# THE ROAD TO RFC draft-ietf-mls-protocol



### SINCE DRAFT-09 ...

- **<u>8</u>** virtual interims
- 32 pull requests merged
- **<u>6</u>** new contributors



### PRS SINCE DRAFT-09

- #308 Remove nonce from SenderData AAD.
- #317 Change expiration extension to lifetime extension.
- #318 Fix markdown formatting issue for Ciphersuite section
- #319 Use correct type for uint32.
- #321 Extensions -> Extension
- #322 Minor fix
- #329 Rename messaging service to service provider
- #330 Minor fixes
- #331 Make ratcheting optional for Adds
- #334 Explicitly state the order in which proposals are applied when creating a commit
- #335 Fix HPKE setup function name
- #338 Rely More on HPKE
- #339 Upper bound on group size in early phase too low
- #341 Fix in lifetime extension
- #342 Allow external proposals to be signed.
- #343 Upper bound for Commit

- #348 Make the tree in the Welcome optional
- #350 IANA updates and their consequences
- #352 Use node\_index for both hashes
- #353 Explain the meaning of a Commit with no proposals
- #354 misc little fixes
- #355 Validate external proposals from preconfigured senders
- #356 Minor editorial changes
- #357 Fix all compiler warnings.
- #358 Fix build by switching to GitHub actions
- #359 Fix bugs in tree math and cleanup docs.
- #361 Use correct arguments to Derive-Secret
- #363 Fix compile errors again.
- #364 Use the KDF from HPKE
- #370 Minor extension fixes
- #371 Define HPKE on first use
- #372 Commit Generation Clarifications

### RELYING MORE ON HPKE

HPKE started off as just a base encrypt-to-public-key mechanism

It has grown to cover most of the primitives we need:

KDF, AEAD, Derive-Key-Pair (Signatures still from TLS)

Less spec text

Better agility

+ These ciphersuites map to HPKE primitives and TLS signature schemes as follows					
+ {{I-D.irtf-cfrg-hpke}} {{RFC8446}}:					
+					
+   Value   KEM   KDF   AEAD   Signature					
+  : : : :					
+   0x0001   0x0020   0x0001   0x0001   ed25519					
+   0x0002   0x0010   0x0001   0x0001   ecdsa_secp256r1_sha256					
+   0x0003   0x0020   0x0001   0x0003   ed25519					
+   0x0004   0x0021   0x0003   0x0002   ed448					
+   0x0005   0x0012   0x0003   0x0002   ecdsa_secp521r1_sha512					
+   0x0006   0x0021   0x0003   0x0003   ed448					

### MAKE RATCHETING OPTIONAL FOR ADDS

"Proposal/Commit will make Adds O(log N) instead of O(1), but if that's an issue, we can always special-case Add-only Commits."

-- R. Barnes (probably), circa Nov. 2019

It's an issue: In large, infrequently-updating groups, its O(N) ... so we added special case logic for it

#### No PCS on Add-only commit, only FS w.r.t. new members (PCS iff path)

1975	struct {	1980	<pre>struct {</pre>
1976	<pre>ProposalID updates&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>	1981	<pre>ProposalID updates&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>
1977	<pre>ProposalID removes&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>	1982	<pre>ProposalID removes&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>
1978	<pre>ProposalID adds&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>	1983	<pre>ProposalID adds&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>
1979		1984	
1980	<ul> <li>KeyPackage key_package;</li> </ul>	1985	<pre>+ optional<directpath> path;</directpath></pre>
1981	<ul> <li>DirectPath path;</li> </ul>		
1982	<pre>} Commit;</pre>	1986	<pre>} Commit;</pre>

