

IETF IPPM WG

Status and Futures

Thursday, November 13, 2003

13:00 – 15:00

- It looks a lot like Vienna...

Completed Work

- RFC 2330: Framework for IP Performance Metrics
- RFC 2678: Connectivity
- RFC 2679: One-way delay
- RFC 2680: One-way loss
- RFC 2681: Round-trip delay
- RFC 3148: A Framework for Defining Empirical Bulk Transport Capacity

Completed Work

- RFC 3357: One-way Loss Pattern Sample Metrics
- RFC 3393: IP Packet Delay Variation
- RFC 3432: Network Performance Measurement with Periodic Streams
- (in IESG): One-way Active Measurement Protocol Requirements
draft-ietf-ippm-owdp-reqs-06.txt

Current Work Status

- OWAMP: draft-ietf-ippm-owdp-07.txt
 - MIB: draft-ietf-ippm-metrics-registry-04.txt
draft-ietf-ippm-reporting-mib-04.txt
 - Reordering: draft-ietf-ippm-reordering-04.txt
-
- One-way applicability:
draft-ietf-ippm-owmetric-as-01.txt
 - CAP: draft-ietf-ippm-btc-cap-00.txt [exp]
 - Related -- advancing metrics: (a tsvwg item?)
drafts-bradner-metrics-advance-00.txt [exp]

Implementation Reports

- A number of RFCs have been at Proposed Standard for a while.
- We should think about obtaining implementation reports to advance them to Draft Standard
- Caveat: requires “metrics advancement” document with ADs (and TSVWG)
- If you have (or know of an implementation) of IPPM metrics, send email to the chairs.
- Chairs will create a draft template after this meeting and post it to the mailing list

Drop these Milestones?

There are a number of milestones where people expressed interest but there has been no progress. If there are no proponents (workers!) we will drop them:

- Parameter sensitivity
- ITU vs IETF performance metrics
- Path bottleneck definitions
- CAP (or other BTC metric)
- Applicability Statement