

RTCWEB@IETF103

WG Info: <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rtcweb/about/>
Chairs: [Cullen Jennings](#), [Ted Hardie](#), and [Sean Turner](#)

This is a reminder of IETF policies in effect on various topics such as patents or code of conduct. It is only meant to point you in the right direction. Exceptions may apply. The IETF's patent policy and the definition of an IETF "contribution" and "participation" are set forth in BCP 79; please read it carefully.

As a reminder:

- By participating in the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes and policies.
- If you are aware that any IETF contribution is covered by patents or patent applications that are owned or controlled by you or your sponsor, you must disclose that fact, or not participate in the discussion.
- As a participant in or attendee to any IETF activity you acknowledge that written, audio, video, and photographic records of meetings may be made public.
- Personal information that you provide to IETF will be handled in accordance with the IETF Privacy Statement.

As a reminder:

- As a participant or attendee, you agree to work respectfully with other participants; please contact the ombudsteam (<https://www.ietf.org/contact/ombudsteam/>) if you have questions or concerns about this.

Definitive information is in the documents listed below and other IETF BCPs. For advice, please talk to WG chairs or ADs:

- BCP 9 (Internet Standards Process),
- BCP 25 (Working Group processes),
- BCP 25 (Anti-Harassment Procedures),
- BCP 54 (Code of Conduct),
- BCP 78 (Copyright),
- BCP 79 (Patents, Participation),
- <https://www.ietf.org/privacy-policy/> (Privacy Policy)

Requests

Minute Taker(s)

Jabber Scribe(s)

Sign Blue Sheets

State your name @ the mic

Keep it professional @ the mic

Agenda

Thursday - 1610-1810

10min Administrivia

30min AD comments on:

security

security-arch

ip-handling

20min jsep changes

20min mdns-candidates

Cullen is stepping
down after this
meeting

Security Drafts with AD

Examples: [-sdp-11](#)
Security: [-security-10](#)
[-security-arch-16](#)
[-ip-handling-11](#)

-jsep-25

Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 1

[PR#851](#) References changed from RFC 5245 to RFC 8445 and I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp

Implements consensus to refer to “ICEbis”.

Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 2

[PR#850](#) Stop using the "appdata" field in "a=msid"

Before this change, "a=msid" was broken e.g. [w3c/webrtc-pc#1718](#) and [w3c/webrtc-pc#2005](#).

Addresses the problem where that removeTrack(track) then addTrack(track) yields bogus SDP.

Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 3

[PR#849](#) Add bullet about rejecting all m= sections in a BUNDLE group

See [w3c/webrtc-pc#1858](https://www.w3.org/2018/07/webrtc-pc#1858)

PR includes text that says if we reject the offerer tagged m= section we reject all of them, like we have to.

Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 4

[I#854](#) contradictory advice on subsequent offers need to specify the ICE protocol:

- Subsequent offers need to specify the ICE protocol
- Implementations **MUST** indicate the UDP/TLS/RTP/SAVPF profile for each media m= line they produce in an offer.

Proposed Post IESG Approval Change: 5

[I#855](#) session ID is 2^{62} , should be 2^{63} or 2^{64}

Two problems:

- RFC 3264 actually doesn't place that restriction on the sess-id, that's the restriction on the version field.
- Deployed code (libwebrtc) generates sess-ids that are larger than $2^{62}-1$.

Change deployed code or JSEP? GH discussion seems to be:
“changing to 2^{63} (and removing the citation for 3264)”

Anything else?

[GH issues](#)

-mdns-ice-candidates-02

% done?