What is the RFC Editor doing regarding inclusive language?

Per the [IESG Statement on Inclusive Language](#) and agreement from the other stream managers, the RPC is:

- Checking for words listed in the [full table in “NIST Technical Series Publications Author Instructions”](#) (as referenced by NISTIR 8366)
- Requesting that authors review the language in their document and, if applicable, calling out specifics from the NIST table
Errata Processing

Per the IESG Statement on Processing of RFC Errata for the IETF Stream and agreement from the other stream managers, the RPC:

• Has deployed the change to Editorial errata notifications; the stream managers are no longer CC’ed on initial notification.
  Notes:
  • mail continues to go to the authors and WG list.
  • no change to handling of technical errata.
• Will set to technical and notify stream manager if input is needed.

# of Reported errata of IETF Stream (and Legacy) RFCs:
526 Technical
266 Editorial
792 total awaiting review
What’s the status of v3 XML?

It is the source file for 100% of the RFCs that are published.

XML Vocabulary and Style Guide Change Management Team (CMT) reviewing:
- Backlog of issues reported against v3 – assess how/where issues are resolved
- Updates needed to RFC 7991 (https://github.com/rfc-format/draft-iab-xml2rfc-v3-bis)
- Impact on the Style Guide – potential updates to align better with v3 format and tooling
- Minimum profile – identify base XML tagging required for any given RFC
  Authors to implement additional features as desired
- Additions to the list of <sourcecode> types
- Issues as they arise during the editorial process

RPC continuing with the following:
- Experimenting to get the right output as needed
- Discussing issues with the XML CMT or submitting bug tickets
- Tracking progression of tickets that impact document being processed
  - Assess whether publication delay is needed
- Testing new releases of xml2rfc to ensure addresses reported issues
- Documenting user guidance
Type of Source Files Submitted by Authors

- 2019 pubs: 91% v2 XML, 9% v3 XML, 0% None (id2xml)
- 2020 pubs: 82% v2 XML, 12% v3 XML, 6% None (id2xml)
- YTD pubs: 81% v2 XML, 15% v3 XML, 4% None (id2xml)
xml2rfc Tips

How to run it:
- https://xml2rfc.tools.ietf.org/experimental.html (web service)
- https://pypi.org/project/xml2rfc (local installation)

Current v3 vocabulary:
- https://xml2rfc.tools.ietf.org/xml2rfc-doc.html

The v3 FAQ:

V3 Features in Use:

xml2rfc mailing list:
- https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/xml2rfc

Issuetracker for xml2rfc:
- https://trac.ietf.org/trac/xml2rfc/report/1
How’s the SLA looking?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>PGTE</th>
<th>Tier 1 Details</th>
<th>Tier 2 Details</th>
<th>Tier 3 Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tier 1</td>
<td>PGTE &lt; 1950</td>
<td>67% RFCs pub &lt;= 6 wks RET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 2</td>
<td>1950 &lt; PGTE &lt; 3072</td>
<td>50% RFCs pub &lt;= 12 wks RET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tier 3</td>
<td>3072 &lt; PGTE</td>
<td>possibly renegotiate expected RET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PGTE**: Pages Gone To Edit

### Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>2117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Publications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 1</th>
<th>Tier 2</th>
<th>Tier 2*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>1404</td>
<td>1757</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>1383</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1825</td>
<td>1114</td>
<td>2117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tier 2* indicates when Tier 2 is being applied in the “subsequent quarter” as per the SLA. See https://www.rfc-editor.org/report-summary for more details.
How’s the current queue looking?
tRSE Activity

- RFC 7991 and related RFC updates
- Coordinating with Jay to get better tools for writing and editing I-Ds