assessed	

IETF LLC to assess multiple sources and make a judgement, as does community feedback. A venue must meet all mandatory criteria to be approved.

### **Assessment of individual criteria**

**CRITERION**: City includes one or more venues able to accommodate an IETF meeting (mandatory<sup>2</sup>).

#	Test	Assessment	Indicator
1.1	<u>Venue</u> : Possible venues evaluated for size and general location acceptable to hold an IETF meeting	BCEC – Brisbane Convention and Exhibition Centre	

**CRITERION**: The level of Internet freedom is acceptable (mandatory).

#	Test	Assessment	Indicator
2.1	Country: VPNs can be freely used	The free use of VPNs is <b>acceptable.</b>	
	Region/City exceptions may override	https://www.comparitech.com/vpn/where-are-vpns-legal-banned/	

**CRITERION**: An overwhelming majority of participants who wish to do so can attend (*important*<sup>3</sup>).

#	Test	Assessment	Indicator	
---	------	------------	-----------	--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Corresponds to RFC 8718, section 3.1 Mandatory Criteria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Corresponds to RFC 8718, section 3.2.1 important Criteria



3.1		Australia without a visa or through a standard	
	without excessive effort or cost	application process at reasonable cost.	

**CRITERION**: Travel risks associated with holding an IETF meeting are acceptable (important).

#	Test	Assessment	Indicator
4.1	Country: US State Dept travel advisory <sup>4</sup> is at level 1 (Exercise Normal Precautions) or level 2 (Exercise Increased Caution) and not at level 3 (Reconsider Travel) or level 4 (Do Not Travel)	US State Dept travel advisory is at level <b>3, as of 21 April 2021,</b> which is <b>not acceptable</b> .	
	Region/City exceptions may override	https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/traveladvisories/traveladvisories/australia-travel-advisory.html	

**CRITERION**: Health risks associated with holding an IETF meeting are acceptable (*important*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://travel.state.gov/content/travel/en/international-travel/International-Travel-Country-Information-Pages.html



#	Test	Assessment	Indicator
5.1	Country: No local disease outbreak or epidemic or regular vaccination requirements <sup>5</sup> for serious diseases other than those we expect all attendees to be vaccinated against (Currently: Chickenpox, Diphtheria, Hepatitis A, Measles, Mumps, Pertussis, Polio, Rabies, Rubella, Tetanus and Typhoid)	No local disease outbreaks or epidemics (other than COVID-19) since 2003. Standard vaccination requirements.	
	Region/City exceptions may override	https://www.who.int/csr/don/archive/country/aus/en/	
5.2	<u>City</u> : Air Quality Index <sup>7</sup> in March, July or November is below 50 ( <i>Good</i> ), or below 100 ( <i>Moderate</i> ) or below 150 ( <i>Unhealthy for Sensitive Groups</i> ) for at least 16 days of the month for 2016-2020.	March AQI (PM2.5) is <b>acceptable.</b>	

**CRITERION**: Human rights risks associated with holding an IETF meeting are acceptable (*important*).

#	Test	Assessment	Indicator
6.1	Country: Women Peace and Security Index <sup>8</sup> score from the Georgetown Institute of Women, Peace and Security is in the top two quintiles (0.71 or above on a scale of 0 to 1)  Region/City exceptions may override	WPS Index score of <b>.844</b> is <b>acceptable.</b>	

 $<sup>^{5}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/vaccination-requirements-and-recommendations-for-international-travelers-and-malaria-situation-per-country-2020-edition}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/destinations/list

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://agicn.org/map/world/

<sup>8</sup> https://giwps.georgetown.edu/the-index/



6.2	Country: Safe for LGBT people, researched on an individual basis using multiple sources including Global LGBT Acceptance Index <sup>9</sup> , Asher and Lyric Fergusson LGBTQ+ Danger Index <sup>10</sup> and others found at the time of assessment.  Region/City exceptions may override	Level of LGBT acceptance and safety is acceptable.	
6.3	Country: No significant discrimination or persecution of religious freedoms, researched on an individual basis using multiple sources including World Bank <sup>11</sup> , Religious Freedom Report <sup>12</sup> and others found at the time of assessment.  Region/City exceptions may override	Level of religious freedom is <b>acceptable.</b>	

## Additional sources including community feedback

- N/A
- Community feedback announcement: [\_]
- Community discussions: [\_]
- Summary of community feedback: [\_]

 $<sup>^{9}\,\</sup>underline{\text{https://williamsinstitute.law.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/Global-Acceptance-Index-LGBT-Oct-2019.pdf}$ 

<sup>10</sup> https://www.asherfergusson.com/lgbtq-travel-safety/

<sup>11</sup> https://govdata360.worldbank.org/indicators/hd6a18526?indicator=41930&viz=line\_chart&years=1975,2018

<sup>12</sup> https://religious-freedom-report.org/#map



## **Summary**

9 have been assessed as meeting the requirements; 1 has been assessed as not meeting the requirements and 0 have been assessed as uncertain but possible. 0 criteria have not been assessed because an earlier evaluated criterion has not met the requirements.

Date of assessment and recommendation: 1 June 2021