

# DOTS Data Channel

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-dots-data-channel>  
<https://github.com/boucadair/draft-ietf-dots-data-channel>

DOTS WG Meeting  
London, March 2018

Editors: Tiru (Reddy) & Med (Boucadair)

# Agenda

- Pending Issues
- Next steps

# List of Issues

- As per the agreement at the last Interim, a list of issues was shared on the mailing list to seek for feedback of a set of issues:
  - Issue #1: Lifetime handling
  - Issue #2: Filter Direction
  - Issue #3: Filter Activation
  - Issue #4: Filtering Fields
  - Issue #5: Scope of the filters
  - Issue #6: Multiple Servers
  - Issue #7: Loop Detect
- Update the github version to align with the latest versions of netmod-acl specification

# Issue #1: Lifetime Handling

- It was agreed to associate a lifetime with entries instantiated by a DOTS client (-12):
  - A lifetime hint is included in the resource creation request by the client
  - The server may honor the suggested lifetime or assign a distinct value as per its local policies
- When a distinct value is used by the server, the issue is how to notify the client given that RFC8040 says:
  - “If the POST method succeeds, a "201 Created" status-line is returned and there is no response message-body.”
- Conclusion
  - Servers must maintain an entry for a minimum period. Default is 1 week
  - No Lifetime is included in a request
  - Clients can retrieve the remaining lifetime using GET requests
  - If no refresh request is seen from the client, the server removes expired entries

# Issue #2: Filter Direction

- Do we need to support explicit “direction” in filtering rules: “in”/“out”?
- Conclusion:
  - No
  - The current default direction is aligned with the nature of DDoS attacks targeted by DOTS (incoming)
    - ..even for the call home case
  - ***No text change is required***

# Issue #3: Filter Activation

- Do we assume that all filtering rules are activated by default or only when a mitigation is active?
- Conclusion:
  - We should support both
  - The intended action is governed by a new attribute called “*activation-type*” which can be set to “immediate” or “mitigation-time”
  - “mitigation-time” is the default value

# Issue #4: Per-Domain or Per-client Filters?

- Do we consider filters created by a client are available to all clients of the domain, or just for the client?
- Conclusion
  - Filters that are activated only during mitigation time are on a per-client basis
    - Filters are per-domain when are immediately applied
- Open question
  - Should we mandate destination-network to be present for immediately enforced filters?

# Issue #5: Filtering Fields

- Should we supporting all of the fields as defined by “ietf-packet-fields”?
  - Do we need to define a minimum supported set?

- Conclusion

- List mandatory-to-support fields

ACL Match	Mandatory Fields
-----	-----
ipv4	length, protocol, destination-ipv4-network, source-ipv4-network, and v4-fragments
ipv6	length, protocol, destination-ipv6-network, source-ipv6-network, and v6-fragments
tcp	flags, source-port-range-or-operator, and destination-port-range-or-operator
udp	length, source-port-range-or-operator, and destination-port-range-or-operator
icmp	type and code

- Define a capability containers to return the exact filtering capabilities of a server. Client MAY request the capabilities to adjust filtering requests sent to the server and avoid errors

# Issue #6: Multiple Servers

- “If the request is propagated to both Servers, but one server sends back a different response code, what should be done?”
- Proposal
  - Current drafts focus on single-homed scenarios
    - Keep this focus
  - Multi-homing considerations are to be included in draft-boucadair-dots-multihoming

# Issue #7: Loop Detect

- Same issue as for the signal channel
- Proposal
  - Re-use “max-forwards” header
    - ...but it is only for TRACE and OPTIONS
  - A loop-related http error that can be used is:  
508(Loop Detected)
- Suggestions?

# Working Copy

[https://github.com/boucadair/draft-ietf-dots-  
data-channel](https://github.com/boucadair/draft-ietf-dots-data-channel)

# Next Steps

- Publish -14 with the changes agreed so far
- Any issues that are not covered?
- Questions?