How can IETF develop a credible and compelling approach to carbon offsets to achieve its carbon neutrality goal?
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Purpose:
(1) Provide IETF and its participants with an overview of the options available to participate in voluntary carbon markets and develop an understanding of criteria IETF may use to obtain quality offsets as part of its offsetting strategy.
(2) Discuss participant feedback on the proposed criteria and preferences for offset procurement.

Agenda:
1. Carbon Offsets in the Voluntary Carbon Market
   - Overview of how offsets are used by organisations
   - Types of offsets, standards and principles
2. Criteria and preferences for quality offsets
   - Considerations for procuring offsets
3. Next steps
Carbon Offsets in the Voluntary Carbon Market
Voluntary carbon markets

Voluntary carbon credits direct investments to climate-action projects

Demand is driven by corporate (and individual) offsetting commitments.

Supply is from a range of projects internationally, many of which yield additional co-benefits such as biodiversity and social outcomes.

Carbon credits can also support investment into the innovation required to lower the cost of emerging climate technologies.
How offsets are used in carbon neutral claims

Carbon offsets are a way for organisations to compensate for emissions that cannot be avoided or reduced any further.

Steps towards carbon neutrality

- Measure
- Reduce
- Disclose
- Offset

Achieving carbon neutrality

Carbon footprint

Measured greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with IETF operations (business travel, accommodation, event venue, catering, food waste, remote working).

Carbon offsets

An equivalent volume of verified GHG emissions reductions (either sequestered or avoided) elsewhere are purchased and retired to offset IETF GHG emissions.

Carbon neutral

Carbon footprint offsets purchased
Types of credits

**AVOIDANCE**
Projects have a lower GHG footprint than the alternative activity that would take place if the project was not implemented.

- **Nature-based avoidance:**
  - Highest supply, affordable cost per credit
  - Potential issues with permanence, which can be managed with a buffer
  
  *Examples: Avoided deforestation & forest degradation, avoided wetland conversion*

- **Technology-based avoidance:**
  - High supply, low cost
  - Relatively high level of permanence
  
  *Examples: Renewable energy, energy efficiency, waste disposal*

**REMOVALS**
Projects that removes greenhouse gases from the atmosphere and permanently stores it. Also referred to as “carbon sequestration”

- **Nature-based removals:**
  - Higher cost per credit, lower supply
  - Potential issues with permanence, which can be managed with a buffer
  
  *Examples: mangrove restoration, afforestation, regenerative agriculture, enhanced soil sequestration*

- **Technology-based removals:**
  - Highest cost per credit, lower supply
  - No credits yet issued by any registries
  - Relatively high permanence
  - Supports transition to net zero
  
  *Examples: Direct air capture, bioenergy with carbon capture & storage, mineralisation*
There are many types of offset projects

### Avoidance

- **Increasing energy efficiency:** using less energy to produce the same result. E.g. Clean cookstove projects.
- **Renewable electricity production:** solar, wind, hydro, biomass and geothermal sources.
- **Waste handling and disposal:** recovering and utilising biogas from municipal and industrial waste.
- **Livestock management:** feed supplements into ruminants’ diets.
- **Avoided natural ecosystem degradation:** activities that aim to protect endangered natural ecosystems.
- **Fugitive emissions recovery:** capturing and utilising methane from industrial processes.

### Removals

- **Carbon capture and storage (CCS):** removes unavoidable as well as historic CO₂ emissions, creating a closed carbon cycle. Includes Direct air capture technology.
- **Nature based solutions:** activities that protect, manage and restore natural and modified ecosystems, ideally simultaneously address socio-environmental challenges.
  - There was a 264% increase in sale of NbS offsets between 2016-2018 versus 21% increase in other projects. NbS include projects which:
    - Reduce deforestation
    - Restore forests, wetlands and peatlands
    - Improved livestock, nutrient and rice management
    - Improved forest management and agroforestry

An increasing number of guidelines are now recommending that organisations move towards investing in carbon removal offsets, particularly in the long-term, and in support of net zero emissions goals.
Example carbon credit project

Cookstove credits are issued when communities use cookstoves that are fuel efficient and avoid CO₂ emissions that would have occurred with inefficient cookstoves. Low-income families can purchase the stoves, subsidised by selling carbon credits.

- **Cookstove carbon credit:**
  - Represents a tonne of CO₂ emissions avoided from charcoal and fuelwood cooking.

- **Co-benefits:**
  - Reduce deforestation and biodiversity loss of local forest
  - Improved quality of life
  - Gender benefits; women can spend more participating in other socio-economic activities (less time needed to collect wood)

Co-benefits are the key reason why one offset project may be preferred over another.

Source: South Pole, Mali Clean Cookstoves
Example carbon credit project

By reducing the concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, forest carbon sequestration can play an important role in climate change mitigation.

- Forestry carbon credit:
  - A forestry carbon credit is awarded for a tonne of CO₂ sequestered/removed from the atmosphere.

- Co-benefits:
  - Job creation and production of raw materials (wood harvesting)
  - Land diversification
  - Improved water quality
  - Greater biodiversity
  - Soil erosion control

Source: South Pole, Kariba forest protection
Key principles and criteria

- High integrity carbon credits:
  - Permanent
  - Measurable
  - Unique
  - Independently Verified
  - Robust quantification

- Programme governance:
  - Additional
  - No negative community impact

- Leading international offset Standards.

- International guidance for voluntary offsetting.

There are several others and new standards emerging.
IETF Preferences
Considerations

When approaching the purchase of carbon credits, EY recommends IETF builds a portfolio of diverse projects to pursue greater impact and reduce overall risk.

Key criteria (re-cap):
- Additional
- Permanent
- Unique
- Independently verified
- Measurable
- Robust quantification
- Programme governance
- No negative community impact

Other considerations:
- Alignment with IETF ESG values
- Project diversification
- Due diligence
- Carbon credit quality
- Co-benefits
- Vintage (year credits are issued)
- Competitive pricing
- Location of offsets (potentially offsets held)
- Carbon offsets portfolio

Mixture of nature/technology based removals/avoidance credits, spread of geographic areas that meet recognised global criteria
Exercise with IETF participants

Mentimeter voting - www.mentimeter.com, follow THIS LINK

1. Do you agree with the minimum criteria (aligned with the global ICROA and ICVCM standards)?
   - [ ] A Yes
   - [ ] B No
   - [ ] C <gifitfgo>

2. Please rank considerations in order of priority (rank a minimum of 3)
   - [ ] 1 Geographic area
   - [ ] 2 Alignment with IETF ESG values
   - [ ] 3 Social co-benefits
   - [ ] 4 Environmental co-benefits
   - [ ] 5 Vintage
   - [ ] 6 Competitive pricing
   - [ ] 7 Nature based credits
   - [ ] 8 Technology based credits
Next steps
Offset procurement

1. Measurement/estimate of emissions to inform offset volume required
2. Find credit suppliers e.g. broker
3. Select supplier; confirm projects, volume of credits and timing
4. Offsets purchased
5. Carbon credits retired
6. Continue to monitor emissions, purchase offsets, and maintain carbon neutral status

Agree preferred characteristics of credits
Next steps

- Determine how many offsets are needed to achieve IETF's target (net zero, carbon neutral)
- Confirm preferences to inform offset procurement
- Establish minimum criteria that offsets must meet « diversify purchase type and project type, geography, and time horizon and align with widely accepted international standards 
- Purchase and retire offset credits

Carbon neutral IETF

1. Emissions calculation process
2. Emissions reduction process
3. Emissions offsetting strategy
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