

TCP Performance Implications of Network Asymmetry

draft-ietf-pilc-asym-05.txt

Authors:

Hari Balakrishnan (MIT, LCS, US)

Venkata N. Padmanabhan (Microsoft Research, US)

Gorry Fairhurst (Univ Aberdeen, UK)

Mahesh Sooriyabandara (Univ Aberdeen, UK)

Scope

Case

Path capacity from end host

<< Path capacity to end host

Documents

Deployed Techniques

Experimental Techniques

Offers advice

Implications of use

Advise on usage

Revisions since last IETF

Draft -04 : March 2001

Re-organised -03

Added definitions

Added keywords to recommendations

Summary table added

Received comments from WG

Draft -05 : July 2001

Title change (inserted “path”)

Fixed the nits

Suggested changes to -05

Revise PEP wording (for debate)

- “semantics” of the phrase “end to end semantics”
- suggestion: refer to PEP [RFC3135]

Nits

Add "Bottleneck" definition

Add "Refer to congestion elsewhere than on uplink"

Change "Bandwidth" -> "available path capacity"

References to be fixed:

"ROHC WG", "Metricom"

SLOW discussion of compression [RFC3150]

Action / Help required

Would like to reissue and do a WG last call

Is there a current need for a **BCP**?

What is needed to format/structure?

*Please send your comments
to authors and pilc list!*