

PCEP EXTENSION FOR FLEXIBLE GRID NETWORKS

[HTTPS://TOOLS.IETF.ORG/HTML/DRAFT-LEE-PCE-FLEXIBLE-GRID-03](https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-lee-pce-flexible-grid-03)

Young Lee (Huawei)
Haomian Zheng (Huawei)
R. Casellas (CTTC)
R. Vilalta (CTTC)
Daniele Ceccarelli (Ericsson)
F. Lazzeri (Ericsson)

OVERVIEW

- It is the right time to introduce PCEP extension for flexible grid networks.
 - [RFC7698] provides Framework and Requirements for GMPLS-Based Control of Flexi-Grid DWDM.
 - Maturity of IETF protocols such as OSPF-TE [RFC 8363] and RSVP-TE [RFC 7792] for flexible grid networks.
 - PCEP for GMPLS and WSON have moved to RFC publication process.
- What are Flexible Grid Networks?
 - To allow efficient allocation of optical spectral bandwidth for systems that have high bit-rates, the International Telecommunication Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) has extended its Recommendations G.694.1 and G.872 to include a new Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing (DWDM) grid by defining a set of nominal central frequencies, channel spacings, and the concept of the "frequency slot".
 - In such an environment, a dataplane connection is switched based on allocated, variable-sized frequency ranges within the optical spectrum, creating what is known as a flexible grid (flexi-grid).


FLEXIBLE GRID EXAMPLE

For the flexible DWDM grid, the allowed **frequency slots** have a nominal central frequency (in THz) defined by:

$193.1 + n \times 0.00625$ where n is a positive or negative integer including 0
and 0.00625 is the **nominal central frequency granularity** in THz

and a **slot width** defined by:

$12.5 \times m$ where m is a positive integer and 12.5 is the **slot width granularity** in GHz.



HIGH-LEVEL DESCRIPTION

<PCReq Message> ::= <Common Header> [<svec-list>] <request-list>

Where: <request-list> ::= <request> [<request-list>]

<request> ::= <RP> <Generalized ENDPOINTS> [<SA>] [other optional objects...]

SA (SPECTRUM ASSIGNMENT) OBJECT

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          Reserved           |          Flags           | M |
+-+-+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          Frequency-Slot Selection TLV      |
+-+-+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|          Frequency-Slot Restriction Constraint TLV |
|
.
.
.
+-+-+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
//          Optional TLVs           //           |
+-+-+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Explicit Label Control (ELC) Mode

FREQUENCY SLOT SELECTION TLV

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1
+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	FSA Method										Reserved										
+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Frequency-Slot Assignment (FSA) Method (7 bits):


0: Unspecified (any); This does not constrain the SA method used by a specific node.
This value is implied when the Frequency-Slot Selection sub-TLV is absent.

1: First-Fit; All the feasible frequency slots are numbered (based on "n" parameter), and
this SA method chooses the available frequency-slot with the lowest index (of "n" parameter).

2: Random; This SA method chooses an feasible frequency-slot ("n" parameter) randomly.

3-127: Unassigned.


FREQUENCY SLOT RESTRICTION CONSTRAINT TLV



The Frequency-Slot Restriction Field of the Frequency slot restriction TLV
is encoded as defined in

<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-ccamp-flexible-grid-ospf-ext-09#section-4.1.1>.

FREQUENCY SLOT ALLOCATION TLV (IN PC REPLY)



Note: This TLV may not be necessary for ELC.

SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS

- The draft is ready for WG adoption.
- Continue to mature the draft.