Organization of the areas and the future of the IESG

IESG Retreat 2019

Some data about area activity and workload

Area	RFCs published 2017-2018*	Drafts on telechats 2017-2018	Current WGs	ADs
RTG	129	188	23	3
ART	73	178	30	3
SEC	47	110	22	2
OPS	50	80	13	2
INT	41	80	16	2
TSV	39	65	12	2
GEN	0	15	3	1

^{*}I know this doesn't perfectly reflect activity in the area during the time period due to publication delays, but it was easy to calculate and seems like a fine coarse proxy.

Some questions

Organization of the areas

- Is the current organization of the areas serving the community well?
- Are there working groups that would benefit from switching areas or having an AD from a different area?
- Would the IESG benefit from balancing the WG load differently?
- Are there new or different areas we should consider introducing?

Size of the IESG

- Is the current size of the IESG a good fit for the workload?
- Are there areas we expect to see shrink or grow significantly in the next year or two?
- How does the pipeline of potential future ADs look given the number of AD slots we expect to request from the nomcom?