

DTN Neighbor Discovery

BRIAN SIPOS

RKF ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS

IETF108

A solid orange horizontal bar at the bottom of the slide.

Motivations

- One of the WG charter milestones is Neighbor Discovery.
- Result from IETF 104 hackathon: [IETF-104-Prague-DTN.pdf](#)
 - Recommendation is to generalize from IP-only discovery to DTN-general discovery. Discovery does not care if transport is over UDP/IP.
 - Recommendation to use CBOR encoding instead of custom TLV.

Existing Work

- Drafted DTN discovery mechanisms:
 - [draft-wyllie-dtnrg-badisc](#) defines a BP block type (which augments bundle payload interpretation) and carries own-node data.
 - [draft-irtf-dtnrg-ipnd](#) defines an IP-only packet to distribute both own-node and 1-hop neighborhood data.
- Existing OLSRv2 and MANET Neighborhood Discovery Protocol (NHDP) of [RFC 7181](#) and [RFC 6130](#) respectively.
 - NHDP discovers 1-hop and 2-hop routable peer IP subnetworks.
 - OLSR TC distributes topology information two 2-hop neighborhood.
 - Lower-level messaging and data contents tied to IP addressing and subnetwork (IP address block) concepts.

Potential DTN-NHDP

- (IP) NHDP protocol discovers IP subnets by IP multicast packets.
- DTN-NHDP could use Bundles to broadcast/multicast state to discover DTN peers.
 - Would make use of existing Bundle framing and BPsec for authentication.
 - Would add a well-known multicast EID “dtn:neighbor”
 - Would update RFC 7122 to re-allocate UDP port 4556 for BPv7 use, also define UDP multicast requirements (and other BCPs).
 - Would add new administrative record type to hold DTN-NHDP data as a payload.
 - The NHDP would be a CBOR encoding for simplicity and consistency.
- DTN-NHDP bundles would then be addressed to the “dtn:neighbor” multicast peer and transported to peers.
 - Either UDP multicast/broadcast CL or a known-neighbor CL.
 - Using BP/UDP re-uses existing UDP port assignment and avoids separate ports/encodings.

Desired WG Direction

- If the intent really is for IPND, then the NHDP encoding of [RFC 6130](#) could be extended with a message type specific for expressing DTN Node IDs and CL capabilities.
- Is there any benefit in tighter integration with IP NHDP?
- Is there a desire for general DTN-NHDP over any convergence layer?