### MAKE THE TREE OPTIONAL IN GROUPINFO

2105	<pre>struct {</pre>	2091	<pre>struct {</pre>
2106	<pre>opaque group_id&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>	2092	opaque group_id<0255>;
2107	uint64 epoch;	2093	uint64 epoch;
2108	<pre>- optional<node> tree&lt;12^32-1&gt;;</node></pre>	2094	<pre>+ opaque tree_hash&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>
2109	<pre>opaque confirmed_transcript_hash&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>	2095	<pre>opaque confirmed_transcript_hash&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>
2110	<pre>opaque interim_transcript_hash&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>	2096	<pre>opaque interim_transcript_hash&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>
2111	<pre>Extension extensions&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>	2097	<pre>Extension extensions&lt;02^16-1&gt;;</pre>
2112	<pre>opaque confirmation&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>	2098	<pre>opaque confirmation&lt;0255&gt;;</pre>
2113	<pre>uint32 signer_index;</pre>	2099	<pre>uint32 signer_index;</pre>
2114	opaque signature<02^16-1>;	2100	opaque signature<02^16-1>;
2115	} GroupInfo;	2101	<pre>} GroupInfo;</pre>

New joiners to the group need to know the tree

But the tree is (a) big to upload and (b) cacheable; send a commitment instead

Joiner needs to get the tree before processing the Welcome

## THE ROAD TO RFC

# PACE OF MAJOR CHANGES HAS SLOWED

# TIME TO START WRAPPING UP...



### REMAINING ISSUES + PRS

### CONFIRMED PROTOCOL ISSUES (BINNED, [PRS])

- Update the key schedule to reflect reality [#362, #336]
  - #325 Simplify epoch secret derivation?
  - #326 Authenticate that added members know the PSK
- #302 Use masking instead of AES-GCM for sender data [#360]
- Make MLSCiphertext fully opaque [#349]
  - #142 Prevent suppression of Handshake messages
  - #269 Randomize values in the common framing header
- PSKs, session resumption, and authentication
  - #366 Add extensions to the Commit message [#369]
  - #367 Negotiate PSKs
  - #368 Proof of prior membership in the group / Resumption
  - #374 Derive an "authentication secret"

### UNCERTAIN AND NON-PROTOCOL ISSUES

- #160 Advertize a global app generation for a sender
- #373 Address DoS by malicious insiders
- Post-protocol-completion editorial review
  - #365 Update security considerations
  - #273 Editorial: structure of the document
  - #168 Clarify obligation of clients to Update

... anything else?

### REFLECTING REALITY IN THE KEY SCHEDULE

Current key schedule has a few problems:

- 1. When a PSK is used, it doesn't authenticate that new joiners know it
- 2. The GroupContext gets used in a bunch of individual derivations

Proposed solutions:

- 1. Reorder so that the joiner has to use the PSK to get the epoch secret
- 2. Add the GroupContext once, into the epoch\_secret



#### SIMPLIFYING SENDER DATA ENCRYPTION

Goal: Prevent DS from seeing sender and generation

First attempt: "Masking" à la QUIC

sample ciphertext => KDF => XOR

Concerns about lack of authn

Second attempt: Sample AEAD nonce from ciphertext

Saves explicit sender\_data\_nonce, still AEAD





### SIMPLIFYING SENDER DATA ENCRYPTION

Benefit: No explicit nonce

Nothing for adversary to tamper with

No need for more entropy

Cost: Sampling from ciphertext?

Should effectively be a random nonce ...?

Proposal: Do ~this or do nothing

### MAKE MLSCIPHERTEXT FULLY OPAQUE

MLSCiphertext still exposes group ID, epoch, and content type

Proposal: Render these opaque to the DS

(group ID, epoch) -> HKDF(epoch\_secret, "epoch ID", epoch\_id\_len)

content\_type moves inside encrypted content

**Pro:** Reveals minimum necessary information by default

**<u>Con</u>**: Adversarial collisions can cause partial DoS

### PSKS, SESSION RESUMPTION, AND AUTHENTICATION

Britta and Konrad proposed a bunch of changes in #336, addressing a few different use cases, including:

- Authentication that a member was part of the group in the past
- Verifying OOB that two members have the same view of the group

<u>**Proposal:**</u> splitting these out into more incremental chunks:

- Adding extensions to Commit
- Enabling negotiation of PSKs
- "Resumption" via PSKs generated off of the key schedule
- Deriving "authentication secret" from the epoch secret

