
IODEF Data Model Status

<draft-ietf-inch-iodef-02>

tracked @ <https://rt.psg.com> : inch-dm queue

Roman Danyliw <rdd@cert.org>

1200-1530, Sunday, June 13. 2004

Interim Meeting, Budapest, Hungary

Summary of Work

1. Add to Draft

- XML Schema, XML-Sig

2. Needs Discussion

- Simplified Representation
- Flow support
- Assigning IDs
- Extension class typing
- Timestamps

3. Ok to add, but blocking on discussion

- AS Number support
- Extension meta data

Summary of Work

1. Add to Draft

3. Needs Discussion

5. Ok to add, but blocking on discussion

#365: XML Schema Migration

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=365>

<http://www.uazone.org/demch/projects/iodef/>

- Convert DTD to Schema
- STATUS
 - Release a DTD and Schema in v03 draft
 - v04 with full Schema

#364: XML-Sig and Encryption

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=364>

- How to apply XML-Signature and XML-Encryption to IODEF documents?
- PROPOSAL
 - Examples of using XML-Signature
 - <http://nic.surfnet.nl/scripts/wa.exe?A2=ind04&L=inch&F=&S=&P=2459>
- STATUS:
 - Clearly will be used, but solution requires evaluation

Summary of Work

1. Add to Draft

3. Needs Discussion

5. Ok to add, but blocking on discussion

#472: Representation Complex

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=472>

- System class is too IDS/IDMEF centric and overly complex
- PROPOSAL
 - Create a more flow (i.e., communication between machines) view of the incidents
 - Drop <Process>, <FileList>, and <User> from <System>
 - Simplify <Address> to only IP addresses
 - <http://nic.surfnet.nl/scripts/wa.exe?A2=ind04&L=inch&F=&S=&P=1576>
- STATUS: needs further discussion

#472: Complexity (2)

- Information lost due to this change:
 - All Layer-2 addresses
 - Non-IP Layer 3 protocols
 - Layer 7 (application) protocols fields
 - SNMP and HTTP
 - Running process at end-points
 - Filesystem information at end-points (e.g., inodes)
 - Explicit netmask of an IP address (deal in CIDR blocks, IPs)

#472: Complexity (3)

- Example snippet -- Current

```
<EventData> ...
  <System category="target">
    <Node>
      <Address category="ipv4-addr">
        <address>10.2.1.1</address>
      </Address>
      <Service>
        <port>1434</port>
        <protocol>udp</protocol>
      </Service>
    </Node>
  </System>
  <System category="target">
    <Node>
      <Address category="ipv4-addr">
        <address>10.2.0.0</address>
        <netmask>16</netmask>
      </Address>
      <port>1434</port>
      <protocol>udp</protocol>
    </Service>
  </Node>
</System>Service>
```

#472: Complexity (4)

- Example snippet -- Proposal

```
<EventData> ...
  <Flow>
    <System category="target">
      <Node>
        <IPAddress type="ipv4-addr">127.0.4.1</IPAddress>
      </Node>
      <Service>
        <port>80</port>
      </Service>
    </System>
    <System category="source">
      <Node>
        <IPAddress type="ipv4-addr">10.4.5.1</IPAddress>
      </Node>
      <Service>
        <port>23456</port>
      </Service>
    </System>
    <protocol>16</protocol>
  </Flow>
```

#360: Flow Support

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=360>

- Want a representation for:
 - flow data
 - statistics on these flows
- PROPOSAL
 - Add a way to represent stats via new <Counter>
 - <http://nic.surfnet.nl/scripts/wa.exe?A2=ind04&L=inch&F=&S=&P=1576>
- STATUS: needs further discussion

#360: Flow Support (2)

- Aggregation: shows 5683 occurrences to 80/tcp and 114 occurrences to 137/tcp occurred from 10.4.5.1

```
<Flow>
  <System category="target">
    <Service>
      <port>80</port>
      <Counter type="events">5683</Counter>
    </Service>
  </System>
  <System category="target">
    <Service>
      <Counter type="events">114</Counter>
      <port>137</port>
    </Service>
  </System>
  <System category="source">
    <Node>
      <IPAddress type="ipv4-addr">10.4.5.1</IPAddress>
    </Node>
  </System>
  <protocol>16</protocol>
</Flow>
```

#360: Flow Support (3)

- Summary Statistics: a count of the amount of sessions to three netblocks over TCP

```
<Flow>
  <System category="target">
    <ASNumber>42</ASNumber>
    <Node>
      <IPAddress type="ipv4-net">10.4.0.0/16</IPAddress>
      <Counter type="session">12354</Counter>
    </Node>
    <Node>
      <IPAddress type="ipv4-net">10.55.0.0/16</IPAddress>
      <Counter type="session">2345</Counter>
    </Node>
    <Node>
      <IPAddress type="ipv4-net">10.124.0.0/16</IPAddress>
      <Counter type="session">1984</Counter>
    </Node>
  </System>
  <protocol>16</protocol>
</Flow>
```

#357: Assigning IncidentIDs

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=357>

- How to assign incident identifiers?
 - How to set the CSIRT name in the origin attribute?
- PROPOSALS
 - external registration
 - AS number
 - Domain name
 - Net handles
- STATUS: further discussion needed

#362: Unify type attribute of extensions

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=362>

- Should the type attribute of the extension classes (i.e., AdditionalData, and Record Item) be identical?
- PROPOSALS
 - Since the enum list for RecordItem is a superset of AdditionalData, use the same for both
 - Since the classes represent different data, keep the attribute definitions different
- STATUS: further discussion needed

#363: Timestamp formats

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=363>

- Support more commonly used time formats
 - time-zones formats other than GMT+004, including day of the week, etc.
- STATUS: needs further discussion

Summary of Work

1. Add to Draft

3. Needs Discussion

5. Ok to add, but blocking on discussion

#359: Supporting AS Numbers

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=359>

- Add AS numbers as another address type; needed for RID and providers
- STATUS: accepted, but contingent on any redesign (#360 already includes)

#356: Standardize extensions

<https://rt.psg.com/Ticket/Display.html?id=356>

- Add a mandatory top-level container class to all extensions to allow an easy determination of which one is used
- PROPOSAL

```
<!ELEMENT IODEF-Extention (ANY)>
<!ATTLIST IODEF-Extention
          name      CDATA      #REQUIRED
          source    CDATA      #REQUIRED
          version   CDATA      #IMPLIED >
```

- STATUS: blocking on Schema evaluation

Moving Forward

- Create a v03 draft before IETF 60 with
 - Schema support
 - Resolution to complexity and flow issues

Comments?