Changelog for draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-12 draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-09 Dino Farinacci, Albert Cabellos (ED) LISP WG IETF 101- London March 2018

- Since IETF100
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-08
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-09
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-10
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-11
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-12 (today)

- Removed 'Solicit-Map Request' (to 6833bis)
- Section 'Routing Locator Reachability': Removed bullet point 2 (ICMP Network/Host Unreachable), 3 (hints from BGP), 4 (ICMP Port Unreachable), 5 (receive a Map-Reply as a response) and RLOC probing
- Updated section 'Router Locator Selection' stating that the data-plane MUST follow what's stored in the map-cache (priorities and weights).
- Removed sections 16, 17 and 18 (Mobility, Deployment and Traceroute considerations). This text must be placed in a new OAM document.

- Remove references to research work for any protocol mechanisms.
- RFC2119 and IDNITS compliant
- <u>Many</u> editorial changes
- Removed 4342 from IANA section and move to RFC6833 IANA section.
- Removed PA and PI definitions from Definition of Terms section.

- Added clarity to definitions in the Definition of Terms section from various commenters.
- Add more details in section 5.3 about DSCP processing during encapsulation and decapsulation.

draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6830bis-12 (today)

- Clarified that a new nonce is required per RLOC.
- Removed 'Clock Sweep' section. This text must be placed in a new OAM document.
- Some references changed from normative to informative

- Since IETF100
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-07
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-08
 - draft-ietf-lisp-rfc6833bis-09 (yesterday)

- Added port 4342 to IANA Considerations section.
- Added several mechanisms (*from 6830bis*) regarding Routing Locator Reachability.
- Added Solicit-Map Request algorithm.
- Added RLOC-probing algorithm.

- Added definitions for Map-Request and Map-Reply messages.
- IDNITS compliant
- <u>Many</u> editorial changes to clarify text including some capitalized MAY, MUST, SHOULD
- Generalize text when referring to the format of an EID-prefix. Can use othe AFIs then IPv4 and IPv6.
- Don't make DDT references when the mapping transport system can be of any type and the referneced text is general to it.

- Make it more clear what messages use source-port 4342 and which ones use destination-port 4342.
- Make it clear that "encapsualted" for a control message is an ECM-based message.
- Make it more clear in a couple of places that RLOCs are used to locate ETRs more so than for Map-Server Map-Request forwarding.