## BFD Encapsulated in Large Packets

Jeffrey Haas, Juniper

jhaas@juniper.net

Albert Fu, Bloomberg

afu14@bloomberg.net

## **Problem Statement**

- In environments where applications running across multiple hops requires a consistent MTU, blackholing may occur if the path unexpectedly changes and some link does not support that MTU.
- BFD PDUs are not large enough to provide this Path-MTU based continuity check.
  - The BFD Length field is one octet!

## **Proposed Solution**

- BFD intentionally does not require that the encapsulating transport protocol (e.g. IP+UDP) have a length that is minimal to contain the BFD packet.
  - The original BFD authors have been here before in IGP-land. \*
- Solution: Make the underlying packet big and BFD packet is at the beginning of it. Zero pad the rest.

## Discussion

- Why zero-pad? Why not one of the usual bit-pattern tests?
  - Do you really want your line card verifying a 9kbyte pattern at BFD line rates?
  - Also leaves room to place other things at end of packet. We've avoided this for extensions... so far.
- Do we need to negotiate this on both sides?
  - I don't think so. Do you have a different opinion?