

Security Policy Translation in I2NSF

draft-yang-i2nsf-security-policy-translation-02

IETF 103, Bangkok November 7, 2018

Jinhyuk Yang, Jaehoon (Paul) Jeong, and Jinyong (Tim) Kim

Motivation for Policy Translator

- Current Situation in I2NSF
 - <u>Different Security Policy Level Specifications</u> exist between I2NSF User and NSFs:
 - I2NSF User: <u>High-Level Security Policy</u>
 - NSFs: Low-Level Security Policy
- Solution for this Situation
 - Translation is needed for Intent-Based Security by I2NSF User for easy security management.
- <u>A Similar Standard (RFC 8075) from CORE WG</u>
 - Guidelines for Mapping Implementations: HTTP to the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)
 - https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8075

A Previous Translation

- XSLT-based Policy Translation (XSLT: Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations)
 - Popular method of XML-based policy translation.
 - Proposed by W3C in 1999.
- Limitations of XSLT
 - 1. Difficulty of Security Policy Construction
 - The manager <u>must select</u> the proper NSF directly.
 - 2. Inefficient Maintenance of Data Model
 - <u>Cannot adopt</u> automatically the changes of a data model.

A Proposed Translation

- Automata-based Policy Translation
 - A new method for XML-based policy translation.
 - Mapping Rules from a High-level YANG Data Model to a Low-level YANG Data Model
- Approach
 - 1. <u>Ease</u> of Security Policy Construction
 - The security manager <u>does not need to select</u> a proper NSF by himself.
 - 2. Efficient Maintenance
 - <u>Can adopt</u> automatically the changes of a data model.

Updates from the Previous Version

• The Previous Drafts:

- draft-yang-i2nsf-security-policy-translastion-01

- Changes from the previous versions
 - Add scenarios and figures for better representation of the idea.
 - The translation process is clarified with examples.
 - Other changes are described in detail in the last Appendix part.

Translation Process by Mapping



Next Steps

• We welcome comments from WG and will modify this draft according to the comments.

WG Adoption Call

- Security Policy Translation is a core part in Security Controller.
- This draft aims at an Informational RFC.

Appendix 1: Process of Security Policy Translation

Step 1: Extractor (DFA)

High-level policy



Step 2: Data Converter (1/2)

High-level policy data

illegal.com]

True

True

Category

Log Action

Drop Action



Step 2: Data Converter (2/2)

Low-level policy data





Appendix 2: Changes from the Previous Draft

Changes from the Previous Version (1/12)

- 3. Necessity for Policy Translator
 - Examples are added for emphasizing the necessity of translation.
 - Both policies are equilibrium. The first policy is for I2NSF User, and the second policy is for NSF.
 - I2NSF has a role that connects Users and NSF.
 - I2NSF requires a translator that automatically converts the first policy to the second policy even if the user gives the first one.
- Block my son's computers from malicious websites.
- o Drop packets from the IP address 10.0.0.1 and 10.0.0.3 to harm.com and illegal.com

Changes from the Previous Version (2/12)

4.1. Overall Structure of Policy Translator

 NSF DB is changed to a component in the figure of an overall design of policy translator.



Changes from the Previous Versions (3/12)

- 4.2. DFA-based Data Extractor
 - The description is clarified for better understanding.
 - This Section is divided as two subsections:
 'Design' and 'Example Scenario'.
 - The figure of DFA Architecture is changed to show the hierarchy structure.
 - An example scenario and the process of the Data Extractor are added.

Changes from the Previous Version (4/12)

- 4.2. DFA-based Data Extractor
 - The figure of DFA Architecture is changed to show the hierarchy structure.



Changes from the Previous Version (5/12)

- 4.2. DFA-based Data Extractor
 - An example scenario and the process of the Data Extractor are added.



Figure 4: The Example of Data Extractor

Changes from the Previous Version (6/12)

- 4.3. Data Converter
 - The description is clarified for better understanding.
 - This Section is divided as three subsections: 'Role', 'Conversion', and 'Policy Provisioning'.
 - The role of Data Converter is emphasized.
 - The figures of data conversion and policy provisioning are added.

Changes from the Previous Version (7/12)

- 4.3. Data Converter
 - The figure and explanation of data conversion are added.



Figure 5: Example of Data Conversion

Changes from the Previous Version (8/12)

• 4.3. Data Converter

– The figure of policy provisioning is added.



Figure 6: Example of Policy Provisioning

Changes from the Previous Version (9/12)

- 4.4. CFG-based Policy Generator
 - The description is clarified for better understanding.
 - The Section is divided as three subsections:
 'Structure Production', 'Content production', and 'Generator Construction'.
 - Examples of each production are added to help readers understand.
 - The figures for example scenario and process of the Policy Generator are added.

Changes from the Previous Version (10/12)

- 4.4. CFG-based Policy Generator
 - The examples of each production are added to help readers understand.

Example of Content Production

- o [cont_ipv4] -> [cont_ipv4][cont_ipv4] (Allow duplication.)
- o [cont_ipv4] -> <ipv4>[cont_ipv4_data]</ipv4>
- o [cont_ipv4_data] -> 10.0.0.1 | 10.0.0.3

Example of Structure Production

o [struct_i2nsf] -> <I2NSF>[cont_name][struct_rules]</I2NSF>

Changes from the Previous Version (11/12)

- 4.4. CFG-based Policy Generator
 - The figures of example scenario and the process of the Policy Generator are added.



```
<T2NSF>
    <rule-name>block web</rule-name>
    <rules>
        <condition>
            <packet>
                <ipv4>10.0.0.1</ipv4>
                <ipv4>10.0.3</ipv4>
            </packet>
            <payload>
                <url>harm.com</url>
                <url>illegal.com</url>
            </pavload>
        </condition>
        <action>drop</action>
    </rules>
</I2NSF>
```

Figure 8: Example of Low-Level Policy

Changes from the Previous Version (12/12)

- 6. Security Considerations
 - This Section is added. There is no security concern in policy translation.

- 8. References
 - This Section is divided by two subsections:
 'Normative References' and 'Informative References'.
 - References for Automata, XML(Extensible Markup Language), and XSLT(Extensible Stylesheet Language Transformations) are added.