

#### IPv6 Multi-Addressing, Locators and Paths

# Objective

To facilitate an Internet Area discussion in the next 45 (or so) minutes on IPv6, Multi-Addressing and Path Maintenance approaches

#### Goals:

- □ Raise awareness of the concepts
- Summarize current activities
- □ Flag open issues
- Consider further activity

#### Conventionally, IP addresses are

- □ Endpoint identifiers
- □ Routing objects
- □ Key value for Forwarding Lookup

(but you knew this already)

- Challenges to the IP Address Model
  - □ Mobility and nomadism
  - Multi-homed endpoints
  - Scoped address realms
  - Routing Complexity and Scaling
  - □ VOIP and Peer-to-Peer applications
  - □ NATs, ALGs, and firewalls
  - □ Unwanted traffic, session hijacking and disruption

# 百花齊放,百家爭鳴

- Our current direction appears to be developing solutions in diverse permutations of this split identity / locator space simultaneously:
  - Multi-Party Applications
  - □ Application Agents
  - □ Rendezvous protocols
  - □ DNS Incremental Updates and DNSSEC
  - DNS Indirection and Referral
  - □ SCTP, HIP at the transport-layer
  - □ Mobile IPv6
  - □ Mobile IPv4
  - □ Multi6
  - □ And probably many more!

\*

- Generic approach: <u>decouple</u> the semantics of identity and location:
  Associate multiple locations to a single identity
- Consequent "binding state": <u>mapping</u> an identity into a viable locator
  in a packet header for the sender
  - □ reverse mapping for the receiver
- Using the <u>IP layer</u> as the point where this binding state is maintained
- Once a binding state is established
  - □ transport and above uses identifiers
  - □ IP and below uses locators

- A number of current IETF activities are looking at aspects of decoupling identity and location at the IP layer:
  - □ IKEv2 + MOBIKE (+ BTNS)
  - □ MIP4 + MIP6 + combinations (MIPSHOP, MOBOPTS)

  - □ SHIM6
  - □ HIP

## **Functional Components**

From a functional perspective, the approaches appear to have similar structural components:

Discovery of locator functionality between end-hosts

Identity / Locator mapping state <u>Setup</u>

State <u>Update</u> (locator set change)

□ Path <u>Maintenance</u>

# We already have multiple **Discovery** and **Setup** protocols ...

- Different security assumptions behind each approach
  IKEv2 (+MOBIKE), MIP6, SHIM6, HIP, ...
- Different functionality requirements
- Different domains of intended applicability
- There appears to be limited capacity and/or benefit in attempting to unify these approaches

#### Could we have a single **locator / path** <u>Update</u> and <u>Maintenance</u> module?

- Is it possible to use a single common locator update protocol as a plug-in to the signalling protocol?
- Is it possible to use a single common path property discovery / maintenance mechanism as a plug-in to the signalling protocol?

#### Issues – Transport Requirements

- Who cares about locator switch events (and why)?
- Various different transport session requirements:
  TCP
  - avoid session resets
  - optimise path performance
  - UDP streamers
    - avoid stream disruption
    - Prefer rapid failover to pre-configured path
    - match path performance to media requirements
  - UDP transactions
    - avoid excessive transaction overhead

#### Issues - Locator / Path Maintenance

Path integrity monitoring: Upper Level Signalling vs IP Level Monitoring

Indirect: Use Transport Session referred signals

- Transport session timeout generates a locator switch signal
  - Locator pair testing?
  - Interpretation of signals? (Firewalls and filters for specific transport ports?)
- □ Direct: Use pseudo-transport session
  - Probe and response within the shim layer
    - □ Complete pair-wise locator maintenance
    - □ On failure locator testing

## Issues - Identity Equivalences

Locator State Maintenance

□ What is an identity state equivalence set?

Per Host pair

For some generic form of associating multiple IDs with a single endpoint

Per ID pair

The ID pair forms a unique lookup key to the mapping state

Per session class

The ID pair plus a session "type" value forms the state lookup key

Per transport session

The ID Pair plus the session identifier forms the state lookup key

What is required to identify an incoming packet in terms of selecting the correct mapping state?

#### **Issues - Path Maintenance**

- Passive: await locator switch signal and then select a "new" pair and test
  - □ Maintain timed cache of 'bad' pairs
  - Test new candidate locator pair
    - Testing may generate n\*\*2 probes
    - Testing of new pairs requires extended timeouts
    - Parallel vs serial test procedures
- Active: Actively maintain and probe all locator pairs asynchronously
  - □ Rapid failover high overhead

Active ++ : maintain path characteristics per locator pair
 Path matching failover options – higher overhead

## So - is it possible...

- To construct the identifier / locator mapping module in such a way that it can be modular?
- That the signals in / out of the module can be defined in a functionally complete manner?
- That the module can support multiple setup and signalling protocols?
  - □ Sharing the mechanisms and probe information but
  - □ Probably not sharing the (complete) state
- That the module's internal operation can be opaque to the calling interface?