Highlights from the IAB Network Management Workshop

Caveats

- The workshop report isn't written yet
- The workshop members haven't seen this presentation, let alone approved it
- This presentation is based on what the workshop chair and a few co-conspirators think they saw and heard
- If the final workshop report contradicts anything you are about to see and hear, the report is right

Overview

- AOrganized by IAB with a lot of help from IESG (thanks!)
- Hosted by CNRI in Reston, VA, USA (thanks!)
- About 25 attendees (varied slightly from day to day)
 - Many more than 25 qualified participants (thanks!)
 - Wanted meeting small enough to be a "workshop"
 - Attendence also limited by schedule and budget constraints
- Met for 2.5 days, mostly as one big group
- Attempted to get a range of expertise among attendees
 - Enterprise and ISP operators
 - Protocol developers
 - SNMP, COPS, CIM, and XML expertise
 - Security expertise
 - Research and industry backgrounds

More Caveats

- A diverse group, but not as diverse as we would have liked
 - Enterprise operators were clearly under-represented
 - Protocol expertise was heavy on SNMP, light on COPS-PR, CIM, and XML
- IAB workshops do not issue edicts
 - Typically IAB an workshop makes recommendations to the IETF community
 - Sometimes the IETF community even chooses to follow such recommendations

SNMP today

- Many operators perceive MIBs as not being implemented by equipment vendors in a timely manner
 - This applies both to read-only and to read-write MIBs
- Many equipment vendors perceive MIBs as hard to implement
- As a result, SNMP is not widely used for equipment configuration management today
 - Exceptions exist, eg, DOCSIS cable modems
- SNMP is widely deployed for network monitoring today
- SNMP is expected to continue to be useful for network monitoring in the future

Configuration today

- Many operators use home-brewed scripts to configure and provision equipment via command line interface (CLI)
 - Other ingredients: SQL, TCL, Expect, SSH, modems, tin cans, wet string....
- Some operators (mostly enterprise?) use shrink-wrapped GUI tools for the same purpose
- Many operators would like to believe that there must be a better way to do this stuff
- Some operators have started experimenting with XML-oriented configuration tools

COPS-PR today

- No operators at workshop use COPS/COPS-PR/PIBs today
 - Hardly surprising given that COPS-PR is pretty new
- None of the operators <u>at the workshop</u> were very interested in using COPS-PR/PIBs in the future
- Some folks at workshop thought that COPS-PR might make a good protocol for use with MIBs, others disagreed

Some open questions

- Should there be a single data model for configuration and monitoring?
- Might there be different data models for configuration and monitoring?
- Is it possible to have a single data model that's suitable for all operators and all networks?
- Since there's a lot of configuration being done via CLI, should the IETF define a standard CLI?

Likely recomendations

- Eliminating SNMP is not an option, we need it
- IETF ought not to <u>require</u> WGs to define MIBs with writable objects
 - If WGs want to define MIBs with writable objects, that's fine
- IETF should continue work on SNMP evolution
- IETF should attempt to streamline the MIB standardization process (publish sooner, with less hassle)
- IETF should investigate non-SNMP methods for network device configuration
- IETF should investigate XML-oriented methods for network device configuration
 - XMLCONF BOF held this week
- IETF should not focus on HTTP/HTML/web methods for nework device configuration

What's next?

- Workshop report being written, for publication as informational RFC
- Report will only contain data on what happened at the workshop
 - Will include consensus points, non-consensus points, and recommendations
 - Will not include commentary by people who were not present at the workshop -- rebuttal is fine, but please write your own document, this one will be a report on the workshop we actually had, by the people who were there
- Plan is to have at least an Internet-Draft before 55th IETF
- There will probably be a more complete presentation on this topic at an IAB plenary after the report is done