
IPWAVE WG IETF 102


IPWAVE WG Agenda & Status



Monday, 16 July 2018

Russ Housley, Carlos J. Bernardos

Intellectual Property



- When starting a presentation you MUST say if:
 - There is IPR associated with your draft
 - The restrictions listed in section 5 of RFC 3978/4748 apply to your draft
- When asking questions or commenting on a draft:
 - You MUST disclose any IPR you know of relating to the technology under discussion
- References
 - RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879)
 - The IETF "Note well" (on the next slide)

Note Well


This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records
 of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.
- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process)
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes)
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures)
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct)
- BCP 78 (Copyright)
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation)
- https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/ (Privacy Policy)



Before we start...

Blue sheets

Note takers

Jabber scribe



Agenda

13:30 Administrativia

Chairs

IPWAVE WG documents


13:50 Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.11-OCB Resolution of QoSData vs Data headers

draft-ietf-ipwave-ipv6-over-80211ocb-25 (Chairs)

14:10 IP-based Vehicular Networking: Use Cases, Survey and PS


<u>draft-ietf-ipwave-vehicular-networking-03</u> (P. Jeong)

Additional topics




WG Status Update

- Documents in WG process
 - Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.11 OCB
 - draft-ietf-ipwave-ipv6-over-80211ocb-25
 - Went to 6man review, lots of comments received
 - Does current version solve the raised concerns?
 - Point in the agenda about this
 - Is there any implementation experience that we can use?
 - IP-based Vehicular Networking: Use Cases, Survey and Problem Statement
 - draft-ietf-ipwave-vehicular-networking-03
 - We need 2-3 reviewers. Volunteers?



WG Status Update

Oct 2017 Submit "ITS General Problem Area" to IESG May 2017 Submit "IPv6 over 802.11-OCB" to IESG Jul 2017 Draft for "Problem Statement" adopted by WG Jul 2017 Draft for "ITS General Problem Area" adopted by WG Nov 2016 Draft for "IPv6 over 802.11-OCB" adopted by WG	May 2018	Submit "Problem Statement" to IESG
Jul 2017 Draft for "Problem Statement" adopted by WG Jul 2017 Draft for "ITS General Problem Area" adopted by WG	Oct 2017	Submit "ITS General Problem Area" to IESG
Jul 2017 Draft for "ITS General Problem Area" adopted by WG	May 2017	Submit "IPv6 over 802.11-OCB" to IESG
	Jul 2017	Draft for "Problem Statement" adopted by WG
Nov 2016 Draft for "IPv6 over 802.11-OCB" adopted by WG	Jul 2017	Draft for "ITS General Problem Area" adopted by WG
	Nov 2016	Draft for "IPv6 over 802.11-OCB" adopted by WG



Next steps

- IPv6 over 802.11 OCB document
 - Solve issues raised during 6man review
 - Then submit to IESG (shepherd process completed already)
- We need review of the reworked survey & PS WG document
 - If not, just drop it
- Rechartering discussion?