Bandwidth occupancy issue in draft-ietf-rmcatcoupled-cc

Julius Flohr University of Duisburg Essen IETF 103 Bangkok

Introduction

- Implemented Active FSE as defined in draft-ietf-rmcatcoupled-cc-07 in Omnet/INET
- Document does not consider application limited scenarios in case of Active FSE, but does for Passive FSE?
- Issues with multiple RTP flows with different priorities when application limited streams are present

Active FSE Algorithm

- On CC update of flow f:
 - (a) It updates S_CR.

 $S_CR = S_CR + CC_R(f) - FSE_R(f)$

[•••]

(c) It calculates the sending rates for all the flows in an FG and distributes them.

```
for all flows i in FG do
    FSE_R(i) = (P(i)*S_CR)/S_P
    send FSE_R(i) to the flow i
end for
```

Active FSE Algorithm

- On CC update of flow f:
 - (a) It updates S_CR.

 $S_CR = S_CR + CC_R(f) - FSE_R(f)$

[...]

(c) It calculates the sending rates for all the flows in an FG and distributes them.

```
for all flows i in FG do
    FSE_R(i) = (P(i)*S_CR)/S_P
    send FSE_R(i) to the flow i
end for
```

What if this is bigger than RMAX?

Example



p_1 = 1.0, p_2 = 0.5 / BtlBdw: 4Mbps / RMAX = 1.5 Mbps

Proposed fix

• On CC update of flow f:

```
(a) It updates S_CR.
```

 $S_CR = S_CR + CC_R(f) - FSE_R(f)$

[...]

(c) It calculates the sending rates for all the flows in an FG and distributes them.

```
TLO = 0
for all flows i in FG do
FSE_R(i) = (P(i)*S_CR)/S_P + TLO
TLO = 0
if FSE_R(i) > RMAX(i)
TLO = FSE_R(i) - RMAX(i)
FSE_R(i) = RMAX(i)
end if
send FSE_R(i) to the flow i
end for
```

Proposed fix



p_1 = 1.0, p_2 = 0.5 / BtlBdw: 4Mbps / RMAX = 1.5 Mbps

Questions

- Is this an issue we should address?
- How to get RMAX to the FSE? FSE REGISTER?
- What about low-quality media sources? CC-limited vs. media-source limited?

Backup-Slides



p_1 = 1.0, p_2 = 0.5 / BtlBdw: 2.5 Mbps / RMAX = 1.5 Mbps