

# **draft-hardie-dispatch-rfc3405-update**

IETF 108

# What's the issue?

Part five of the Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS), [RFC 3405](#) describes the registration procedures for assignments in URI.ARPA. The document requires that registrations be in the "IETF tree" of URI registrations. The use of URI scheme name trees was defined in [RFC 2717](#) [[RFC2717](#)] but discontinued by [RFC 4395](#) [[RFC4395](#)].

Since the use of trees was discontinued, there is no way in the current process set out in [BCP 35](#) [[RFC7595](#)] to meet the requirement.

# What's the proposed fix?

All registrations in URI.ARPA MUST be for schemes which are permanent registrations, as they are described in [BCP 35](#).

Congrats, you've now read the draft

# Congrats, you've now read the draft

No, really, that's all that's in it that isn't boilerplate.

# Why that fix?

That's the closest we can get to the original sense of "IETF Tree" in RFC 3405, given the current rules.

Where shall we discuss this?