CONTROLLER - IKE

What? Why? Where? Who? And when?

What?

- At a high level, it provides the same function as IKE
 - e.g. Can replace the IKE daemon on Linux while using the existing kernel IPsec.
- DH based key exchange done through a controller
 - All peers send their DH public value to the controller
 - Controller sends the list of all public values to all peers
 - All peers calculate a unique pairwise secret for each other peer
 - Synchronization is what makes this interesting!
- Key material is exchanged along with the overlay routing data.
- No peer-to-peer messages

What ISN'T it?

- NOT a replacement for IKE. It's an alternative.
- It is NOT a 2-way tunnel attribute negotiation protocol
 - No back and forth negotiating, but hey, we're controller based.
- It does not (currently) provide its own secure communications to the controller

Why?

- Optimized key exchange for large controller based environments.
 - N vs. N² messages
 - Scalable for very large networks.
- Odd shaped networks
 - Not everything is normal or even bi-directional
 - Control can traverse one network, while encrypted data traverses another.
- Easy to add new nodes.

Where?

- Drafts
 - https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-sajassi-bess-secure-evpn-02
 - https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dunbar-bess-bgp-sdwan-usage-01
 - https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dm-net2cloud-gap-analysis-04
 - https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-rtgwg-net2cloud-gap-analysis-02
- IETF Mailing list (non-WG)
 - sdwan-sec@ietf.org
- WG discussions
 - I2NSF, BESS, IDR, RTGWG, ...

Who?

- Authors:
 - David Carrel <carrel@cisco.com>
 - Brian Weis <bew.stds@gmail.com>

When?

- There are two known implementations.
 - To be honest.. they're related
- **■** Further Considerations
 - QR
 - SPI format
 - Signed DIMs
- But the real "When" is the question for this room...