

5011 Security Considerations

Wes Hardaker <hardaker@isi.edu>
Warren Kumari <warren@kumari.net>

Issues from Last Call: Ed Lewis

1. “The audience of this document (namely the targeted operators [ICANN]) has not participated in the review of the document”
 - **STATUS:** The authors have had extensive conversations with all levels of ICANN staff
2. “Trust Anchor” usage is incorrect
 - Resolution: Agreed and document terminology fixed
 - EG “Trust Anchor Publisher” => “PEP Publisher” (and other fixes)
 - **STATUS: Fixed**
3. “The document begins to co-mingle validation with trust anchor management”
 - Agreed -- all terminology cleaned up; but do note that DNSSEC validation is required
 - **STATUS: Fixed**
4. “Not worth our time”
 - “Low ROI”, “unsupported Safety Margin”
 - **More on this later...**

Issues from Last Call: Paul Hoffman

1. "... or with an additional section on looking at timing from a second perspective" [re: MSJ timing]
 - **STATUS:** Additional section added

Issues from Last Call: Michael StJohns

1. Timing: "When is it safe for me to revoke all of the older trust anchor keys?"
 - o IE, "at what time of what day" vs "how long from now"
 - o **STATUS: current document contains both calculations** (see also: *Paul Hoffman*)
2. "The safety factor is there primarily to deal with network outages AT THE RESOLVER and is a SWAG"
 - o Suggests: $\text{safetyFactor} ::= \text{retryInterval} * (5 + \text{Log2}(N))$
 - o $\text{retryInterval} = \text{MAX}(1 \text{ hr}, \text{MIN}(1 \text{ day}, 0.1 * \text{origTTL}, 0.1 * \text{expireInterval}))$
 - o And suggests $5+\text{Log2}(N)$ is 28 to cover 99.99% of 10M resolvers
 - o **more on this later...**

Draft-07

<u>6.</u>	Minimum RFC5011 Timing Requirements	<u>8</u>
<u>6.1.</u>	Timing Requirements For Adding a New KSK	<u>8</u>
<u> 6.1.1.</u>	addHoldDownTime	<u>8</u>
<u> 6.1.2.</u>	sigExpirationTime	<u>9</u>
<u> 6.1.3.</u>	activeRefresh	<u>9</u>
<u> 6.1.4.</u>	activeRefreshOffset	<u>9</u>
<u> 6.1.5.</u>	safetyMargin	<u>9</u>
<u> 6.1.6.</u>	Fully expanded equation	<u>10</u>
<u> 6.1.7.</u>	Timing Constraint Summary	<u>10</u>
<u> 6.1.8.</u>	Additional Considerations	<u>11</u>
<u>6.2.</u>	Timing Requirements For Revoking an Old KSK	<u>11</u>
<u> 6.2.1.</u>	Example Results	<u>12</u>

Draft-08 (TBD)

6.	Minimum RFC5011 Timing Requirements	9
6.1.	Equation Components	9
6.1.1.	addHoldDownTime	9
6.1.2.	sigExpirationTimeRemaining	9
6.1.3.	activeRefresh	9
6.1.4.	activeRefreshOffset	10
6.1.5.	safetyMargin	10
6.2.	Timing Requirements For Adding a New KSK	10
6.2.1.	Wait Timer Based Calculation	10
6.2.2.	Wall-Clock Based Calculation	11
6.2.3.	Timing Constraint Summary	11
6.2.4.	Additional Considerations for RFC7583	12
6.2.5.	Example Scenario Calculations	12
6.3.	Timing Requirements For Revoking an Old KSK	12
6.3.1.	Wait Timer Based Calculation	12
6.3.2.	Wall-Clock Based Calculation	13
6.3.3.	Additional Considerations for RFC7583	13
6.3.4.	Example Scenario Calculations	14

Big Question #1: Should This Be Published At All?

Paul Hoffman: Yes

Michael StJohns: Unknown

Ed Lewis: No

Authors: Yes (of course)

You: ?????

Big Question #2: What about the safety margin?

- Some folks worried about network delays and race conditions
- Some folks thinks it adds “slop” to an otherwise precise equation
- Choice:
 - a. Don't add a safety margin value and keep it precise
 - b. Figure out the right value of slop to put in
- Current safetyMargin choices:
 - a. $\text{safetyMargin} = \text{MAX}(1.5 \text{ hours}, 2 * \text{MAX}(\text{TTL of all records}))$
 - b. $\text{safetyMargin} = (5 + \text{Log2}(N)) * \text{MAX}(1 \text{ hr}, \text{MIN}(1 \text{ day}, 0.1 * \text{origTTL}, 0.1 * \text{expireInterval}))$
 - c. ???