A new RR type for SPF

Stéphane Bortzmeyer

<bortzmeyer@nic.fr>

10 November 2004

_

(\mathbf{SPF})

Sender Policy Framework: Authorizing Use of Domains in MAIL FROM

draft-lentczner-spf-00

Authenticate the email "sender"

Put the list of authorized MTA in the DNS

(In the real world)

At least three different free implementations widely used (and many others more confidential)

SPF data published (as TXT records) in many domains (surveys indicate > 200 000 domains), including freebsd.org, hotmail.com, pobox.com, aol.com, gnu.org, etc. The growth rate seems to be more than 10 % per month.

Which RR?

Currently a TXT record, which is bad (draft-iab-dns-choices-00) but there were good reasons to do so.

Remember *most* domain managers cannot edit the zone file, they just have a "user-friendly" interface.

No prefix (unlike _ep for Caller-ID), mostly for provisioning issues.

Other suspects

Note: many domain-level auth. proposals (re)use TXT records.

Request: a new RR type

3.1.1 RR Types

This document defines a new DNS RR type SPF, type code to be determined. The format of this type is identical to the TXT RR [RFC1035].

However, because there are a number of DNS server and resolver implementations in common use that cannot handle new RR types, a record can be published with type TXT.

Handling of both RR types

An SPF compliant domain name SHOULD have SPF records of both RR types. A compliant domain name MUST have a record of at least one type. If a domain has records of both types, they MUST have identical content.

An SPF compliant check SHOULD lookup both types.

[Long-term co-existence]

How long will the two RR types live together?
Open issue...

"That fantasy of a new RR type for SPF will not happen" Philip Hallam-Baker, Verisign, at the FTC summit